



Mid Sussex

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment



Update Report

July 2016



Opinion Research Services The Strand, Swansea SA1 1AF
Steve Jarman, Ian Woodward and Claire Thomas
Enquiries: 01792 535300 · info@ors.org.uk · www.ors.org.uk

© Copyright July 2016

Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0

Contains OS data © Crown Copyright (2014)

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Methodology.....	2
Survey of Travelling Communities	2
Waiting Lists/Bricks and Mortar	3
3. Calculating Current and Future Need.....	4
Current and Future Pitch/Plot Needs	4
Non-Travelling Households.....	4
Unknown Households.....	5
Applying the New Definition.....	6
New Definition of Gypsies and Travellers.....	6
Key Demographic Findings.....	7
Revised Pitch Needs – ‘Travelling’ Gypsies and Travellers	7
Revised Pitch Needs – ‘Unknown’ Gypsies and Travellers	8
Waiting Lists/Bricks and Mortar	10
Travelling Showpeople Needs.....	10
Transit Sites / Temporary Stopping Places	10
Appendix A: Gypsy and Traveller Provision in Mid Sussex (January 2016).....	11
Appendix B – Assessment of Need for ‘Non-Travelling’ Travellers in Mid Sussex	12
Appendix C – PPTS 2015 Briefing Note	14
Appendix D – Technical Note on Household Formation	20

1. Introduction

- ^{1.1} The primary objective of the Mid Sussex Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) Update is to provide a robust revised assessment of current and future need for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation in Mid Sussex (there is no Travelling Showperson provision in Mid Sussex) for the period to 2031.
- ^{1.2} The primary reason for completing the Update was the publication of a revised version of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (PPTS) in August 2015. This included a change to the definition of Travellers for planning purposes.
- ^{1.3} The GTAA Update provides a robust and credible evidence base which can be used to aid the implementation of development plan policies and the provision of new Gypsy and Traveller pitches for the period 2016-2031.

2. Methodology

- ^{2.1} Over the past 10 years, ORS has continually refined a methodology for undertaking robust and defensible Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Needs Assessments. This has been updated recently in light of changes to PPTS in August 2015, as well as responding to recent changes set out by Planning Ministers, with particular reference to new household formation rates. This is an evolving methodology that has been adaptive to changes in planning policy as well as the outcomes of Local Plan Examinations and Planning Appeals.
- ^{2.2} The revised PPTS that was published in August 2015 contains a number of requirements for local authorities which must be addressed in any methodology. This includes the need to pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves); identification of permanent and transit site accommodation needs separately; working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities; and establishing whether households fall within the new PPTS definition for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople.
- ^{2.3} The stages below provide a summary of the methodology that was used to complete this study.
- ^{2.4} The approach currently used by ORS has recently been considered by the Planning Inspector for the Gloucester, Cheltenham and Tewkesbury Joint Core Strategy who concluded:

'The methodology behind this assessment included undertaking a full demographic study of all occupied pitches, interviewing Gypsy and Traveller households, including those living in bricks and mortar accommodation, and considering the implications of the new Government policy. On the evidence before me, I am satisfied that the assessment has been appropriately carried out, and there is no reason for me to dispute the figures.'

Survey of Travelling Communities

- ^{2.5} ORS sought to identify all pitches on authorised and unauthorised sites in Mid Sussex and worked closely with the Council to ensure that the Site Record Form would collect all the necessary information to support the study. This form has been updated to take account recent changes to PPTS to collect the information ORS feel is necessary to apply the new household definition.
- ^{2.6} ORS sought to undertake a full demographic study of all occupied pitches as our experience suggests that a sample based approach very often leads to an under-estimate of current and future needs which can be the subject of challenge at subsequent appeals and examinations. All occupied pitches were visited by experienced ORS researchers who conducted interviews with as many residents as possible to determine their current demographic characteristics, whether they have any current or likely future accommodation needs and how these may be addressed, whether there are any concealed households or doubling-up, and their travelling characteristics (to meet the new requirements in PPTS). Staff also sought to identify contacts living in bricks and mortar to interview.

- 2.7 Fieldwork was undertaken during October 2015 and a total of 15 interviews were completed with Gypsy and Traveller households living on the 4 public sites. Information about travelling was collected in all of the completed interviews. In addition there were 10 households on the public sites and 5 households on private, tolerated and unauthorised sites who either refused to take part in an interview or were not in when interviewers visited. No Travelling Showpeople households were identified living in Mid Sussex. A breakdown of sites that were visited can be found in **Appendix A**.
- 2.8 To support the new interviews, information was analysed from the site interviews that were undertaken for the GTAA in 2013 where questions on travelling patterns were also asked.
- 2.9 In addition information from the waiting lists for public sites in Mid Sussex identified a total of 5 households living in bricks and mortar who are currently living in Mid Sussex. However it was not possible to conduct an interview with any of these households.

Waiting Lists/Bricks and Mortar

- 2.10 Responsibility for the management of 3 of the 4 public sites in Mid Sussex and the waiting list for all of the public sites across West Sussex transferred to Homespace Sustainable Accommodation (HSSA) in 2015. HSSA have confirmed that all of the pitches on public sites in Mid Sussex are occupied and that there is a very low turnover of available pitches on the sites.
- 2.11 Analysis was completed of anonymised details of the 15 households on the waiting list who expressed a preference for a pitch on a named site in Mid Sussex to identify how many of them are currently living in Mid Sussex.
- 2.12 This identified a total of 5 households currently living in Mid Sussex who expressed a preference for a pitch on a named site in Mid Sussex. These have been considered as potential components of current need. This is consistent with the wider housing allocation policy in Mid Sussex.

Table 1 - Breakdown of West Sussex Site Waiting List (May 2015)

Site Preference	Current Location				Total
	Mid Sussex	Other West Sussex	Outside West Sussex	NFA or Unknown	
New Fairplace Hill	3	3	2	1	9
Any site in Mid Sussex	2	4	1	1	8
TOTAL	5	7	3	2	17

3. Current and Future Need

- ^{3.1} The revised version of PPTS now requires a GTAA to determine whether households living on sites, yards, encampments and in bricks and mortar fall within the new ‘planning’ definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson. Only if households fall within the new definition will their housing requirements need to be assessed separately from the wider population in the GTAA. The new definition now excludes those who have ceased to travel permanently. A Briefing Note was prepared by ORS in September 2015 that set out the implications of the revised PPTS on GTAA studies and a copy of this can be found in **Appendix C**.

Current and Future Pitch/Plot Needs

- ^{3.2} The primary change to the 2015 PPTS in relation to the assessment of need is the change in the definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson for planning purposes. Through the site interviews ORS sought to collect information necessary to assess each household against the new definition. As the new PPTS has only recently been issued only a small number of appeal decisions have been issued by the Planning Inspectorate on how the new definition should be applied – these support the view that households need to be able to demonstrate that they travel for work purposes to meet the new definition, and stay away from their usual place of residence when doing so.
- ^{3.3} To identify need, PPTS requires an assessment for current and future pitch requirements, but does not provide a methodology for this. However, as with any housing assessment, the underlying calculation can be broken down into a relatively small number of factors. In this case, the key issue is to compare the supply of pitches available for occupation with the current and future needs of the population.
- ^{3.4} This Update also takes into account significant changes to the status of a number of pitches within Mid Sussex that were included in the 2013 Study and the 2014 Update Report. This has reduced the number of existing pitches for Gypsies and Travellers in Mid Sussex by 12 from 42 to 30 (the reasons for this are set out in **Appendix A**).

Non-Travelling Households

- ^{3.5} Whilst households who do not travel fall outside the new definition of a Traveller; Romany Gypsies and Irish and Scottish Travellers may be able to demonstrate a right to culturally appropriate accommodation under the Equalities Act 2010. In addition provisions set out in the new Housing and Planning Act (2016) now include a duty (under Section 8 of the 1985 Housing Act that covers the requirement for a periodical review of housing needs) for local authorities to consider the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed, or places on inland waterways where houseboats can be moored. Draft Guidance¹ related to this section of the Housing and Planning Act has been published setting out how the government would want local housing authorities to undertake this assessment and it is the same as the GTAA assessment process. The implication is therefore that the housing needs of any

¹ “Draft guidance to local housing authorities on the periodical review of housing needs for caravans and houseboats.” (March 2016)

Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the new 'planning' definition of a Traveller will need to be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of the area through the SHMA process, and will form a subset of the wider need arising from households residing in caravans.

