Main Modification 9 - Index by ID Number					
ID	ResponseRef	Name	Organisation	On Behalf Of	
705	705/1/MM9	Oliver Bell	Nexus	Miller Homes	
710	710/1/MM9	Richard Cobb	Natural England		

Site Allocations DPD: Main Modifications Response

Main Modification: MM9

ID: 7050

Response Ref: 705/1/MM9
Respondent: Oliver Bell
Organisation: Nexus

On Behalf Of: Miller Homes

Name	Oliver Bell	
Job title	Director	
Organisation	Nexus Planning	
On behalf of	Miller Homes	
Address		
Email		
Name or Organisation	Nexus Planning obo Miller Homes	
Which document are you commenting on?	Site Allocations DPD - Main Modifications	
Main Modification (MM)	MM1, MM7, MM8, MM9, MM10, MM11	
Do you consider the Site Allocations DPD is in accordance with legal and procedural requirements; including the duty to cooperate	Yes	
(1) Positively prepared	Unsound	
(2) Justified	Unsound	
(3) Effective	Unsound	
(4) Consistent with national policy	Unsound	
Please outline why you either support or object to the Main Modification?	Miller Homes control land south of Lewes Road, Haywards Heath ("the Site") (SHELAA ref. 844). The Site measures approximately 5 hectares, is available for development now and has an indicative capacity of 100 dwellings	
	Main Modifications Ref. MM1, MM7, MM8, MM9, MM10 and MM11 cover a range of amendments to the Site Allocations Plan but principally relate ensuring the site allocations have suitable regard to their location within the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), having regard to the policies contained within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).	
	As outlined in our Matter 4 Hearing Statement we noted that the District Plan Inspector considered only "modest" housing schemes may come forward in the AONB and that "no evidence" existed to support major development in the AONB We also outlined that Paragraph 172 of the NPPF (now para 176) states that "great weight should be given to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty inAreas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, which have the highest status of projection in relation to these issues ", that the scale and extent of development in the AONB should be "limited" and that major development in the AONB should be refused other than in "exceptional circumstances, and where is can be demonstrated that the development is in the public interest"	
	The principal purpose of providing residual housing figures by settlement was to guide the preparation of neighbourhood plans.	

The use of settlement figures is much less appropriate in the context of preparing a District-wide site allocations document, as the settlement specific figures simply serve to unduly restrict the growth strategy despite, as the Council freely admit, not being robustly tested such that the figures are actually known to be deliverable.

It is accepted that the Site Allocations Plan should not simply allocate all sites in Category 1 settlements as allocations should broadly align with the spatial strategy set out in the District Plan, but only where it is sustainable to do so. Despite the aforementioned modifications to the Site Allocations Plan, we still do not consider that the overall scale of growth proposed in the AONB is justified, particularly when suitable, available and achievable sites exist on the edge of highly sustainable settlements outside of the AONB.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Site Allocations DPD legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to soundness.

Reduced growth around villages within the AONB and further growth at sustainable settlements outside the AONB.

If you wish to provide further documentation to support your response, you can upload it here

Please notify me when-The publication of the recommendations from the yes Examination

Please notify me when-The Site Allocations DPD is adopted

yes

Date 20/01/2022

Site Allocations DPD: Main Modifications Response

Main Modification: MM9

ID: 7100

Response Ref: 710/1/MM9
Respondent: Richard Cobb
Organisation: Natural England

On Behalf Of:

From: Cobb, Richard

Sent: 17 January 2022 15:41
To: Policy Consultation

Subject: Mid Sussex District Council Site Allocations DPD: Main Modifications Consultation -

375995

Attachments: Natural England Mid Sussex Site allocations main modifications 375995.pdf

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

Dear Planning Policy Team,

Thank you for your consultation. Please see our response attached.

Kind regards Richard

Richard Cobb Senior Adviser | Sustainable Development Natural England | Sussex and Kent www.gov.uk/natural-england

Thriving Nature for people and planet

From: Planning Policy - Mid Sussex District Council

<planning.policy.mid.sussex.district.council@notifications.service.gov.uk>

Sent: 29 November 2021 15:00

To: SM-NE-Consultations (NE) < consultations@naturalengland.org.uk >

Subject: Mid Sussex District Council Site Allocations DPD: Main Modifications Consultation



Mid Sussex District Council – Planning Policy

29th November 2021

Site Allocations DPD: Main Modifications Consultation

Following hearing sessions held in June 2021, the Planning Inspector appointed to examine the Council's Site Allocations DPD has suggested modifications, which will now be subject to consultation.

