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## TREE SURVEY AND CONSTRAINTS PLAN FOR LVS HASSOCKS, LONDON ROAD, SAYERS COMMON.

Brief: Using the plans provided, survey trees that are on/close to the site of LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers common. Once trees have been surveyed, produce an arboricultural constraints plan of the site.

Date of Inspection: 20.07.23.
Inspected by: Mark Hinsley MSc Res Man(Arb), OND(Arb), F.Arbor.A. Ivan Hinsley BSc
Survey method: On foot ground level visual.

Findings: From the on-site, ground level survey that was conducted at, LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers common a total of 187 trees, which included some groups, were surveyed. Not all of these trees were inside the boundaries of LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers common, however they were deemed substantial enough that they could have an impact on the developmental constraints of the site.

## TREE SURVEY FOR <br> LVS HASSOCKS, LONDON ROAD, SAYERS COMMON

## Survey Technique

The surveyed trees were visually assessed from ground level as far as access allowed. No climbing inspections or invasive examination techniques were carried out. Access to some trees was restricted, in such cases the descriptions of the trees given in the survey schedule are subject to the tree being free of
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significant defects that were not clearly visible. Detail on the individual trees assessed is given in the survey schedule using the format in BS5837: 2012 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations', please read in conjunction with the enclosed Tree Survey Plan.
The columns and abbreviations used are:
Column $1=\mathrm{T}-$ Tree or Group number marked on the submitted plan.
Column $2=$ The Latin binomial and common name if applicable.
Column $3=\mathrm{Hgt}-$ Approximate tree height, in metres; to the nearest 0.5 m if under 10 m .
Column $4=$ Dbh - Diameter (rounded to the nearest 10 mm ). Single stemmed trees, at 1.5 m above ground level. Low branched trees, at the narrowest point below the fork. Trunks with irregular swellings, at the narrowest point below the swelling. Multi stemmed trees, each stem measured at 1.5 m above ground level. \# estimated value if unable to gain access.
Column $5=$ RPA - The Root Protection Area: radius measured in metres from the centre of the trunk.
Column $6=\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{S}$ - Approximate branch spread to the four cardinal points of the compass, in meters.
Column $7=$ FSB - Height of first significant branch above ground level in meters and direction of growth
Column $8=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}-$ Height of canopy above ground level, in meters.
Column $8=$ Age - Age class as representation of passage through normal life cycle $-\mathrm{Y}=$ Young,
$\mathrm{SM}=$ Semi-Mature, $\mathrm{EM}=$ Early Mature, $\mathrm{M}=$ Mature, $\mathrm{FM}=$ Fully Mature, $\mathrm{OM}=$ Over Mature .
Column $9=\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{C}$ - Estimated remaining contribution, in years.
Column 10 = Cat - BS5837: 2012 Survey category.
Categories are: -
U Trees unsuitable for retention (Red on plan)
Trees that cannot realistically be retained, in the context of the current land use, for longer than 10 years.
A Trees of high quality (Green on plan)
Trees able to make a substantial contribution for a minimum of 40 years.
Particularly good examples of trees, or essential components of groups of arboricultural features e.g., avenues. Visual importance or significant conservation, historical or other value. Veteran trees, especially if ancient.
B Trees of moderate quality (Blue on plan)
Those in such a condition as to be able to make a significant contribution for a minimum of 20 years. Might be category A but have defects or lack special qualities; or growing in a high value group. Has conservation or cultural values.
C Trees of low quality (Grey on plan)
Unremarkable trees of limited merit, with a life expectancy of at least 10 years; or growing in a low value group. Also, young trees with a stem diameter of below 150 mm .
Column 11 = General Observations - notes re structural and/or physiological condition, and/or preliminary management recommendations.