Unknown Households

- 3.6 As well as calculating need for households that meet the new PPTS 'planning' definition, the needs of the households where an interview was not completed (either due to refusal to be interviewed or households that were not present during the fieldwork period) need to be considered as part of the assessment process as they are believed to be ethnic Gypsies and Travellers who may meet the new definition. Whilst there is no law or guidance that sets out how the needs of these households should be addressed, an approach has been taken that seeks an estimate of potential need from these households. This will be a maximum additional need figure over and above the need identified for households that do meet the new definition.
- 3.7 The estimate seeks to identify potential current and future need from many pitches known to be temporary or unauthorised, and through new household formation. For the latter the national rate of 1.50% has been used as the demographics of residents are unknown. This approach is consistent with the outcomes of a recent Planning Appeal where access to a site was not possible but basic information was known about the number of households residing there. (Planning Inspectorate Ref: APP/Z6950/A/14/2212012).
- 3.8 Should further information be made available to the Council that will allow for the new definition to be applied, these households could either form a confirmed component of need to be included in either the GTAA or the SHMA.
- 3.9 ORS are of the opinion that it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether or not households where an interview was not completed meet the new definition based on the outcomes of households where an interview was completed.
- 3.10 However data that has been collected from over 1,500 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that overall approximately 10% of households who have been interviewed meet the new definition – and in some local authorities, particularly London Boroughs, 100% of households do not meet the new definition.
- 3.11 This would suggest that it is likely that only a small proportion of the potential need identified from these 'unknown' households will end up needing to be included in the GTAA.
- 3.12 Councils will need to carefully consider how to address the needs associated with 'Unknown' Travellers in Local Plan policies as it is unlikely that all of this need will need to be addressed through the provision of Gypsy or Traveller pitches. Robust monitoring of these pitches through the Local Plan process, together with the possibility of gathering new information on the status of residents during the biannual Caravan Count should be considered. Also in terms of Local Plan policies the Councils could consider the use of a specific site allocation/protection policy for those households that do meet the new definition, together with a criteria-based policy (as suggested in PPTS) for any unknown households that do provide evidence that they meet the definition.

Applying the New Definition

- 3.13 When the household survey was completed the outcomes from the questions on travelling were used to determine the status of each household against the new definition in PPTS. The same definition issue applies to Travelling Showpeople as to Gypsies and Travellers.
- 3.14 As this point ORS think that households that need to be considered in the GTAA fall under one of 3 classifications that will determine whether their housing needs will need to be assessed in the GTAA. Only those households that meet, or may meet, the new definition will form the components of need to be included in the GTAA.
- » Households that travel under the new definition.
 - » Households that have ceased to travel temporarily under the new definition.
 - » Households where an interview was not possible who may fall under the new definition.
- 3.15 The needs of those households that do not meet the new definition are also assessed to support the Council with its SHMA. This assessment can be found in **Appendix B**.

New Definition of Gypsies and Travellers

- 3.16 Information that was collected during the 15 interviews that were completed allowed each household to be assessed against the new definition of a Traveller². This included information on whether households have ever travelled; why they have stopped travelling; the reasons that they travel; and whether they plan to travel again in the future. In addition for 1 site where an interview was not completed has recently been granted planning permission (December 2015) and it was confirmed during the determination of the application that the household met the new definition.
- 3.17 The table below sets out the travelling status of households that were interviewed in Mid Sussex. This shows that only 2 households on 1 of the public sites, and 1 household on a private site meet the new definition of a Traveller – in that these households could demonstrate that they travel away from their usual place of residence for the purpose of work, or have ceased to travel temporarily due to children in education, ill health or old age.
- 3.18 The remaining 12 households that were interviewed were not able to demonstrate that they travel away from their usual place of residence for the purpose of work, or that they have ceased to travel temporarily due to children in education, ill health or old age. Some did travel for cultural reason to visit fairs, relatives or friends, and others had ceased to travel permanently.
- 3.19 In addition the number of households on each site where an interview was not possible are recorded as unknown. The reasons for this include households that refused to be interviewed and households that were not present during the fieldwork period – despite up to 3 visits.

Figure 2- Travelling Status of Households Interviewed in Mid Sussex

² As set out in PPTS 2015

Site Status	Meets New Definition	Does Not Meet New Definition	Unknown
New Fairplace Hill - Public	0	2	7
Bedelands - Public	2	7	0
Horsgate - Public	0	3	0
Walstead - Public	0	1	3
Marigold Farm	0	0	2
Market Gardens	1	0	0
East of Market Gardens (within SDNP area)	0	0	2
TOTAL	3	13	14

3.20 By means of comparison the research undertaken in May 2015 resulted in a total of 18 site interviews with households living on the 4 public sites in Mid Sussex. These showed that all of the households who were interviewed would not have met the new definition of a Traveller as they did not travel for work purposes, and the majority would not meet the new definition through having ceased to travel temporarily for the purpose of children in education, ill health or old age – although there was no information to demonstrate whether the households that may have ceased to travel temporarily planned to travel for work purposes in the future. Also the 5 interviews that were completed with residents living on private, tolerated and unauthorised sites in Mid Sussex at this time indicated that none would meet the new definition of a Traveller.

Key Demographic Findings

3.21 Ethnicity data that was captured from the 2 Gypsy and Traveller households that were interviewed who meet the new definition of a Traveller indicated that they were both Romany households. The households comprised 4 adults and no children or teenagers, and they have lived on the site all of their life. For the household where travelling status was confirmed through the planning permission it was not possible to determine the ethnicity of the household but it was possible to confirm it comprised 2 adults and 2 adult children and 1 teenage child.

3.22 Ethnicity data that was captured from the 13 Gypsy and Traveller households that do not meet the new definition indicated that they are a mixture of Romany Gypsies, English and Irish Travellers. These households comprised 42 residents – 24 adults and 18 children and teenagers aged under 18. This equates to 57% adults and 43% children and teenagers.

3.23 Although not a direct comparison, data from the 2011 Census for Mid Sussex as a whole (the settled community and the Gypsy or Irish Traveller community) has been compared to the demographics recorded in the household interviews. This shows a significantly higher proportion of those aged under 18 living on the public site.

Revised Pitch Needs – ‘Travelling’ Gypsies and Travellers

3.24 Based upon the evidence collected during the household interviews and following an assessment against the new definition of a Traveller, the additional pitch provision needed in Mid Sussex to 2031 from the 3 households that were interviewed who meet the new planning definition is for **no additional pitches**. Neither of the households that were interviewed identified any current or future needs arising and none have children who may wish to form a new household during the GTAA period.

3.25 For the household where meeting the definition was confirmed during the determination of the application it was not possible to identify any current or future need. However it was possible to determine that there are 3 male children and evidence from studies completed across the UK suggests that male children are more likely to move away. In addition the planning application was for a single pitch and this also suggests that no provision was being sought to meet any future need for the children.

Figure 3 – Additional Need for ‘Travelling’ Households in Mid Sussex to 2031 (from 3 household interviews)

Reason for Requirement/Vacancy	Gross Requirement	Supply	Net Requirement
Supply of Pitches			
Additional supply from empty pitches	-	0	-
Movement to bricks and mortar	-	0	-
Additional supply unimplemented pitches	-	0	-
Total Supply	-	0	-
Current Need			
Unauthorised developments or encampments	0	-	-
Concealed households	0	-	-
Net movement from bricks and mortar	0	-	-
Total Current Need	0	-	-
Future Need			
Sites with temporary planning permission	0	-	-
Net migration	0	-	-
New household formation	0	-	-
Total Future Needs	0	-	-
Total	0	0	0

Figure 4 – Additional Need for ‘Travelling’ Households by 5 Year Periods

2016-21	2021-26	2026-31	Total
0	0	0	0

Revised Pitch Needs – ‘Unknown’ Gypsies and Travellers

3.26 It was not possible to determine the travelling status of a total of 15 households as they either refused to be interviewed, or were not on site at the time of the fieldwork.

