

Steve Ashdown

From: planningappeals
Sent: 30 June 2026 07:16
To: 'Holly Dutton'; James Gibson; planningappeals; Susy Gandy; Steven Brown (s.brown@woolfbond.co.uk); Will Webb
Cc: Aimee Peckham
Subject: RE: 6002030 - Land East of Ansty, Cuckfield Bypass, Cuckfield, West Sussex, RH17 5AG

Dear Holly,

Apologise for late response.

The Council position was addressed in the Officer report to Committee (CD3.1) at paragraphs 13.326 – 13.328. Suggested condition 25 (ID22) currently deals with waster water capacity and as such it is not considered that an additional condition is necessary, however, should the Inspector considered that the proposed condition is necessary and meets the relevant statutory tests, then the Council do not have an objection to it.

I trust that this clarifies the Council's position on this matter.

Kind regards,

Steve Ashdown BA (Hons) DIP TP MRTPI
Head of Development Management
Planning Services
01444 477326

steve.ashdown@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



From: Holly Dutton <HOLLY.DUTTON@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Sent: 26 June 2026 11:31
To: James Gibson <j.gibson@woolfbond.co.uk>; planningappeals <planningappeals@midsussex.gov.uk>; Susy Gandy <sgandy@richardbuxton.co.uk>
Cc: Aimee Peckham <Aimee.Peckham@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: 6002030 - Land East of Ansty, Cuckfield Bypass, Cuckfield, West Sussex, RH17 5AG
Importance: High

Dear all,

On Friday 19 June, the Rule 6 party raised the issue of a condition worded as follows: *Occupation of the development should only be permitted when the Local Planning Authority is satisfied that, in consultation with Southern Water, adequate wastewater treatment facilities exist to effectively drain the development.*