## SURVEY SCHEDULE

| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G1 | Mixed species group. <br> Ash (Fraxinus <br> excelsior), Elm <br> (Ulmus procera) | 4 | 100 | 1.2 m | N2 <br> E <br> S <br> W <br> FSB | 2 | SM | $20-40$ | B | Small group in garden <br> making up hedge line. |
| 2 | Apple <br> Malus sp. | 3 | Multix3 | 4.8 m | N5 <br> E <br> S <br> W <br> FSB | 3 | YM | $40+$ | B | Tree in garden |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Sycamore <br> Acer pseudoplatanus | 9 | Multix2 | 4.8 m | N7 <br> E <br> S <br> W |  | 3 | M | $40+$ | B |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Oak Quercus robur | 18 | 800 | 9.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N14 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | Line of Oaks on a ditch boundary. |
| 15 | Oak Quercus robur | 15 | 1300 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | N12 E S W FSB | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | Significant tree in landscape. Line of Oaks on a ditch boundary. |
| 16 | Sycamore <br> Acer pseudoplatanus | 9 | 300 | 3.6m | N8 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in field boundary |
| 17 | Oak Quercus robur | 16 | 850 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | N9 E S W FSB | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | Line of Oaks on a ditch boundary. |
| 18 | Beech Fagus sylvatica | 12 | 350 | 4.2m | N8 E S W FSB | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in field boundary |
| 19 | Oak Quercus robur | 16 | 1300 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N20 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{FSB} \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | Veteranizing characteristics. Significant tree in the line of oaks. |
| 20 | Oak Quercus robur | 16 | 1500 | 18.m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N16 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | Veteranizing characteristics. Significant tree in the line of oaks. |
| 21 | Oak Quercus robur | 13 | 800 | 9.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N11 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | Tree in line of Oaks |
| 22 | Oak Quercus robur | 13 | 810 | 9.7 m | N11 E S W FSB | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | Tree in line of Oaks |
| 23 | Grey poplar Populus x canescens | 26 | 900 | $10.8$ | N14 E S W FSB | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | Prominent tree in environment |
| 24 | Oak Quercus robur | 16 | 750 | 9.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N10 } \\ & E \\ & S \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Prominent tree in environment |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | Poplar <br> Populus sp | 15 | 560 | 6.7 m | N9 E S W FSB | 5 | M | 40+ | B | Part of the field boundary |
| 26 | Poplar Populus sp | 15 | 650 | 7.8m | N10 E S W FSB | 5 | M | 40+ | B | Part of the field boundary |
| 27 | Oak Quercus robur | 10 | 600 | 7.2m | N8 E S W FSB | 5 | M | 40+ | B | Veteranizing features. Tree in line of Oaks |
| 28 | Oak Quercus robur | 12 | 900 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N11 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{FSB} \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | Veteranizing features. Significant tree in line of Oaks |
| 29 | Oak Quercus robur | 8 | 250 | 3.0m | N5 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Young tree with high potential |
| G30 | 2 Red Oak | 4 | 250 | 3.0m | N2 E S W FSB | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Young trees within gap |
| 31 | Mixed species group Laurel (Laurus nobilis), Hawthorn (Crataegus), Blackthorn (Prunus Spinosa) | 12 | 250 | 3.0m | N5 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 20-40 | B | Mixed species boundary line. |
| 32 | Oak Quercus robur | 14 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N10 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | Veteranizing Oak. Part of an important group of trees on a boundary line. |
| 33 | Oak Quercus robur | 18 | 1600 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N16 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | Veteranizing Oak. 200+ years old. Tree of great significance in the landscape. |
| 34 | Oak Quercus robur | 6 | 600 | 7.2m | N6 E S W FSB | 5 | YM | 40+ | A | Veteranizing Oak. Part of an important group of trees on a boundary line. |
| G35 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur), Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) | 3 | 500 | 6.0 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | SM | 20-40 | B | Mixed species group on field boundary. |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G36 | 2 Hawthorn trees Crataegus | 4 | 200 | 2.4m | N3 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 10-20 | C | 2 Hawthorn trees in hedge line, overshadowed by Oaks. |
| 37 | Oak Quercus robur | 10 | 350 | 4.2m | N9 E S W FSB | 5 | YM | 40+ | B | Oak part of a significant group of Oak trees on a field boundary. |
| 38 | Oak Quercus robur | 14 | 750 | 9.0m | N8 E S W FSB | 5 | M | 40+ | A | Oak part of a significant group of Oak trees on a field boundary. |
| 39 | Oak Quercus robur | 15 | 1500 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N12 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | Oak part of a significant group of Oak trees on a field boundary. |
| 40 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 15 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | N13 E S W FSB | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | Oak part of a significant group of Oak trees on a field boundary. |
| 41 | Oak Quercus robur | 14 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N14 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | Oak part of a significant group of Oak trees on a field boundary. |
| 42 | Oak Quercus robur | 11 | 510 | 6.1 m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N10 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Oak part of a significant group of Oak trees on a field boundary. |
| G43 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur) Blackthorn (Prunus spinosa) Hawthorn (Crataegus) | 14 | 500 | 6.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N12 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | M | 40+ | B | The main tree focus is the oaks in the field boundary. |
| 44 | Oak Quercus robur | 12 | 950 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.4 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N14 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | A large oak with environmental importance and within the oak field boundary |
| 45 | Oak Quercus robur | 15 | 1200 | $14.4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N15 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | A twin stem veteran of importance in the environment and field boundary. |
| 46 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 16 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N16 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | A veteran oak of importance in the field boundary and to the environment around it. |
| 47 | Oak Quercus robur | 16 | 1500 | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N16 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | A veteran oak of age 200+ years. This is an important tree that sits within the field boundary. |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 16 | 900 | $10.8$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N14 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \end{array}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Important tree in field boundary network |