3.27 However the needs of these households do still need to be recognised as they are believed to be ethnic Gypsies and Travellers who may meet the new definition. Whilst there is no law or guidance that sets out how the needs of these households should be addressed, an approach has been taken that seeks an estimate of potential need from these households. This will be a maximum additional need figure above the need identified for households that do meet the new definition.

3.28 Should further information be made available to the Council that will allow for the new definition to be applied the overall level of need could rise by 4 additional pitches from new household formation

(this uses a base of the 15 households and a net growth rate of 1.50%³). Therefore total additional need **could increase by a further 4 pitches**, plus any concealed adult households or 5 year need arising from older teenagers living in these households. Only 2 of the 15 unknown households were living in the South Downs National Park and this equates to a need for 1 additional pitch.

^{3.29} In terms of providing results by 5 year time periods, new household formation is apportioned over time based on the net compound growth rate of 1.50%. This gives 1 new household in each of the first 5 year periods, and 2 new households in the final 5 year period.

Figure 5 – Additional Need for ‘Unknown’ Households in Mid Sussex to 2031

Reason for Requirement/Vacancy	Gross Requirement	Supply	Net Requirement
Supply of Pitches			
Additional supply from empty pitches	-	0	-
Movement to bricks and mortar	-	0	-
Additional supply unimplemented pitches	-	0	-
Total Supply	-	0	-
Current Need			
Unauthorised developments or encampments	0	-	-
Concealed households	0	-	-
Net movement from bricks and mortar	0	-	-
Total Current Need	0	-	-
Future Need			
Sites with temporary planning permission	0	-	-
Net migration	0	-	-
New household formation	4	-	-
Total Future Needs	4	-	-
Total	4	0	4

Figure 6 – Additional Need for ‘Unknown’ Households by 5 Year Periods

2016-21	2021-26	2026-31	Total
1	1	2	4

^{3.30} ORS are of the opinion that it would not be appropriate when producing a robust assessment of need to make any firm assumptions about whether or not households where an interview was not completed meet the new definition based on the outcomes of households where an interview was completed.

^{3.31} However data that has been collected from over 1,250 household interviews that have been completed by ORS since the changes to PPTS in 2015 suggests that overall between 10%-20% of households who have been interviewed meet the new definition – and in some local authorities, particularly other London Boroughs, 100% of households do not meet the new definition.

³ The ORS Technical Note on Population and Household Growth has identified a national growth rate of 1.50% which has been applied in the absence of further demographic information about these households. See **Appendix D**.

- 3.32 This would suggest that it is likely that only a small proportion of the potential need identified from these households will need to be included in the GTAA, and that the majority should be considered by the SHMA.

Waiting Lists/Bricks and Mortar

- 3.33 It was not possible to contact the 5 households living in bricks and mortar on the waiting list for one of the public sites. Should a pitch become available they will have to provide information on their travelling patterns during the pitch allocation process. It is important that the allocation policy for new tenants on public sites considers changes to the new definition of a Traveller.

Travelling Showpeople Needs

- 3.34 The assessment did not find any Travelling Showpeople yards in Mid Sussex so no additional need has been identified. This is consistent with previous GTAA findings for Mid Sussex.

Transit Sites / Temporary Stopping Places

- 3.35 There is the possibility that changes to PPTS could result in increased levels of travelling but it is not recommended that there is a need for the Council to consider any transit provision at this time as there is an operational public transit site in Chichester which can be used for enforcement actions for Travellers in any district or borough in West Sussex.
- 3.36 The situation relating to levels of unauthorised encampments by households that meet the new definition of a Traveller, and occupation levels of any unauthorised roadside encampments, should however be continually monitored whilst the changes associated with the new PPTS develop.

Appendix A: Gypsy and Traveller Provision in Mid Sussex (January 2016)

Site	Number Pitches or Plots
Public Sites	
Fairplace Hill Caravan Site, Burgess Hill	9
Bedelands Caravan Site, Burgess Hill	9
Horsgate Caravan Site, Cuckfield	3
Walstead Caravan Site, Haywards Heath	4
Private Sites with Permanent Permission	
Marigold Farm Caravan Site, Ansty	1
Land East of Market Garden (known as Conifers), Fulking <i>(in South Downs National Park Authority area)</i>	2
Market Gardens Caravan Site, Fulking <i>(in South Downs National Park Authority area)</i>	1
Private Sites with Temporary Permission	
None	0
Tolerated Sites – Long-term without Planning Permission	
Marigold Farm Caravan Site, Ansty ⁴	1
Unauthorised Developments	
None	0
Transit Provision	
None	0
Authorised Travelling Showpeople Yards	
None	0
Unauthorised Travelling Showpeople Yards	
None	0

Changes from 2014 Update Report

Site	Reason for Change	Pitches
Bedelands Caravan Site	2 pitches combined to for a double pitch	-1
Highfields	Redeveloped as market housing	-6
Pitts Head	Not occupied by Travellers	-4
Little Clonking	Temporary permission expired – site sold to non-Travellers and residents moved on	-1
Land at Meadow Wood	Temporary permission expired – site sold to non-Travellers and residents moved on	-1
Marylands Nursery	Planning permission granted for agricultural dwelling and removal of tolerated mobile home	-1
Market Gardens	Granted permanent planning permission	n/a
Land East of Market Gardens	Granted permanent planning permission	+2
TOTAL		-12

⁴ There are both authorised and tolerated pitches on this site

Appendix B – Assessment of Need for ‘Non-Travelling’ Travellers in Mid Sussex

It is not a requirement to include details of need from Non-Travelling Gypsies and Travellers in the GTAA. However, an assessment of this need has been made to support the Council with its SHMA..

Whilst households who do not travel fall outside the new definition of a Traveller; Romany Gypsies and Irish and Scottish Travellers may be able to demonstrate a cultural need or right to a caravan site under the Equalities Act 2010⁵. Provisions set out in the Housing and Planning Act (2016) now include a duty for local authorities to consider the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed. The implication is therefore that the housing needs of any Gypsy and Traveller households who do not meet the new ‘planning’ definition of a Traveller will need to be assessed as part of the wider housing needs of the area through the SHMA process, and will form a subset of the wider need arising from households residing in caravans. On this basis, it is evident that whilst the needs of the 13 households who do not meet the new definition will represent only a very small proportion of the overall housing need, the Council will still need to ensure that arrangements are in place to properly address these needs.

Analysis of the household interviews for those **who do not meet the new definition** indicated that there is a need **for 20 additional pitches** over the 15 year GTAA period 2016-2031. Current need of 12 is made up of 5 adult children living in over-crowded conditions on pitches who are in need of a pitch of their own, and 7 older teenage children who will be in need of a pitch of their own in the first 5 years of the GTAA period. Future need is made up of 8 from new household formation using a formation rate of 1.80% that has been derived from the demographics of the households that were interviewed.

There were no non-travelling Gypsies or Travellers identified in the South Downs National Park.

Figure 7 – Assessment of Need for ‘Non-Travelling’ Travellers in Mid Sussex to 2031

Reason for Requirement/Vacancy	Gross Requirement	Supply	Net Requirement
Supply of Pitches			
Additional supply from empty pitches	-	0	-
Movement to bricks and mortar	-	0	-
Additional supply unimplemented pitches	-	0	-
Total Supply	-	0	-
Current Need			
Unauthorised developments or encampments	0	-	-
Concealed households	12	-	-
Net movement from bricks and mortar	0	-	-
Total Current Need	12	-	-
Future Need			
Sites with temporary planning permission	0	-	-
Net migration	0	-	-
New household formation	8	-	-
Total Future Needs	8	-	-

⁵ These groups have their ethnicity recognised as a protected characteristic.