The role of the Sites DPD is to set out how the Council plans to meet the District's outstanding housing and employment needs up to 2031. The Sites DPD recommends 22 housing and 7 employment sites at locations across Mid Sussex, plus a Science and Technology Park.

The independent Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State held hearing sessions in June 2021 and heard evidence from all interested parties. Following this the Inspector is suggesting a small number of modifications to the Sites DPD to ensure it meets legal and soundness requirements.

The proposed modifications are now subject to consultation which will run for 8-weeks from 29th November 2021 until 24th January 2022.

The schedule of Main Modifications and accompanying documents are available online at www.midsussex.gov.uk/SitesDPD. The website also provides details on how to respond to the consultation.

Note that comments must be focussed only on the suggested modifications, which are put forward without prejudice to the Inspector's final conclusions. All representations will be taken into account by the Inspector who will aim to provide his final report for consideration by Council early in the new year.

You are receiving this email because you are a statutory consultee, provided comments to the consultation on the document above, or have signed up to receive Planning Policy

updates from Mid Sussex District Council. If you would no longer like to receive these updates, please let us know at LDFnewsletter@midsussex.gov.uk

This message has been sent using TLS 1.2 This email and any attachments is intended for the named recipient only. If you have received it in error you have no authority to use, disclose, store or copy any of its contents and you should destroy it and inform the sender. Whilst this email and associated attachments will have been checked for known viruses whilst within the Natural England systems, we can accept no responsibility once it has left our systems. Communications on Natural England systems may be monitored and/or recorded to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes.

Date: 17 January 2022

Our ref: 375995

Your ref:

Planning Policy – Mid Sussex District Council, Oaklands, Oaklands Road, Haywards Heath, RH16 1SS

policyconsultation@midsussex.gov.uk

BY EMAIL ONLY

Dear Planning Policy Team,



Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 29th of November which was received by Natural England on the same date.

Overarching comments

We welcome the stronger policy wording for environmental and landscape protection and enhancement that has been added throughout the main modifications including:

- The changes outlined in MM1 that make the allocation more sensitive to the High Weald AONB in terms of scale and design
- The reference to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty of the AONB, National Park and their settings (e.g. MM4, MM5, MM6, MM7, MM8, MM9, MM10, MM11, MM14)
- The greater emphasis on protecting and enhancing biodiversity and meeting Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) (e.g. MM13, MM14, Appendix 1: MM5)
- The retention of trees (MM20) to recognise their important contribution to urban environments in line with the NPPF.
- The strengthening of the SANG policy within MM22 regarding management and monitoring to help ensure effectiveness

Appendix 1: MM15 comments

We support the proposed addition to Site Allocations DPD Appendix B regarding biodiversity net gain which we are pleased to see addresses our Regulation 19 consultation feedback made 28th September 2020 (Our ref 324095). This is an important part of ensuring the benefits of BNG are delivered in practice. Since the Regulation 19 consultation was developed, guidance regarding BNG has advanced so we would now like to take the opportunity to advise that the following additions to this appendix table should also be made:

 All BNG indicators and targets should be monitored in line with good practice guidance from Defra/Natural England regarding BNG and the Biodiversity Metric 3.0, as appropriate. For example, the indicator 'Maximise the



biodiversity units gained' is welcome but should also ensure that appropriate habitat is created or enhanced based on the local context of the site. There should be a clear reference to relevant supplementary planning documents to ensure that wider good practice guidance is followed when delivering, reporting and monitoring BNG. We remain committed to working with the Council to develop supplementary guidance that reflects our latest advice.

 As well as a measurable BNG target (10% or higher), the appendix should reflect other requirements from the Environment Act including 1) the need for developers to submit a BNG Plan for Council approval 2) habitat sites considered as part of BNG calculations will need to be secured for at least 30 years and 3) details will need to be uploaded onto the national register once this is available to ensure there is a robust and transparent record of BNG plans and contributions.

Please see these FAQs for helpful guidance regarding BNG: https://www.local.gov.uk/pas/topics/environment/biodiversity-net-gain/biodiversity-net-gain-faqs-frequently-asked-questions

We are committed to working with the Council to help ensure the best possible outcomes for people and the environment. For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter only please contact Richard Cobb at Richard.cobb@naturalengland.org.uk. For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.

Yours sincerely

Richard Cobb Senior Adviser Sussex and Kent Area Team