| G49 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur) Field maple (Acer campestre) Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 16 | 600 | 7.2m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N15 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \end{array}$ | 2 | M | 40+ | B | Part of the network of network of wildlife corridors. Has high screen potential. |
| G50 | 2 Oak Quercus robur | 18 | 1000 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N12 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | 2 great looking trees in corner of field boundary. Great wildlife habitats. |
| G51 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur) Blackthorn (Prunus spinosa) Crab apple (Malus sp) | 15 | 700 | 8.4m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N10 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | M | 40+ | B | The oak trees in this field boundary are a important part of the landscape. The dbh range is from 600 up to 800 for the oaks |
| 52 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 15 | 900 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10.8 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N10 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Prominent tree in wildlife corridor |
| G53 | Mixed species group Ash (Fraxinus excelsior), Hawthorn (Crataegus), Blackthorn (Prunus Spinosa) | 4 | 450 | 5.4m | N6 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 20-40 | C | Some die back in the Ash trees. |
| G54 | Mixed species group Ash (Fraxinus exce/sior), Hawthorn (Crataegus), Blackthorn (Prunus Spinosa) | 13 | 450 | 5.4m | N6 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 20-40 | C | Some die back in the Ash trees. |
| 55 | Swamp Cypress (Taxodium Distichum) | 4 | 100 | 1.2m | N3.5 E S W FSB | 1 | SM | 20-40 | C | Tree looks stressed in its growing environment |
| 56 | Weeping Willow Salix babylonica | 11 | 500 | 6.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N10 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Successful tree with good vigour |
| 57 | Holly Ilex sp. | 6 | 240 | 2.9m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N5 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | M | 20-40 | B | Looking a little stressed as competing hard with the grass cover over the root area. |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | Beech Fagus sylvatica | 17 | 600 | 7.2m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N9 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | M | 40+ | B | Edge tree in mixed species group. |
| 59 | Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 17 | 700 | 8.4m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N12 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | M | 40+ | B | Edge tree in mixed species group. |
| 60 | Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 15 | 600 | 7.2m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N7 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Edge tree in mixed species group. |
| 61 | Oak Quercus robur | 17 | 750 | 9.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N14 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Edge tree in mixed species group. |
| 62 | Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 17 | 550 | 6.6m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N8 } \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~W} \\ \mathrm{FSB} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in mixed species group |
| 63 | Sycamore <br> Acer pseudoplatanus | 9 | 350 | 4.2m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N3 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in mixed species group |
| 64 | Lime Tilia sp. | 13 | 450 | 5.4m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N5 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in mixed species group |
| 65 | Lime Tilia sp. | 7 | 400 | 4.8m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N4 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in mixed species group |
| 66 | Lime Tilia sp. | 18 | 500 | 6.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N5 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in mixed species group |
| 67 | Lime Tilia sp. | 18 | 400 | 4.8m | N5 E S W FSB | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree in mixed species group |
| 68 | Lime Tilia sp. | 12 | 550 | 6.6m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N7 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Edge tree in mixed species group. |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G69 | Mixed Beech (Fagus <br> Sylvatica) <br> Horse chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum) Lime (Tilia sp) Oak (Quercus robur) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) | 14 | 500 | 6.0m | N8 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Large group, very prominent in the landscape |
| 70 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 14 | 1200 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N18 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Dominant tree in the environment |
| 71 | Oak Quercus robur | 15 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N16 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | Prominent tree on edge of large group |
| 72 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 15 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N19 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | B | Prominent tree on edge of large group |
| 73 | Oak Quercus robur | 18 | 650 | 7.8m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N12 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | M | 40+ | B | Tree with high visual amenity |
| 74 | Lime Tilia sp. | 25 | 750 | 9.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N13 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | M | 40+ | B | Tree with high visual amenity |
| 75 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 20 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N14 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 | FM | 40+ | A | Significant Oak tree in landscape |
| G76 | 2 Western red cedar Thuja plicata | 10 | 400 | 4.8m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N6 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | YM | 20-40 | C | Top taken out. Wire embedded in trunk. |
| G77 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur) Lime (Tilia sp) Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 800 | 9.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N12 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | M | 40+ | A | Significate group of trees in the landscape. Oak and Ash trees showing signs of veteranisation. |
| 78 | Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 3.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N5 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 20-40 | B |  |
| 79 | Western red cedar Thuja plicata | 9 | 700 | 8.4m | N8 E S W FSB | 1 | YM | <10 | U | Struggling in its environment, its not a great tree. |
| 80 | Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna | 3 | 150 | 1.8m | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { N0.5 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Native bush |