Total	20	0	20
-------	----	---	----

Figure 8 – Additional Need for ‘Non-Travelling’ Households by 5 Year Periods

2016-21	2021-26	2026-31	Total
14	3	3	20

Appendix C – PPTS 2015 Briefing Note

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments

ORS Briefing on the Implications of Changes to Planning Policy for Traveller Sites

September 2015

Please note that these are the current views of ORS on the implications of the changes to PPTS and clarification has not yet been sought from DCLG on our interpretation of the potential changes to the definition of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in relation to undertaking GTAA's.

Background

The recent changes to PPTS that were published on 31st August will now require a GTAA to determine whether households living on sites, encampments and in bricks and mortar fall within the new definition of a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson. Only if they fall within the new definition will their housing needs need to be assessed separately from the wider population, as required by the Housing Act (2004).

There are a number of issues that will need to be considered when seeking to apply the new definition and this short briefing covers the views of ORS on these in relation to completing a GTAA.

Conflicting Definitions of a Traveller

It is our understanding there are now 3 definitions for a Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson. The PPTS (2015) definition, the Housing Act (2004) definition, and the Equality Act (2010) definition (which only applies only to Romany and Irish Travellers as an ethnic group).

- ¹ In their response to the consultation on Planning and Travellers DCLG stated that *the Government will, when parliamentary time allows, seek to amend primary legislation to clarify the duties of local authorities to plan for the housing needs of their residents.* This should bring the Housing Act definition in line with the PPTS definition.

The key issue is that there will be Romany and Irish Travellers who no longer travel so will not fall under the Planning or Housing definition, but Councils may still need to meet their needs through the provision of *culturally suitable* housing under the requirements of the Equality Act.

We believe that this will now create a new category of Gypsy, Traveller or Travelling Showperson - *a Non-Travelling Romany or Irish Traveller* - that Councils will need to consider in terms of housing provision. The needs of households that fall within this category *will not necessarily* be assessed in a GTAA and will need to be assessed separately under the NPPF.

The 'Planning Definition' in PPTS:

Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.

In determining whether persons are "gypsies and travellers" for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:

- a) Whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life*

b) *The reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life*

c) *Whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.*

The 'Housing Definition' in the Housing Act 2004

Section 225: *Every local housing authority must, when undertaking a review of housing needs in their district under section 8 of the Housing Act 1985 (c. 68), carry out an assessment of the accommodation needs of gypsies and travellers residing in or resorting to their district...gypsies and travellers has the meaning given by regulations made by the appropriate national authority.*

The definition of Gypsies and Travellers as referred to at Section 225 of the Act is that set out for the purposes of planning by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.

Therefore the definition of 'gypsies and travellers' for this purpose is specified in 'The Housing (Assessment of Accommodation Needs) (Meaning of Gypsies and Travellers) (England) Regulations 2006' (Statutory Instrument: 2006 No. 3190).

The following definition of "gypsies and travellers" should now be used:

(a) persons with a cultural tradition of nomadism or living in a caravan; and

(b) all other persons of a nomadic habit of life, whatever their race or origin, including:

(i) such persons who, on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependant's educational or health needs or old age, have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently; and

(ii) members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people (whether or not travelling together as such).

The 'Equality Act' 2010 Definition

The courts have determined that Romany Gypsies and Irish Travellers are protected against race discrimination because they are included under the Protected Characteristics as an ethnic group. Culturally suitable housing should be provided for this group.

Definition of Travelling

One of the most important questions that GTAs will need to address in terms of applying the new definition is *what constitutes travelling?* This has been determined through case law that has tested the meaning of the term '*nomadic*'.

R v South Hams District Council (1994) – defined Gypsies as "*persons who wander or travel for the purpose of making or seeking their livelihood (not persons who travel from place to place without any connection between their movements and their means of livelihood.)*" This includes 'born' Gypsies and Travellers as well as 'elective' Travellers such as New Age Travellers.

In Maidstone BC v Secretary of State for the Environment and Dunn (2006), it was held that a Romany Gypsy who bred horses and travelled to horse fairs at Appleby, Stow-in-the-Wold and the New Forest, where he bought and sold horses, and who remained away from his permanent site for up to two months of the year, at least partly in connection with this traditional Gypsy activity, was entitled to be accorded Gypsy status.

In *Greenwich LBC v Powell* (1989), Lord Bridge of Harwich stated that a person could be a statutory Gypsy if he led a nomadic way of life *only seasonally*.

The definition was widened further by the decision in *R v Shropshire CC ex p Bungay* (1990). The case concerned a Gypsy family that had not travelled for some 15 years in order to care for its elderly and infirm parents. An aggrieved resident living in the area of the family's recently approved Gypsy site sought judicial review of the local authority's decision to accept that the family had retained their Gypsy status even though they had not travelled for some considerable time. Dismissing the claim, the judge held that a person could remain a Gypsy even if he or she did not travel, provided that their nomadism was held in abeyance and not abandoned.

That point was revisited in the case of *Hearne v National Assembly for Wales* (1999), where a traditional Gypsy was held not to be a Gypsy for the purposes of planning law as he had stated that he intended to abandon his nomadic habit of life, lived in a permanent dwelling and was taking a course that led to permanent employment.

It is our understanding that the implication of these rulings in terms of applying the new definition is that it will include those who travel but also have a permanent site or place of residence, but that it will not include those who travel for purposes other than work – such as visiting horse fairs and visiting friends or relatives. It will in our view not cover those who commute to work daily from a permanent place of residence.

It will also be the case in our view that a household where some family members travel for nomadic purposes on a regular basis, but where other family members stay at home to look after children in education, or other dependents with health problems etc. the household unit would be defined as travelling under the new definition.

Households will also fall under the new definition if they can demonstrate that they have ceased to travel temporarily as a result of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age. In order to have ceased to travel temporarily these households will need to demonstrate that they have travelled in the past. In addition households may also have to demonstrate that they plan to travel again in the future. These issues are covered later in this briefing.

Changes to Fieldwork Requirements

In determining whether households fall within the new definition it is important that GTAA fieldwork is undertaken in a robust and inclusive manner, with efforts made to speak with households living on *all pitches and plots* in any given local authority area. Attempts to speak with every household is likely to increase the costs of site fieldwork but it is felt that robust and defensible evidence on household travelling characteristics can only be obtained by speaking with a member from each family directly. If this does not happen the determination of whether a household falls within the new definition is likely to be challenged.

Interviewers will need to follow an approach similar to what is being advocated by Welsh Government in their recent GTAA Guidance which requires interviewers to make a minimum of 3 attempts to complete a successful household interview before seeking information from a third

party. The keeping of an Interview Log to record dates and times of unsuccessful visits, and reasons for a refusal to be interviewed is also recommended.

Qualifying Questions and Evidence to Support Travelling Status

A series of *qualifying questions* will need to be asked during the more intensive household interviews to determine whether each household will fall under the new definition. There will be a need to ask questions to determine for example:

- » The ethnicity of households;
- » Whether they travel for nomadic purposes as defined by case law;
- » If they do not travel, whether they have travelled for nomadic purposes in the past;
- » Whether they have ceased to travel permanently or temporarily;
- » The reasons why they have ceased to travel temporarily; and
- » Whether and when they plan to resume travelling for nomadic purposes.

The responses to these questions should enable the new planning/housing and ethnicity definitions of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople to be applied to each household in the first instance.

One of the most difficult issues to address will be to evidence households that claim to have *ceased travelling temporarily* as a result of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age. This will need to include evidence that households have travelled in the past.

Example of evidence to support the new definition and households that claim to have ceased to travel temporarily could include:

- » Details of previous travelling by the applicant or by family members for the purpose of work could include originals or copies of family photographs.
- » Evidence to support household members ceasing to travel temporarily could include letters or reports from GPs or consultants; and Letters from head teachers and/or Traveller Education Officers.
- » Evidence to support not being able to travel due to a lack of sites or transit provision could include details of attempts to find alternative sites, including, for example, letters to local estate agents and evidence of enquiries to local authorities.
- » Evidence to support a nomadic way of life for work purposes could include records of work undertaken such as quotes and invoices; receipts for stays on transit sites; and details of enforcement of unauthorised encampments; and details of schools attended and GP registrations whilst away travelling.

