

Site Allocations Development Plan Document

Statement of Consultation

Regulation 22(1)(c)

Appendix 11

Summary of Responses (Regulation 19) – General and Non-Policy Specific

December 2020

Contents

Consultation (general – not SCI/CIP) Code 1c	.'2
Duty to Co-operate Code 1d	.2
Soundness (not specific policy) 1g	
Legal (not specific policy) 1h	

Consultation (general – not SCI/CIP) Code 1c					
Number of Comments Received					
Total: 1	Support: 1	Object: 0	Neutral: 0		
Comments from Organisations / Specific				MSDC comments	
Consultation Bodies					
Natural England welcomes the approach taken				Noted	
by your authority to consult with Natural England					
at various stages (710)					

Duty to Co-operate Code 1d					
Number of Comments Received					
Total: 2	Support: 0	Object: 2	Neutral: 0		
Comments received				MSDC comments	
 Published Statement of Common Ground does not include a signed SoCG between MSDC, Lewes DC and East Sussex County Council covering traffic impacts and SA37 (Parker Dann,1715) 			SoCG agreed before submission between East Sussex, Lewes District Council and Mid Sussex (DC8)		
 Wealden District Council is satisfied that the legal requirements of the duty to cooperate have been met with respect to Wealden District Council (Wealden DC,1471) 			Noted		

Soundness (not specific policy) 1g					
Number of Comments Received					
Total: 3	Support: 1	Object: 1	Neutral: 1		
Comments received				MSDC comments	
 No comments to make (National Grid, 1453) 				Noted	
 Lindfield Rural Parish Council urge MSDC not to make any changes to the DPD (Lindfield Parish Council, 1919) 				Noted	
 An inappropriate plan period for strategic policies. The Plan includes strategic policies and should therefore run to 2026. (Parker Dann, 1715) 			Site Allocations DPD is a 'daughter document' of the District Plan and should therefore run to the same time period (2031).		

Legal (not specific policy) 1h	
Number of Comments Received	
Total: 2 Support: 0 Object: 2 Neutral: 0	
Comments Received	MSDC comments
Objections from neighbouring authorities were incorrectly reported. Burgess Hill Town Council, Haywards Heath Town Council, Lewes and Eastbourne Council, Ditchling Parish Council and Hassocks Parish Council (SOFLAG, 615)	 Comments relate to how the Regulation 18 representations were reported to Scrutiny Committee 22.01.20. The only neighbouring Local Authority is Lewes and Eastbourne. Representation made at Regulation 18. It should be noted that the representation it is an objection but identifies further work required in relation to transport impacts arising. SOCG with East Sussex CC and Lewes DC in relation to highway matters (DC8). The remainder of the Councils referred to are Town/Parish Councils. Paragraph 25 of Scrutiny Report clearly reports these were 'objections or comments'.
Membership of Site Allocations Member working group no longer complied with its terms of reference following the local elections (Wellhouse Lane residents Assoc, 2378) and (SOFLAG, 615)	 See TP3 Introduction to Site Allocations DPD for further details regarding role of the member working group.
When full consultation report (at regulation 18) was published on web site SOFLAG and Broadlands representations were missing from the web site and not added until after Scrutiny Council meeting had taken place. (SOFLAG, 615)	As soon as practicable possible after being made aware of error the missing information was made available on the web site. A full printed copy of all the representation were available to Council Members in the Member's Room. The key issues raised in all representations were report in Appendix 1 of the 22.01.20 Scrutiny report.