Senior Consultant: Mark Hinsley MSc Res Man(Arb), OND(Arb), F.Arbor.A.
Consultant: John Christopher FdScArb, HNC Building Studies, M.Arbor.A. Arboriculturist: Ivan Hinsley BSc
Support staff: Claire Perry, Teresa O'Neale
email: markhinsley@treeadvice.info
email: johnchristopher@treeadvice.info email: ivanhinsley@treeadvice.info email: enquiries@treeadvice.info

| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | European Ash Fraxinus excelsior | 12 | 450 | 5.4m | N7 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | No sign of ash dieback |
| 82 | Cherry Prunus sp. | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Multi x } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 3.6m | N5 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 20-40 | B | Ornamental |
| 83 | Oak Quercus robur | 7 | 900 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | N9 E S W FSB | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Needing some assistance, due to the thick grass cover over roots. |
| 84 | Oak Quercus robur | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 7.2m | N9 E S W FSB | 3 | M | 40+ | B | Reasonable condition |
| 85 | Oak Quercus robur | 17 | 1000 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N15 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Veteran features |
| 86 | Ash Fraxinus excelsior | 16 | 850 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N10 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | No sign of ash dieback |
| G87 | 2 Oak (Quercus robur) Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 22 | 850 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | N14 E S W FSB | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Prominent group of trees that mark field boundary |
| 88 | Oak Quercus robur | 13 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | N15 E S W FSB | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | Veteran Oak. A significant tree in it landscape. |
| 89 | Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 17 | 650 | 7.8m | N9 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 40+ | C | Some leaf miner |
| 90 | Lime Tilia sp. | 20 | 600 | 7.2m | N8 E S W FSB | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Reasonable condition |
| 91 | Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna | 3 | 150 | 1.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2.5 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | SM | 40+ | B | Native bush |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | Atlas Cedar Cedrus atlantica | 9 | 360 | 4.3m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N6 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Secondary planting tree, visible in current landscape |
| 93 | Wild Cherry Cerasus avium | 3 | 400 | 4.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N3 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Garden planting |
| 94 | Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna | 4 | 100 | 1.2 m | N2 E S W FSB | 1 | SM | 40+ | B | Native bush |
| 95 | Cherry Prunus sp. | 2.5 | 250 | 3.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{FSB} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Ornamental |
| 96 | Field Maple Acer campestre | 10 | 400 | 4.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N7 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | M | 20-40 | C | Low prospects for tree longevity |
| 97 | Black Pine Pinus nigra | 10 | 550 | 6.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N8 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 20-40 | C | Low prospects for tree longevity |
| 98 | Purple Beech Fagus sylvatica 'Purpurea' | 9 | 280 | 3.4 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N6 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Young tree - not in keeping with a countryside location |
| 99 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Lime } \\ & \text { Tilia sp. } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 1000 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N11 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | Veteranizing features of tree of significance. |
| 100 | Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 9 | 350 | 4.2 m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N5 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | YM | 20-40 | C | Some leaf miner |
| G101 | 3 Small leaf lime (Tilia cordata) | 10 | 300 | 3.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N7 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Group of trees competing well in environment. |
| 102 | Sweet Chestnut Castanea sativa | 8 | 320 | 3.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N8 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Not a good tree around hard surfaces |
| 103 | Dawn Redwood Metasequoia glyptostroboides | 13 | 450 | 5.4 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N6 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Interesting exotic |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | Plum Yew Podocarpus sp. | 6 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Multi x } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 1.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N2 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSBB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Garden planting |
| 105 | Maple Acer sp. | 1.5 | 150 | 1.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2.5 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | YM | 40+ | B | Garden planting |
| 106 | Oak Quercus robur | 15 | 750 | 9.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N11 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | M | 20-40 | C/B | Tree is struggling in its current environment. Track next to tree compacting root area. Competing with Oak tree adjacent. |
| 107 | Oak Quercus robur | 17 | 750 | 9.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N12 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | M | 40+ | A | Nice looking tree. |
| G108 | Mixed species group <br> Pear (Pyrus communis) Apple (Malus domestica) Plum (Prunus domestica) | 3 | 200 | 2.4m | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} 2.5 \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Fruit tree orchard. This could be transplanted if required as trees are of a good standard. |
| 109 | Garden Pear Pyrus communis | 10 | 400 | 4.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N11 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Currently in fruit. |
| 110 | Ash Fraxinus excelsior | 13 | 350 | 4.2 m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N8 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | YM | 10-20 | C | Ash tree is currently dying back |
| 111 | Goat Willow Salix caprea | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 4.2 m | N10 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Has been coppiced and should be to continue keeping it healthy. Situated on corner of pond. |
| G112 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur) Turkish maple (Acer cappadocicum) | 15 | 400 | 4.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N8 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Trees surrounding pond area. |
