Sustainability Appraisal/SHLAA – Housing Provision – Implications

Note: This version of MSDC 5 supersedes the version discussed at examination hearings on 13th January 2017. It has been revised as a result of discussions held at the hearings, predominantly around the classification of sites within the SHLAA (as either '3 tick', '1 or 2 tick', commitments or Neighbourhood Plan sites) and the impact this has on the numbers presented in the Parish Profiles. Appendix 1 has been revised in order to address these points. Other changes made to the text within the document appear in red text.

1. This note provides additional detail on the analysis of the sites within the District Council's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and the impact on the District's settlement hierarchy, environmental and infrastructure constraints based on a number of growth scenarios. This is in light of the Inspector's comments at the first set of Housing Matters hearings (Nov/Dec 2016), testing whether there is further sustainable capacity to meet housing provision above 800dpa. This has informed the Sustainability Appraisal that accompanies the District Plan.

SUMMARY

- All sites currently assessed as suitable/available/achievable ('3 tick sites' as defined in the SHLAA) will be required in order to meet a plan provision of 800dpa. Sites in this category that are not currently allocated in the District Plan or Neighbourhood Plans will likely be required within the plan period in order to meet 800dpa, however there are insufficient sites in this category to meet higher provision numbers than 800dpa.
- Based on available sites the Council believe that it does not have sufficient existing potential sites to meet a provision higher than 800dpa in the first five years. Although inevitably some constraints on some sites may be overcome or be mitigated in the short term it judges that the scale of these is more likely to improve the robustness of the 5 year land supply at 800dpa rather than allowing any real expansion of housing capacity.
- There are no further deliverable/developable strategic sites (500+ dwellings) that could be allocated in the plan, however they are not ruled out indefinitely.
- It is therefore likely that smaller sites would be needed in order to meet higher provision levels. At present, this would have to be drawn from the pool of sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable ('2 or 1 tick sites'). Therefore, in order to go beyond the Council's 800 dpa submission draft requirement, evidence would be needed that the SHLAA assessment of these sites was erroneous.
- In terms of absolute numbers, to provide a requirement of 850pa, almost 40 additional sites currently listed as undeliverable/undevelopable would need to be developed. For an additional 900dpa, over 60 additional such sites would need to be developed. These sites have all been ruled out for suitability/availability/achievability reasons, often a combination of reasons, as the SHLAA indicates.
- Cumulative harm to protected landscapes (AONB), environmental designations and heritage assets is much greater at housing provision over

900dpa, worsening as housing provision increases. For example, just in terms of primary landscape designations, to meet 850dpa a further 8 sites (200+ units) are likely to be required within the AONB. To meet 900dpa, this increases to 12 sites, 300+ units.

- Provision of over 900dpa would also require a large number of sites with highways, transport and access issues being developed. Whilst some might be capable of mitigation, there is little or no evidence on which to rely in this respect, and almost certainly mitigation would impact viability. Furthermore, these sites may not be achievable, and in-combination effects with current committed supply may arise.
- The re-casting of the draft plan by including provision for more than 800 dpa will change the character of the plan by (a) requiring allocation of non-strategic sites below 500 units in size and (b) requiring significant additional allocations within the areas covered by made Neighbourhood Plans, thereby undermining them and one of the fundamental aspects of the draft plan.
- The draft plan would narrowly demonstrate a 5 year housing land supply with a requirement of 800 dpa. Above that this position is very unclear. Many of the sites below 500 units currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable are unlikely to deliver within the first 5 years of the plan period because they are either unavailable, unachievable, or subject to constraints that could not be mitigated within this timescale.

Introduction

- 2. This note provides additional detail on the analysis of the sites within the District Council's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and the impact on the District's settlement hierarchy, environmental and infrastructure constraints based on a number of growth scenarios. This is in light of the Inspector's comments at the first set of Housing Matters hearings (Nov/Dec 2016), testing whether there is further sustainable capacity to meet housing provision above 800dpa. This has informed the Sustainability Appraisal that accompanies the District Plan.
- 3. The analysis is important, particularly for determining the Council's ability to accommodate additional unmet needs. The Council notes that even if constraints to development should not be taken into account when determining the Objectively Assessed Need, they are a relevant factor when determining the plan provision, inclusive of the allowance made for assisting with unmet needs of neighbours.
- 4. The SHLAA identifies sites that are deliverable and developable (i.e. are suitable, available and achievable). All of these sites will be required in order to meet a provision of 800dpa. Therefore, to achieve higher provision levels, sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable (i.e. are either unsuitable, not available, or unachievable; or a combination) would need to be brought forward.
- 5. Provision above 800dpa in the first five years requires the allocation of smaller sites below the 500 dwellings threshold for the District Plan. This is because, based on the SHLAA and the Strategic Site Selection Paper (EP23), it is not possible to say with any certainty that there are further deliverable/developable strategic sites. However, as noted in MSDC1 there is a possibility that sites at Imberhorne Farm and Haywards Heath Golf Course may become

available but work is ongoing (notably on transport and site availability respectively) which need to be resolved before these sites can be allocated.

