31 March 2017



Mr Jonathan Bore, MRTPI Inspector c/o 260 Collingwood Road Sutton Surrey SM1 2NX

Charles Collins E: Ccollins@savills.com DL: +44 (0) 1483 796 837

244-246 High Street Guildford GU1 3JF T: +44 (0) 1732 789 700 savills.com

Dear Sir,

## Mid Sussex Examination in Public Mid Sussex Developers Forum

We have noted the letter from James Stevens of the HBF dated 27<sup>th</sup> March and your response of the 29<sup>th</sup> March (ID22).

As you are aware, the Mid Sussex Developers Forum came together to positively influence the proper plan making process in the District and to assist the Examination of the Plan.

We fully support the progress towards a sound plan in Mid Sussex, but would ask that the correct due process is followed so as to ensure it is ultimately robust and therefore we would request that you consider the following:

- That MSDC 14 is one way forward, but greater detail is required with respect of the timing and extent
  of any new evidence base;
- For example, we would like clarity from Mid Sussex District Council of whether a revised Call for Sites
  or SHLAA Review will be undertaken:
- It seems evident to us that Main Modifications to the Plan are inevitable, including with respect of the housing requirement and distribution of this requirement with respect of any additional allocations.

ID19 (i) dated 20<sup>th</sup> March requests a response from Mid Sussex District Council on any implications arising from the Wealden High Court decision with respect of Ashdown Forest. MSDC 14 outlines that the Council is undertaking technical appraisals on the implications. We do suggest that the Council also outlines greater detail of its proposed way forward on this matter.

The Forum reserves the right to comment on all of the updated evidence base, and in time, the Main Modifications. Clearly such will need to be subject to appropriate public consultation and in our view, further Hearing Sessions. It would benefit all parties to know the timing for this due process as we feel that we need to be realistic with respect of the amount of work that is required.

Individual Forum members may well also wish to make their own separate comment.



Yours sincerely,

Charles Collins

Savills Planning