| 113 | Judas Tree Cercis siliquastrum | 3 | 110 | 1.3m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{N} 2 \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{FSB} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | SM | 20-40 | C | Ornate tree, low vigour |
| 114 | Apple Malus sp. | 2.5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Multi } x \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 4.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N4 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Fruit tree |
| G115 | Mixed species group | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | 4 trees round pond area |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G116 | Mixed species group | 2 | 100 | 1.2 m | N3 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 20-40 | C | 22 trees hedge line |
| G117 | Mixed species group | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.0 m | N2 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 20-40 | C | 10 trees hedge line |
| 118 | Oak Quercus robur | 12 | 300 | 3.6 m | N8 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Good young tree |
| 119 | Willow Salix $s p$. | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 5.4 m | N6 E S W FSB | 1 | SM | 40+ | B | Not significant |
| G120 | Mixed species group Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) Willow (Salix sp) | 12 | 300 | 3.6 m | N6 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Screening around pond |
| 121 | Ash Fraxinus excelsior | 8 | 400 | 4.8 m | N5 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | C | Topped, not gone well. |
| 122 | Oak Quercus robur | 2 | 50 | 0.6 m | N1 E S W FSB | 1 | YM | 40+ | C | Oak planted to commemorate event |
| G123 | Mixed species group 3 Field maples (Acer campestre) Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 20 | 700 | 8.4 m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N11 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 20-40 | B | 2 of the field maples are of a remarkable height for their species, some horse damage to the trunk. |
| 124 | Oak Quercus robur | 20 | 800 | 9.6 m | N16 E S W FSB | 4 | FM | 40+ | A | Significant tree in its landscape |
| 125 | Oak Quercus robur | 15 | 600 | 7.2m | N9 E S W FSB | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Part of boundary group |
| 126 | Oak Quercus robur | 18 | 800 | 9.6 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N16 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Large boundary tree |
| G127 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur) Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) Lime (Tilia sp) | 9 | 200 | 2.4 m | N5 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 20-40 | B | Field boundary line, good screening |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | Ash Fraxinus excelsior | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 4.8m | N7 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | No sign of ash dieback |
| G129 | 2 Hawthorn Crataegus | 4 | 150 | 1.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{FSB} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Native bushes |
| G130 | 2 Ash Fraxinus excelsior | 10 | 250 | 3.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N4 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | No sign of ash dieback |
| 131 | Oak Quercus robur | 4 | 200 | 2.4 m | N5 E S W FSB | 1 | SM | 40+ | A | Nice looking tree, doing well |
| 132 | Ash <br> Fraxinus excelsior | 9 | 300 | 3.6m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N4 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | No sign of ash dieback |
| 133 | Spruce <br> Picea sitchensis | 8 | 150 | 1.8m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N3.5 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSBB } \end{array}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Boundary tree within a group. |
| 134 | Sycamore <br> Acer pseudoplatanus | 8 | 150 | 1.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N5 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | SM | 40+ | B | Boundary tree within a group |
| G135 | Group of Leyland cypress Cupressus x leylandii | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 3.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N4 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | YM | 40+ | B | Group of trees that enclose the graveyard |
| 136 | Ash <br> Fraxinus excelsior | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3.0m | N6 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | No sign of ash dieback |
| 137 | Mixed species group Blackthorn (Prunus spinosa) Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) Cherry (Cerasus avium) Norway spruce (Picea abies) | 9 | 130 | 1.6m | N3 E S W FSB | 2 | SM | 20-40 | B | Wildlife corridor |
| 138 | Western red cedar Thuja plicata | 7 | 400 | 4.8m | N4 E S W FSB | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree within enclosure boundary |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139 | Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 15 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N14 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \end{array}$ | 3 | FM | 40+ | B | Dominant tree in field boundary |
| G140 | Mixed species group Elm (Ulmus sp) Horse chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum) Lime (Tilia sp) Oak (Quercus robur) Southern beech (Northofagus) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) Sugar Maple (Acer saccharum) Goat willow (Salix caprea) | 14 | 300 | 3.9m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N7 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | YM | 40+ | B | Mixed species group of trees, Sugar Maple close to boundary intersection has evidence of bee habitation. Southern beech plantation in corner of plot. |
| G141 | Mixed species group. Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) Oak (Quercus robur) Goat willow (Salix caprea) | 13 | 350 | 4.2 m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N7 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Good screening from other housing development. |
| 142 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 15 | 1100 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N14 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | FM | 40+ | B | Significant tree in environment. |
| G143 | 3 Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 16 | 700 | 8.4m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N10 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Group of trees |
| 144 | Horse chestnut Aesculus hippocastanum | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 6.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N7 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \end{array}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Large tree within field boundary group |
| G145 | Mixed species group 2 Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) Beech (Fagus sp) | 13 | 450 | 5.4 m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N8 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 20-40 | C | Group of trees not enjoying their situation and so struggling. |