The practical implications of this in relation to the fieldwork element of a GTAA will be whether this evidence actually needs to be produced or whether households will simply need to be asked if they would be able to provide evidence if requested at a later date.

Applying the Definition

When the household survey is complete the outcomes from the qualifying questions will need to be used to determine the status of each household on each site. Decisions will need to be made

whether it will be for a local authority, a third party undertaking the GTAA, or a combination of both, to make the final determination of whether households fall within the new definition.

It is highly likely that this will result in sites with a mixture of household statuses – even on smaller private family sites. We think that households will fall under one of 4 classifications that will determine whether their housing needs will need to be assessed in the GTAA.

- » Households that travel under the new definition – Yes
- » Households that have ceased to travel temporarily under the new definition - Yes
- » Households that do not travel under the new definition - No
- » Romany or Irish Travellers who do not travel under the new definition - No

In practical terms, a current GTAA may have a need for 100 pitches from new household formation over its local plan period. If 50% of these households do not meet the new definition of being a Traveller then it could be argued that the need from new household formation should fall from 100 to 50. However, this assumes that the children of current non-Travelling households will also not travel themselves in the future and will not have their needs from new household formation met. This is going to be very difficult to evidence in practice.

This also raises the question of who is responsible for assessing the needs of the 50 households who have been removed from the assessment of need in the GTAA. The Equalities Act requires that *Romany and Irish Travellers* are provided with *culturally sensitive* accommodation. It may therefore be that the GTAA will exclude 50 households on the grounds that they no longer meet the planning/housing definition of being Travellers, but the requirements of the Equalities Act mean that these households' ethnic status will still lead to the need to provide caravan pitches. These may be on park home sites rather than Gypsy and Traveller sites.

In practice it may be that the new definition has a very large impact on a small number of planning applications where households who no longer travel will not be deemed Travellers. However, for the existing population and sites it is unlikely the effect will be as dramatic as being envisaged. Given that the majority of Councils do not have 5 year land supplies for either housing or Gypsy and Traveller sites, it may simply be that planning applications are moved from being for Gypsy and Traveller sites to being for park home sites – using case law established by *Wenman v Secretary of State* and subsequent changes made to Paragraphs 49 and 159 in the NPPF in July 2015.

Paragraph 49

From today, those persons who fall within the definition of 'traveller' under the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, cannot rely on the lack of a five year supply of deliverable housing sites under the National Planning Policy Framework to show that relevant policies for the supply of housing are not up to date. Such persons should have the lack of a five year supply of deliverable traveller sites considered in accordance with Planning Policy for Traveller Sites.

Paragraph 159

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites sets out how 'travellers' (as defined in Annex A of that document) accommodation needs should also be assessed. Those who do not fall under that definition should have their accommodation needs addressed under the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Conclusions

As a result of the changes to PPTS ORS have identified that there are a number of key points that local authorities need to be aware of in relation to their GTAA:

- » It is unclear at the present time whether the changes will be applied retrospectively to GTAAs that have already been published and have been through a Local Plan Examination – however they will need to be taken into consideration when dealing with new planning applications and appeals. This will impact on the identification of a 5 year supply of deliverable Traveller sites as the level of need will be unknown without applying the definition to all households.
- » In the majority of cases it may be necessary to undertake new site fieldwork to gather up-to-date and robust information from each household on their travelling characteristics in order for the new definition to be properly applied for the purpose of assessing household need.
- » The definition of a *Traveller* and what constitutes *Travelling* appear to be clearly set out in case law. What local authorities will need to consider how to robustly apply the outcomes of the qualifying questions when determining whether a household has ceased to travel temporarily?
- » It is difficult at this stage to consider the future needs (new household formation) of the children of current non-travelling households as it will be very hard to evidence whether or not they will travel themselves in the future.
- » In short this will not reduce the number of households seeking to live on sites in caravans. Local authorities will still need to consider how to address the housing needs of Romany and Irish Travellers who do not travel but fall under the requirements of the Equality Act. For the remainder of those households who do not fall under the new definition local authorities will still need to consider how they should have their accommodation needs addressed under the provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework. These will most likely need to be met on park home sites as opposed to Traveller sites.
- » It is also important to note that the definition will need to be applied in a consistent manner to households living in caravans on sites and encampments, and for those living in bricks and mortar, as there is nothing in the definition that states that a household needs to live in a caravan or other mobile structure.
- » There are also likely to be practical implications in the reporting of GTAAs as the assessment will now need to be on a pitch-by-pitch basis, and may involve the publication of sensitive and personal information that may lead to issues with data protection requirements.

Appendix D – Technical Note on Household Formation



Technical Note

Gypsy and Traveller Household Formation and Growth Rates

August 26th 2015

Opinion Research Services
Spin-out company of Swansea University



As with all our studies, this research is subject to Opinion Research Services' Standard Terms and Conditions of Contract.

Any press release or publication of this research requires the advance approval of ORS. Such approval will only be refused on the grounds of inaccuracy or misrepresentation.

© Copyright August 2015

Contents

Household Growth Rates.....	4
Abstract and conclusions.....	4
Introduction.....	4
Compound growth.....	6
Caravan counts	7
Modelling population growth.....	8
Household growth	12
Household dissolution rates	14
Summary conclusions	14

Household Growth Rates

Abstract and conclusions

1. National and local household formation and growth rates are important components of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments, but little detailed work has been done to assess their likely scale. Nonetheless, nationally, a net growth rate of 3% per annum has been commonly assumed and widely used in local assessments – even though there is actually no statistical evidence of households growing so quickly. The result has been to inflate both national and local requirements for additional pitches unrealistically.
2. Those seeking to provide evidence of high annual net household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers have sometimes sought to rely on increases in the number of caravans, as reflected in caravan counts. However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic – so the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis (which, of course, is used to assess housing needs in the settled community).
3. The growth in the Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – a rate which is much less than the 3% per annum often assumed, but still at least four times greater than in the general population. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that net Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth rates are above 2% per annum nationally.
4. The often assumed 3% per annum net household growth rate is unrealistic and would require clear statistical evidence before being used for planning purposes. In practice, the best available evidence supports a national net household growth rate of 1.5% per annum for Gypsies and Travellers.
5. Some local authorities might perhaps allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller communities, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used for planning purposes.

Introduction

6. The rate of household growth is a key element in all housing assessments, including Gypsy and Traveller accommodation assessments. Compared with the general population, the relative youthfulness of many Gypsy and Traveller populations means that their birth rates are likely to generate higher-than-average population growth, and proportionately higher *gross* household formation rates. However, while their *gross* rate of household growth might be high, Gypsy and Traveller communities’ future accommodation needs are, in practice, affected by any reduction in the number of households due to dissolution and/or by movements in/out of the area and/or by transfers into other forms of housing. Therefore, the *net* rate of household growth is the *gross* rate of formation *minus* any reductions in households due to such factors. Of course, it is the *net* rate that is important in determining future accommodation needs for Gypsies and Travellers.

