

From: Lisa Jackson lisa@jacksonplanning.com
Subject: DM/20/2877
Date: 17 December 2020 at 15:37
To: Nick Rogers Nick.Rogers@midsussex.gov.uk



Dear Nick,
I want to raise an issue if serious concern.

In answer to a question regarding the status of the land Andy Watt was asked if the site was a brownfield site.

He replied that it was not a brownfield site. Members use the term 'Brownfield' as a proxy for the term 'previously developed land' which is the official term in the NPPF.

His answer was incorrect, if you look at the definition of PDL in the NPPF it says "land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land..."

Given that the maintenance building has now been constructed, there can be no doubt that the part of the site containing the building is PDL.

Can you please advise me how you will correct this mistake so that it can be reconsidered by members before the decision is issued?

Kind regards,
Lisa

Lisa Jackson
Jackson Planning Ltd
Fox Barn, Lower Chute,
Andover, Hants, SP11 9DU

lisa@jacksonplanning.com
www.jacksonplanning.com
t 01264 730286 m 0755 400 6494