| G146 | 2 Oak Quercus robur | 11 | 650 | 7.8m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N7 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Part of group |
| 147 | Hawthorn Crataegus monogyna | 4 | 170 | 2.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N2 } \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{~S} \\ \mathrm{~W} \\ \mathrm{FSB} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 20-40 | C | Not performing very well. |
| G148 | 3 Holly Ilex aquifolium | 5 | 170 | 2.0m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N4 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | SM | 20-40 | B | Trees within larger group |
| 149 | Goat willow Salix caprea | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Multi x } \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 3.6m | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N7 } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { S } \\ \text { W } \\ \text { FSB } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Understory bush |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 150 | Oak <br> Quercus robur | 6 | 450 | 5.4 m | N8 <br> E <br> S <br> W <br> FSB |  | 4 | YM | $40+$ | B |
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| G161 | Mixed species group Oak (Quercus robur) Ash (Fraxinus excelsior) | 15 | 750 | 9.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N13 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | YM | 20-40 | A | The group has 2 veteran Ash and 4 category A Oak that range from 1100 to 650 dbh . An important group of trees. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G162 | 2 Wych Elm Ulmus glabra | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi } x \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 4.8m | N6 E S W FSB | 2 | YM | 40+ | B | Trees with good vigour |
| G163 | 2 Oak (Quercus robur) Hornbeam (Carpinus) | 15 | 850 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} 12 \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | FM | 40+ | B | Useful group |
| 164 | Mixed species group Crack willow (Salix x fragilis) Goat willow (Salix caprea) | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 6.0 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | SM | 20-40 | B | Screen of trees |
| 165 | Oak Quercus robur | 4.5 | 100 | 1.2m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{FSB} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | YM | 40+ | C | Good young tree. |
| 166 | Oak Quercus robur | 3 | Multix | 3.6 m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N2 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | Y | 40+ | B | Multi-stemmed tree |
| G167 | Mixed species group Field maple (Acer campestre) Poplar (Populus sp) Horse chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum) Hornbeam (Carpinus) | 12 | 400 | 4.8m | N6 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Screen of trees |
| 168 | Grey Poplar Populus x canescens | 18 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Multi x } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 18.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N15 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | M | 40+ | B | Dominant tree in environment and street view. |
| 169 | Field Maple Acer campestre | 9 | 500 | 6.0 m | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N10 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 3 | M | 40+ | B | Part of street view group |
| 170 | Field Maple Acer campestre | 13 | 350 | 4.2 m | N7 E S W FSB | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Part of street view group |
| 171 | Hornbeam Carpinus | 18 | 600 | 7.2m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N12 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \mathrm{FSB} \end{aligned}$ | 4 | M | 20-40 | B | Part of street view group |
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| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 172 | Oak Quercus robur | 16 | 650 | 7.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N12 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree outside boundary, but would have an effect on site |
| G173 | 2 Poplar Populus sp | 18 | 500 | 6.0m | N10 E S W FSB | 5 | YM | 40+ | B | Part of street view group |
| G174 | Mixed species group Hawthorn (Carpinus) Blackthorn (Prunus spinosa) Willow (Salix $s p)$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Multi x } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 4.0m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N2 } \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~S} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Screen of trees |
| 175 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 9 | 350 | 4.2m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N6 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | YM | 20-40 | C | Not performing in current location |
| 176 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 14 | 650 | 7.8m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N11 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 177 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 12 | 550 | 6.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N10 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 178 | Red Oak Quercus rubra | 8 | 350 | 4.2 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N8 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | YM | 20-40 | C | Not performing in current location |
| 179 | Red Oak Quercus rubra | 8 | 450 | 5.4 m | N10 E S W FSB | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 180 | Oak Quercus robur | 9 | 350 | 4.2 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N8 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 181 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 16 | 550 | 6.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N10 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 182 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 12 | 550 | 6.6m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N9 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 183 | Ash Fraxinus excelsior | 13 | 350 | 4.2 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N9 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree on boundary of Oak tree avenue |
| T. | Name \& Species | Hgt | Dbh | RPA | B/S | C/C | Age | R/C | Cat | General Observations |
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| 184 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 13 | 450 | 5.4 m | N9 E S W FSB | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 185 | Oak Quercus robur | 11 | 450 | 5.4 m | N9 E S W FSB | 4 | YM | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 186 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 12 | 550 | 6.6 m | N9 E S W FSB | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |
| 187 | Scarlet Oak Quercus coccinea | 14 | 550 | 6.6 m | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N10 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { W } \\ & \text { FSB } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4 | M | 40+ | B | Tree part of avenue |

