

Leigh Hunnikin
Education Strategic Development Manager

03302 223051 (Direct)
Leigh.hunnikin@westsussex.gov.uk

www.westsussex.gov.uk

Ground Floor, Northleigh
County Hall Campus
Tower Street
Chichester
West Sussex
PO19 1RQ



8 June 2026

Mrs Joanna Gilbert
Local Planning Inspector

VIA EMAIL TO:-

- Aimee Peckham Operations Officer, PINS
aimee.peckham@planninginspectorate.gov.uk
- Steve Ashdown Head of Planning, Mid Sussex District Council
steve.ashdown@midsussex.gov.uk
- Steve Brown Woolf Bond Planning, on behalf of the appellant
S.brown@woolfbond.co.uk
- Will Webb, Richard Buxton Solicitors, on behalf of the appellant
wwebb@richardbuxton.co.uk

Dear Mrs Gilbert,

Re: Further to Appeal Ref: 6002030 Inspector's Note on Amended Plan

Thank you for your letter of 1 June 2026 (08:20) to which WSCC responded on 2 June 2026 (16:42), MSDC responded on 3 June 2026 (11:38) and the appellant responded on 3 June 2026 (11:52).

With regard our response to *c) If the Inspector determined the appeal on the basis of the determined plans (Scheme A) would the County Council be willing to complete the legal agreement with reference to the Concept Masterplan Rev 14?* WSCC Education are now able to revise our position.

We have reviewed the response from the appellant including Appendix 1 Noise Impact Statement from Anderson Acoustics. This addresses our request to demonstrate that acoustic design solutions can be identified for the Plot A site without loss of usable education land.

The appellant has now evidenced their proposed mitigation with acoustic modelling. WSCC Officers have reviewed the modelling and their report provides evidence that Scheme A can be delivered with an acceptable noise environment for the proposed education uses (for both education sites). The appellants have also drawn a comparison with the recently approved Brookleigh Primary planning application which falls below the levels outlined in the report for Ansty.

We confirm that our objection to Scheme A is now lifted subject to agreement on the outstanding legal issues with the ransom strip to be dealt with by Arsenal

condition and as set out in the draft planning obligation and planning matters relating to the slope treatment, boundary and lack of footpath or cycleway on the western access road which we are comfortable can be resolved at reserved matters.

We did want to add that the WSCC Education team were not formally notified that amended plans had been republished and for this reason the consultation was overlooked.

Yours sincerely

Leigh Hunnikin

Leigh Hunnikin
Education Strategic Development and Capital Programme Manager