7. In this context, it is a matter of concern that many Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments have not distinguished *gross* and *net* growth rates nor provided evidence for their assumed rates of household increase. These deficiencies are particularly important because when assumed growth rates are unrealistically high, and then compounded over a number of planning years, they can yield exaggerated projections of accommodation needs and misdirect public policy. Nonetheless, assessments and guidance documents have assumed 'standard' *net* growth rates of about 3% without sufficiently recognising either the range of factors impacting on the *gross* household growth rates or the implications of unrealistic assumptions when projected forward on a compound basis year by year.
8. For example, in a study for the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister ('Local Authority Gypsy and Traveller Sites in England', 2003), Pat Niner concluded that *net* growth rates as high as 2%-3% per annum should be assumed. Similarly, the Regional Spatial Strategies (RSS) (which continued to be quoted after their abolition was announced in 2010) used *net* growth rates of 3% per annum without providing any evidence to justify the figure (For example, 'Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the East of England: A Revision to the Regional Spatial Strategy for the East of England July 2009').
9. However, the guidance of the Department of Communities and Local Government ('Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments: Guidance', 2007) was much clearer in saying that:

The 3% family formation growth rate is used here as an example only. The appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local survey, information from agencies working directly with local Gypsy and Traveller communities, and trends identified from figures previously given for the caravan count. [In footnote 6, page 25]
10. The guidance emphasises that local information and trends should always be taken into account – because the *gross* rate of household growth is moderated by reductions in households through dissolution and/or by households moving into bricks and mortar housing or moving to other areas. In other words, even if 3% is plausible as a *gross* growth rate, it is subject to moderation through such reductions in households through dissolution or moves. It is the resulting *net* household growth rate that matters for planning purposes in assessing future accommodation needs.
11. The current guidance also recognises that assessments should use local evidence for *net* future household growth rates. A letter from the Minister for Communities and Local Government (Brandon Lewis MP), to Andrew Selous MP (placed in the House of Commons library on March 26th 2014) said:

I can confirm that the annual growth rate figure of 3% does not represent national planning policy.

The previous Administration's guidance for local authorities on carrying out Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments under the Housing Act 2004 is unhelpful in that it uses an illustrative example of calculating future accommodation need based on the 3% growth rate figure. The guidance notes that the appropriate rate for individual assessments will depend on the details identified in the local authority's own assessment of need. As such the Government is not endorsing or supporting the 3% growth rate figure.'

12. Therefore, while there are many assessments where a national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate of 3% per annum has been assumed (on the basis of 'standard' precedent and/or guidance), there is little to justify this position and it conflicts with current planning guidance. In this context, this document seeks to integrate available evidence about *net* household growth rates in order to provide a more robust basis for future assessments.

Compound growth

13. The assumed rate of household growth is crucially important for Gypsy and Traveller studies because for future planning purposes it is projected over time on a compound basis – so errors are progressively enlarged. For example, if an assumed 3% *net* growth rate is compounded each year then the implication is that the number of households will double in only 23.5 years; whereas if a *net* compound rate of 1.5% is used then the doubling of household numbers would take 46.5 years. The table below shows the impact of a range of compound growth rates.

Table 1
Compound Growth Rates and Time Taken for Number of Households to Double

Household Growth Rate per Annum	Time Taken for Household to Double
3.00%	23.5 years
2.75%	25.5 years
2.50%	28 years
2.25%	31 years
2.00%	35 years
1.75%	40 years
1.50%	46.5 years

14. The above analysis is vivid enough, but another illustration of how different rates of household growth impact on total numbers over time is shown in the table below – which uses a baseline of 100 households while applying different compound growth rates over time. After 5 years, the difference between a 1.5% growth rate and a 3% growth rate is only 8 households (116 minus 108); but with a 20-year projection the difference is 46 households (181 minus 135).

Table 2
Growth in Households Over time from a Baseline of 100 Households

Household Growth Rate per Annum	5 years	10 years	15 years	20 years	50 years	100 years
3.00%	116	134	156	181	438	1,922
2.75%	115	131	150	172	388	1,507
2.50%	113	128	145	164	344	1,181
2.25%	112	125	140	156	304	925
2.00%	110	122	135	149	269	724
1.75%	109	119	130	141	238	567
1.50%	108	116	125	135	211	443

15. In summary, the assumed rate of household growth is crucially important because any exaggerations are magnified when the rate is projected over time on a compound basis. As we have shown, when compounded and projected over the years, a 3% annual rate of household growth implies much larger future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation requirements than a 1.5% per annum rate.

Caravan counts

16. Those seeking to demonstrate national Gypsy and Traveller household growth rates of 3% or more per annum have, in some cases, relied on increases in the number of caravans (as reflected in caravan counts) as their evidence. For example, some planning agents have suggested using 5-year trends in the national caravan count as an indication of the general rate of Gypsy and Traveller household growth. For example, the count from July 2008 to July 2013 shows a growth of 19% in the number of caravans on-site – which is equivalent to an average annual compound growth rate of 3.5%. So, *if plausible*, this approach could justify using a 3% or higher annual household growth rate in projections of future needs.
17. However, caravan count data are unreliable and erratic. For example, the July 2013 caravan count was distorted by the inclusion of 1,000 caravans (5% of the total in England) recorded at a Christian event near Weston-Super-Mare in North Somerset. Not only was this only an estimated number, but there were no checks carried out to establish how many caravans were occupied by Gypsies and Travellers. Therefore, the resulting count overstates the Gypsy and Traveller population and also the rate of household growth.
18. ORS has applied the caravan-counting methodology hypothetically to calculate the implied national household growth rates for Gypsies and Travellers over the last 15 years, and the outcomes are shown in the table below. The January 2013 count suggests an average annual growth rate of 1.6% over five years, while the July 2013 count gives an average 5-year rate of 3.5%; likewise a study benchmarked at January 2004 would yield a growth rate of 1%, while one benchmarked at January 2008 would imply a 5% rate of growth. Clearly any model as erratic as this is not appropriate for future planning.

Table 3
National CLG Caravan Count July 1998 to July 2014 with Growth Rates (Source: CLG)

Date	Number of caravans	5 year growth in caravans	Percentage growth over 5 years	Annual over last 5 years.
Jan 2015	20,123	1,735	9.54%	1.84%
July 2014	20,035	2,598	14.90%	2.81%
Jan 2014	19,503	1,638	9.17%	1.77%
July 2013	20,911	3,339	19.00%	3.54%
Jan 2013	19,359	1,515	8.49%	1.64%
Jul 2012	19,261	2,112	12.32%	2.35%
Jan 2012	18,746	2,135	12.85%	2.45%
Jul 2011	18,571	2,258	13.84%	2.63%
Jan 2011	18,383	2,637	16.75%	3.15%
Jul 2010	18,134	2,271	14.32%	2.71%
Jan 2010	18,370	3,001	19.53%	3.63%
Jul 2009	17,437	2,318	15.33%	2.89%
Jan 2009	17,865	3,503	24.39%	4.46%
Jul 2008	17,572	2,872	19.54%	3.63%
Jan 2008	17,844	3,895	27.92%	5.05%

Jul 2007	17,149	2,948	20.76%	3.84%
Jan 2007	16,611	2,893	21.09%	3.90%
Jul 2006	16,313	2,511	18.19%	3.40%
Jan 2006	15,746	2,352	17.56%	3.29%
Jul 2005	15,863	2,098	15.24%	2.88%
Jan 2005	15,369	1,970	14.70%	2.78%
Jul 2004	15,119	2,110	16.22%	3.05%
Jan 2004	14,362	817	6.03%	1.18%
Jul 2003	14,700			
Jan 2003	13,949			
Jul 2002	14,201			
Jan 2002	13,718			
Jul 2001	13,802			
Jan 2001	13,394			
Jul 2000	13,765			
Jan 2000	13,399			
Jan 1999	13,009			
Jul 1998	13,545			

19. The annual rate of growth in the number of caravans varies from slightly over 1% to just over 5% per annum. We would note that if longer time periods are used the figures do become more stable. Over the 36 year period 1979 (the start of the caravan counts) to 2015 the compound growth rate in caravan numbers has been 2.5% per annum.
20. However, there is no reason to assume that these widely varying rates correspond with similar rates of increase in the household population. In fact, the highest rates of caravan growth occurred between 2006 and 2009, when the first wave of Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs assessments were being undertaken – so it seems plausible that the assessments prompted the inclusion of additional sites and caravans (which may have been there, but not counted previously). Counting caravan numbers is very poor proxy for Gypsy and Traveller household growth. Caravans counted are not always occupied by Gypsy and Traveller families and numbers of caravans held by families may increase generally as affluence and economic conditions improve, (but without a growth in households)
21. There is no reason to believe that the varying rates of increase in the number of caravans are matched by similar growth rates in the household population. The caravan count is not an appropriate planning guide and the only proper way to project future population and household growth is through demographic analysis – which should consider both population and household growth rates. This approach is not appropriate to needs studies for the following reasons:

Modelling population growth

Introduction

22. The basic equation for calculating the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth seems simple: start with the base population and then calculate the average increase/decrease by allowing for births, deaths and in-/out-migration. Nevertheless, deriving satisfactory estimates is difficult because the evidence is often tenuous – so, in this context, ORS has modelled the growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population based on the most likely birth and death rates, and by using PopGroup (the leading software for

population and household forecasting). To do so, we have supplemented the available national statistical sources with data derived locally (from our own surveys) and in some cases from international research. None of the supplementary data are beyond question, and none will stand alone; but, when taken together they have cumulative force. In any case the approach we adopt is more critically self-aware than simply adopting 'standard' rates on the basis of precedent.