According to the www.midsussex.gov.uk Tree Preservation Order map, there are currently no provisional or confirmed Tree Preservation Orders on the site of LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers common and none of the trees are subject to a planning condition, that would prevent construction on the site. The site of LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers common does not sit within a conservation area. This information is correct up to and including the $31^{\text {st }}$ of July 2023.
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Above is a segment of an Ordnance Survey map that was published in 1879. It shows the site of LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers common (within the yellow box). On the boundary of the fields, you can clearly see trees marked, which are more than likely the large oaks that are standing on the site today. As this map is almost 150 years old, we can safely say that the Oak tree boundary is older than that as the trees would have needed to of a substantial size to be marked on the above map. The following three pictures show some of these trees in their current environment.
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On the site of LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers common there are signs of a two planting schemes, for the trees. This primary scheme being a functional scheme of planting which involves the marking of field boundaries using Oak trees and the secondary scheme being more of a large estate garden that required more ornate and non-native trees. These trees are younger that the Oaks, and some of these trees are pictured below.
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## General Constraints:

The Oak trees on the site are important trees in this landscape's history and its future. These older/veteran trees support an incredible diversity of organisms living and/or feeding on or within the trunks, branches, twigs, roots, leaves, flowers, and fruits. These older/ veteran Oak trees are hotspots for biodiversity and natural habitats and so every effort needs to be made to preserve them within the site, so they can continue to support the natural environment around them.

The Oak trees that stand on the site are also mapping out a network of wildlife corridors. These wildlife corridors are crucial for combatting the negative effects of habitat fragmentation, and the effects that human activities are having on the ability of wildlife to move around our countryside. It is very important that every effort is made to maintain and preserve these corridors. The picture below is of a Sugar Maple in G140, showing evidence of a beehive within its trunk, demonstrating the
 importance of these corridors.

When considering the retention of trees in a planning context, preference should be given to retaining trees in categories A and B as these are the trees that contribute most to the internal amenity of the site and surroundings for the longest time.

Category C trees are of lesser importance, they would not usually be retained where they would impose a significant restraint on development.

Trees placed in the removal ' $U$ ' category are assessed upon their condition and not on any planning proposals which may require the removal of the tree for other reasons; category $U$ trees are unsuitable for retention in a development context and should be removed for sound arboricultural reasons.

Groups of even low value trees may have a collective screening or group value in the landscape that is higher than the individual categories of the component trees might suggest.

The enclosed tree survey plan indicates the initial root protection areas produced from the survey data. The Root Protection Areas (RPA's) for the trees have been calculated using the formula given in to BS5837:2012. This is the recommended area around the tree in square metres within which no construction, excavation, soil stripping, level changes or other potentially harmful activities should take place unless appropriate precautions or techniques are employed to avoid root damage. Barriers should protect this area for the duration of any development works to avoid damage to the root system.

Adequate space should also be allowed for future growth, particularly around young and middle-aged trees, although climate change is causing shade to become more highly prized than it used to be, particularly for parents/carers of young children..

These root protection areas have been scaled onto a flat plan. However, they represent a linear measurement to be taken across the topography of the ground. On steeply sloping areas a linear ground measurement will not extend so far across the plan as a flat ground measurement. It therefore follows that, on the steep areas of the site, it could be possible to create a more accurate, across the ground, root protection area measurement and marginally reduce some of the root protection areas from the limits shown on the enclosed plan.

The root protection areas deal only with the physical protection of the root system, other issues such as shade and dominance may still need to be addressed.

The requirements of BS5837: 2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations have been given full consideration with regard to these arboricultural constraints plan and report in line with established arboricultural practice. Please note that once the design is finalised an Arboricultural Impact Assessment plus also an Arboricultural Method Statement with accompanying Tree Protection Plan will be required by the Local Planning Authority (LPA) prior to granting planning approval. The LPA often requires arboricultural supervision throughout site works; for which we will require a separate instruction.

## Conclusion:

Construction on the site of LVS Hassocks, London Road, Sayers Common is feasible with regards to the trees as long as it is within the envelope of development that is highlighted on the enclosed plans. If it is essential for some development to be within Root Protection Areas, it may be possible to achieve with modified foundations or building techniques. Each individual case would be judged on its merits.

There does however need to be an adjustment made to the proposed plans of the site, to preserve and protect the wildlife corridors. The population of older/veteran oak trees within the site require a high level of protection that will aid these trees futures in the new development. Addressing these two issues will in turn reduce the environmental impact of the site once completed, maintain the biodiversity of the species that live in and around the site and preserve a large percentage of the amenity value of the site.

The access structure of the connecting roads of the planned construction will need to be reviewed as the proposed primary street cuts through two of the wildlife corridors. There are also several proposed houses that are on the outside edges of the proposed development that are within the RPAs of the trees and will need to assess for their viability.

In order to preserve the most important trees on the site, and to maintain the highest environmental value of the proposed development, post construction, it may mean that the secondary tree planting that was undertaken on the site will not all be retained. This is because the estimated amenity and environmental value of these trees in their current position and that of the new development compared to those of the primary planting is lower and has less impact on the biodiversity of the resident flora and fauna.

If you require any further information at this stage, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely


Mark Hinsley
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