Migration effects

23. Population growth is affected by national net migration and local migration (as Gypsies and Travellers move from one area to another). In terms of national migration, the population of Gypsies and Travellers is relatively fixed, with little international migration. It is in principle possible for Irish Travellers (based in Ireland) to move to the UK, but there is no evidence of this happening to a significant extent and the vast majority of Irish Travellers were born in the UK or are long-term residents. In relation to local migration effects, Gypsies and Travellers can and do move between local authorities – but in each case the in-migration to one area is matched by an out-migration from another area. Since it is difficult to estimate the net effect of such movements over local plan periods, ORS normally assumes that there will be nil net migration to/from an area. Nonetheless, where it is possible to estimate specific in-/out- migration effects, we take account of them, while distinguishing between migration and household formation effects.

Population profile

24. The main source for the rate of Gypsy and Traveller population growth is the UK 2011 Census. In some cases the data can be supplemented by ORS's own household survey data which is derived from more than 2,000 face-to-face interviews with Gypsies and Travellers since 2012. The ethnicity question in the 2011 census included for the first time 'Gypsy and Irish Traveller' as a specific category. While non-response bias probably means that the size of the population was underestimated, the age profile the census provides is not necessarily distorted and matches the profile derived from ORS's extensive household surveys.
25. The age profile is important, as the table below (derived from census data) shows. Even assuming zero deaths in the population, achieving an annual population growth of 3% (that is, doubling in size every 23.5 years) would require half of the "year one" population to be aged under 23.5 years. When deaths are accounted for (at a rate of 0.5% per annum), to achieve the same rate of growth, a population of Gypsies and Travellers would need about half its members to be aged under 16 years. In fact, though, the 2011 census shows that the midway age point for the national Gypsy and Traveller population is 26 years – so the population could not possibly double in 23.5 years.

Table 4
Age Profile for the Gypsy and Traveller Community in England (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Age Group	Number of People	Cumulative Percentage
Age 0 to 4	5,725	10.4
Age 5 to 7	3,219	16.3
Age 8 to 9	2,006	19.9
Age 10 to 14	5,431	29.8
Age 15	1,089	31.8
Age 16 to 17	2,145	35.7
Age 18 to 19	1,750	38.9

Age 20 to 24	4,464	47.1
Age 25 to 29	4,189	54.7
Age 30 to 34	3,833	61.7
Age 35 to 39	3,779	68.5
Age 40 to 44	3,828	75.5
Age 45 to 49	3,547	82.0
Age 50 to 54	2,811	87.1
Age 55 to 59	2,074	90.9
Age 60 to 64	1,758	94.1
Age 65 to 69	1,215	96.3
Age 70 to 74	905	97.9
Age 75 to 79	594	99.0
Age 80 to 84	303	99.6
Age 85 and over	230	100.0

Birth and fertility rates

26. The table above provides a way of understanding the rate of population growth through births. The table shows that surviving children aged 0-4 years comprise 10.4% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which means that, on average, 2.1% of the total population was born each year (over the last 5 years). The same estimate is confirmed if we consider that those aged 0-14 comprise 29.8% of the Gypsy and Traveller population – which also means that almost exactly 2% of the population was born each year. (Deaths during infancy will have minimal impact within the early age groups, so the data provides the best basis for estimating of the birth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.)
27. The total fertility rate (TFR) for the whole UK population is just below 2 – which means that on average each woman can be expected to have just less than two children who reach adulthood. We know of only one estimate of the fertility rates of the UK Gypsy and Traveller community. This is contained in the book, ‘Ethnic identity and inequalities in Britain: The dynamics of diversity’ by Dr Stephen Jivraj and Professor Ludi Simpson published in May 2015. This draws on the 2011 Census data and provides an estimated total fertility rate of 2.75 for the Gypsy and traveller community
28. ORS’s have been able to examine our own survey data to investigate the fertility rate of Gypsy and Traveller women. The ORS data shows that, on average, Gypsy and Traveller women aged 32 years have 2.5 children (but, because the children of mothers above this age point tend to leave home progressively, full TFRs were not completed). On this basis it is reasonable to assume an average of three children per woman during her lifetime which would be consistent with the evidence from the 2011 Census of a figure of around 2.75 children per woman. In any case, the TFR for women aged 24 years is 1.5 children, which is significantly short of the number needed to double the population in 23.5 years – and therefore certainly implies a net growth rate of less than 3% per annum.

Death rates

29. Although the above data imply an annual growth rate through births of about 2%, the death rate has also to be taken into account – which means that the *net* population growth cannot conceivably achieve 2% per

annum. In England and Wales there are nearly half-a-million deaths each year – about 0.85% of the total population of 56.1 million in 2011. If this death rate is applied to the Gypsy and Traveller community then the resulting projected growth rate is in the region of 1.15%-1.25% per annum.

30. However, the Gypsy and Traveller population is significantly younger than average and may be expected to have a lower percentage death rate overall (even though a smaller than average proportion of the population lives beyond 68 to 70 years). While there can be no certainty, an assumed death rate of around 0.5% to 0.6% per annum would imply a net population growth rate of around 1.5% per annum.
31. Even though the population is younger and has a lower death rate than average, Gypsies and Travellers are less likely than average to live beyond 68 to 70 years. Whereas the average life expectancy across the whole population of the UK is currently just over 80 years, a Sheffield University study found that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy is about 10-12 years less than average (Parry et al (2004) 'The Health Status of Gypsies and Travellers: Report of Department of Health Inequalities in Health Research Initiative', University of Sheffield). Therefore, in our population growth modelling we have used a conservative estimate of average life expectancy as 72 years – which is entirely consistent with the lower-than-average number of Gypsies and Travellers aged over 70 years in the 2011 census (and also in ORS's own survey data). On the basis of the Sheffield study, we could have supposed a life expectancy of only 68, but we have been cautious in our approach.

Modelling outputs

32. If we assume a TFR of 3 and an average life expectancy of 72 years for Gypsies and Travellers, then the modelling projects the population to increase by 66% over the next 40 years – implying a population compound growth rate of 1.25% per annum (well below the 3% per annum often assumed). If we assume that Gypsy and Traveller life expectancy increases to 77 years by 2050, then the projected population growth rate rises to nearly 1.5% per annum. To generate an 'upper range' rate of population growth, we have assumed a TFR of 4 and an average life expectancy rising to 77 over the next 40 years – which then yields an 'upper range' growth rate of 1.9% per annum. We should note, though, that national TFR rates of 4 are currently found only in sub-Saharan Africa and Afghanistan, so it is an implausible assumption.
33. There are indications that these modelling outputs are well founded. For example, in the ONS's 2012-based Sub-National Population Projections the projected population growth rate for England to 2037 is 0.6% per annum, of which 60% is due to natural change and 40% due to migration. Therefore, the natural population growth rate for England is almost exactly 0.35% per annum – meaning that our estimate of the Gypsy and Traveller population growth rate is four times greater than that of the general population of England.
34. The ORS Gypsy and Traveller findings are also supported by data for comparable populations around the world. As noted, on the basis of sophisticated analysis, Hungary is planning for its Roma population to grow at around 2.0% per annum, but the underlying demographic growth is typically closer to 1.5% per annum. The World Bank estimates that the populations of Bolivia, Cambodia, Egypt, Malaysia, Pakistan, Paraguay, Philippines and Venezuela (countries with high birth rates and improving life expectancy) all show population growth rates of around 1.7% per annum. Therefore, in the context of national data, ORS's modelling and plausible international comparisons, it is implausible to assume a net 3% annual growth rate for the Gypsy and Traveller population.

Household growth

35. In addition to population growth influencing the number of households, the size of households also affects the number. Hence, population and household growth rates do not necessarily match directly, mainly due to the current tendency for people to live in smaller (childless or single person) households (including, of course, older people (following divorce or as surviving partners)). Based on such factors, the CLG 2012-based projections convert current population data to a projected household growth rate of 0.85% per annum (compared with a population growth rate of 0.6% per annum).
36. Because the Gypsy and Traveller population is relatively young and has many single parent households, a 1.5% annual population growth could yield higher-than-average household growth rates, particularly if average household sizes fall or if younger-than-average households form. However, while there is evidence that Gypsy and Traveller households already form at an earlier age than in the general population, the scope for a more rapid rate of growth, through even earlier household formation, is limited.
37. Based on the 2011 census, the table below compares the age of household representatives in English households with those in Gypsy and Traveller households – showing that the latter has many more household representatives aged under-25 years. In the general English population 3.6% of household representatives are aged 16-24, compared with 8.7% in the Gypsy and Traveller population. Because the census includes both housed and on-site Gypsies and Travellers without differentiation, it is not possible to know if there are different formation rates on sites and in housing. However, ORS's survey data (for sites in areas such as Central Bedfordshire, Cheshire, Essex, Gloucestershire and a number of authorities in Hertfordshire) shows that about 10% of Gypsy and Traveller households have household representatives aged under-25 years.

Table 5

Age of Head of Household (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Age of household representative	All households in England		Gypsy and Traveller households in England	
	Number of households	Percentage of households	Number of households	Percentage of households
Age 24 and under	790,974	3.6%	1,698	8.7%
Age 25 to 34	3,158,258	14.3%	4,232	21.7%
Age 35 to 49	6,563,651	29.7%	6,899	35.5%
Age 50 to 64	5,828,761	26.4%	4,310	22.2%
Age 65 to 74	2,764,474	12.5%	1,473	7.6%
Age 75 to 84	2,097,807	9.5%	682	3.5%
Age 85 and over	859,443	3.9%	164	0.8%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

38. The following table shows that the proportion of single person Gypsy and Traveller households is not dissimilar to the wider population of England; but there are more lone parents, fewer couples without children, and fewer households with non-dependent children amongst Gypsies and Travellers. This data suggest that Gypsy and Traveller households form at an earlier age than the general population.

Table 6
Household Type (Source: UK Census of Population 2011)

Household Type	All households in England		Gypsy and Traveller households in England	
	Number of households	Percentage of households	Number of households	Percentage of households
Single person	6,666,493	30.3%	5,741	29.5%
Couple with no children	5,681,847	25.7%	2345	12.1%
Couple with dependent children	4,266,670	19.3%	3683	18.9%
Couple with non-dependent children	1,342,841	6.1%	822	4.2%
Lone parent: Dependent children	1,573,255	7.1%	3,949	20.3%
Lone parent: All children non-dependent	766,569	3.5%	795	4.1%
Other households	1,765,693	8.0%	2,123	10.9%
Total	22,063,368	100%	19,458	100%

39. ORS's own site survey data is broadly compatible with the data above. We have found that: around 50% of pitches have dependent children compared with 45% in the census; there is a high proportion of lone parents; and about a fifth of Gypsy and Traveller households appear to be single person households. One possible explanation for the census finding a higher proportion of single person households than the ORS surveys is that many older households are living in bricks and mortar housing (perhaps for health-related reasons).
40. ORS's on-site surveys have also found more female than male residents. It is possible that some single person households were men linked to lone parent females and unwilling to take part in the surveys. A further possible factor is that at any time about 10% of the male Gypsy and Traveller population is in prison – an inference drawn from the fact that about 5% of the male prison population identify themselves as Gypsies and Travellers ('People in Prison: Gypsies, Romany and Travellers', Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Prisons, February 2004) – which implies that around 4,000 Gypsies and Travellers are in prison. Given that almost all of the 4,000 people are male and that there are around 200,000 Gypsies and Travellers in total, this equates to about 4% of the total male population, but closer to 10% of the adult male population.
41. The key point, though, is that since 20% of Gypsy and Traveller households are lone parents, and up to 30% are single persons, there is limited potential for further reductions in average household size to increase current household formation rates significantly – and there is no reason to think that earlier household formations or increasing divorce rates will in the medium term affect household formation rates. While there are differences with the general population, a 1.5% per annum Gypsy and Traveller population

growth rate is likely to lead to a household growth rate of 1.5% per annum – more than the 0.85% for the English population as a whole, but much less than the often assumed 3% rate for Gypsies and Travellers.

Household dissolution rates

42. Finally, consideration of household dissolution rates also suggests that the net household growth rate for Gypsies and Travellers is very unlikely to reach 3% per annum (as often assumed). The table below, derived from ORS's mainstream strategic housing market assessments, shows that generally household dissolution rates are between 1.0% and 1.7% per annum. London is different because people tend to move out upon retirement, rather than remaining in London until death. To adopt a 1.0% dissolution rate as a standard guide nationally would be too low, because it means that average households will live for 70 years after formation. A 1.5% dissolution rate would be a more plausible as a national guide, implying that average households live for 47 years after formation.

Table 7
Annual Dissolution Rates (Source: SHMAs undertaken by ORS)

Area	Annual projected household dissolution	Number of households	Percentage
Greater London	25,000	3,266,173	0.77%
Blaenau Gwent	468.2	30,416	1.54%
Bradford	3,355	199,296	1.68%
Ceredigion	348	31,562	1.10%
Exeter, East Devon, Mid Devon, Teignbridge and Torbay	4,318	254,084	1.70%
Neath Port Talbot	1,352	57,609	2.34%
Norwich, South Norfolk and Broadland	1,626	166,464	0.98%
Suffolk Coastal	633	53,558	1.18%
Monmouthshire Newport Torfaen	1,420	137,929	1.03%

43. The 1.5% dissolution rate is important because the death rate is a key factor in moderating the *gross* household growth rate. Significantly, applying a 1.5% dissolution rate to a 3% *gross* household growth formation rate yields a *net* rate of 1.5% per annum – which ORS considers is a realistic figure for the Gypsy and Traveller population and which is in line with other demographic information. After all, based on the dissolution rate, a *net* household formation rate of 3% per annum would require a 4.5% per annum *gross* formation rate (which in turn would require extremely unrealistic assumptions about birth rates).

Summary conclusions

44. Future Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs have typically been over-estimated because population and household growth rates have been projected on the basis of assumed 3% per annum net growth rates.
45. Unreliable caravan counts have been used to support the supposed growth rate, but there is no reason to suppose that the rate of increase in caravans corresponds to the annual growth of the Gypsy and Traveller population or households.

46. The growth of the national Gypsy and Traveller population may be as low as 1.25% per annum – which is still four times greater than in the settled community. Even using extreme and unrealistic assumptions, it is hard to find evidence that the net national Gypsy and Traveller population and household growth is above 2% per annum nationally. The often assumed 3% net household growth rate per annum for Gypsies and Travellers is unrealistic.
47. The best available evidence suggests that the net annual Gypsy and Traveller household growth rate is 1.5% per annum. The often assumed 3% per annum net rate is unrealistic. Some local authorities might allow for a household growth rate of up to 2.5% per annum, to provide a ‘margin’ if their populations are relatively youthful; but in areas where on-site surveys indicate that there are fewer children in the Gypsy and Traveller population, the lower estimate of 1.5% per annum should be used.