- 6. As also noted in MSDC1 the Council has a number of additional sites at appeal, although none are over 500 dwellings. These are likely to contribute towards meeting a housing provision of 800dpa rather than any increase above this.
- 7. The working assumption has been that any further housing provision is distributed amongst settlements in accordance with the settlement hierarchy, to best achieve sustainable development. Once the additional dwellings required per settlement is established (based on 3 scenarios of escalating housing requirements), the likely sites that would be required to meet these provision levels can be assessed.
- 8. This shows what the impacts of higher numbers are likely to be. This exercise is the basis District's Sustainability Appraisal. It provides further information to show how the constraints on development in Mid Sussex mean that higher requirement figures could not be sustainably delivered.

Options for Assessment – Housing Provision

- 9. The submitted District Plan is based on a housing provision of 800dpa. This was supported by the Sustainability Appraisal which concluded that provision higher than this figure would likely have severe negative impacts on environmental sustainability objectives. Whilst positive impacts would likely arise from higher housing provision on social and economic sustainability objectives, the benefits would not be outweighed by the environmental harm that would be caused. A planning balance exercise, weighing up harm versus benefit, concluded that 800dpa was the most sustainable housing provision level.
- 10. The Sustainability Appraisal assessed the following housing provision options:
 - 700dpa
 - 750dpa
 - 800dpa
 - 850dpa
 - 900dpa
 - 1000+dpa
- 11. As this summary of the analysis is concerned with the impact above 800dpa, it focuses on:
 - 850dpa
 - 900dpa
 - 950dpa
 - 1000+dpa

Options for Assessment – Housing Supply

12. The District Plan strategy is based on a 'bottom-up' approach- supporting development identified within Neighbourhood Plans whilst dealing with strategic matters and allocations within the District Plan.

Strategic Sites

13. There is no definition (in numerical terms) of a 'strategic site'. For the purposes of the District Plan, a site in excess of 500 dwellings has been considered 'strategic'. This is on the basis of:

- A yield of 500 units was a level considered 'strategic' for broad locations assessed in other Northern West Sussex authority SHLAAs (both the Crawley and Horsham SHLAAs jointly assess sites over 500 units as 'strategic').
- Growth of this scale is large in context with existing settlements within the District (Strategic Objective 2 of the District Plan is to ensure development reflects the District's distinctive towns and villages and retains their separate identity and character)
- A site of this size is likely (in viability terms) to be able to fund and provide new infrastructure (e.g. education, health, retail, employment) on-site to meet increased demand for additional services.
- A site of this size would be capable of meeting localised/District housing needs as well as housing need from neighbouring authorities.
- 14. The Sustainability Appraisal (SA) (BP17) and Strategic Site Selection Paper (SSP) (EP23) assessed 15 strategic site options. The SA appraised the sites against the full range of sustainability criteria (the 18 objectives within the 'sustainability framework') outlined within the methodology. The SSP included the most relevant (site-based) criteria from the SA but also incorporated further criteria based on deliverability, timescale and potential for meeting District and unmet needs from neighbouring authorities. The two assessments provide a comprehensive assessment of all sites, taking into account all relevant factors from each assessment document and comparing sites with one another in order to help determine the most appropriate sites for allocation within the District Plan.
- 15. Strategic Site options tested, and reasons for selection/rejection were as follows:

Site	Units	Status	Reason
A: Northern Arc, Burgess Hill	3,500	Allocate	High prospect of delivery, significant contributions to housing need.
B: Kings Way, Burgess Hill	480	Allocate	Planning permission granted ahead of allocation, development has commenced.
D: West of Burgess Hill	2,500	Rejected	Scores relatively positively but this may change when considered in combination with the significantly progressed option (A). Land ownership issues.
E: Crabbet Park, E. Crawley	2,300	Rejected	Site is not being promoted, known constraints regarding sewerage and transport capacity in combination with 2 new neighbourhoods being developed at Crawley.
F: Mayfield Market Town	5,000	Rejected	Not a sustainable location, constraints will need to be mitigated. Has already been rejected by PINS in the Crawley and Horsham's local plans examination.
G: Cuckfield Bypass, Cuckfield	500	Rejected	Not being actively promoted and significant impacts on conservation area and listed buildings.
H: Great Harwoods Farm, East Grinstead	600	Rejected	Great weight on negative impact re: AONB location. However, unlike (M), this site isn't being actively promoted, is constrained by other designations, would make only small contributions to housing need and has severe transport issues.
I: North East Lindfield	1,200	Rejected	Constrained. Not being actively promoted and significant impacts on conservation area and listed buildings
K: Haywards Heath Golf Course	450	Rejected	Existing use and availability of site (particularly in short/medium term) outweigh any positive impacts.
L: Eastlands, Lindfield/Scaynes Hill	630	Rejected	Not being actively promoted and unlikely to make significant contribution towards unmet housing needs due to location.
M: Hardriding Farm, Pease Pottage	600	Allocate	Great weight on negative impact re: AONB location. However, a number of major positive impacts especially regarding unmet needs of Crawley, no other constraints and timescale of delivery. Site has subsequently been granted planning permission.

Table 1

N: South of Pease Pottage	660	Rejected	Similar overall impacts as option (M) however this site is not being actively promoted and therefore there is no immediate prospect of the site being delivered – not sufficient evidence of deliverability to support allocation.
O: Lower Tilgate, Pease Pottage	1,750	Rejected	Great weight on negative impact re: AONB location. However, unlike (M), this site isn't being promoted, is constrained by other designations and has negligible landscape capacity.
P: North and East Ansty	3,000	Rejected	Promoted to District Plan but not at Focused Amendments stage. Constrained site, likely to have severe negative impacts when assessed in combination with site (A) which is significantly progressed. Lack of detail regarding land ownership/intentions/mitigation.
Q: Imberhorne Farm, East Grinstead	550	Rejected	Significant transport constraints and further mitigation required. Submitted to District Plan at a late stage.

(note: sites (C) and (J) were rejected at an early stage of the process, as yields for these sites reduced to below the level required to be considered a 'strategic site')

16. The SA and SSP therefore concluded that there are no further options for allocating a further strategic site at this stage, although does not rule out that this could be possible in the future (potentially through the proposed Site Allocations DPD) – either as further options are identified, or deliverability issues are resolved on some sites above.

SHLAA Sites

- 17. On the basis that there are no further deliverable/developable strategic sites, an increase to housing provision above 800dpa would therefore require a range of smaller sites to be delivered. This in itself has disadvantages, as:
 - Sites of this size are unlikely to provide new facilities (such as schools/health facilities/community facilities) on site. Whilst they would make contributions (via S106/CIL) towards expanding or improving existing facilities, many of these are already at capacity (in terms of waiting lists, or physical site size).
 - Small sites spread across the District are more likely to contribute to meeting locally generated needs as opposed to unmet needs from neighbouring authorities, due to their scale and location.
 - It is inevitable that multiple smaller sites will be required to deliver the same number of dwellings as 1 larger site this will therefore involve multiple applications, delivery rates, etc and will lead to greater uncertainty of delivery.
- 18. The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) contains a 'pool' of sites that have been assessed for their suitability, availability and achievability, in accordance with Planning Practice Guidance (the PPG). The SHLAA includes both strategic sites (500+ dwellings) and smaller sites (6+ dwellings).
- 19. This exercise used the SHLAA as its source, identifying the most likely scale, quantity and location of sites that would need to be delivered to meet higher housing provision options. Based on the provision options to be tested, the likely number of additional units to be found on smaller sites (i.e. those less than 500 dwellings) is as follows:
- 20. On the basis that there are no further deliverable/developable strategic sites at this time, an additional 850-3,400 units would be required from smaller sites dependant on the housing provision chosen.
- 21. This exercise summarises the assessed impact of delivering additional units above 800dpa, based on:

- The likely quantity of sites that will be required to meet higher provision levels
- The likely location of these sites and the impact on settlements, including the Settlement Hierarchy (as established within the Settlement Sustainability Review (2015, EP52))
- The likely location of these sites and the impact on constraints both environmental and infrastructure

Table 2							
01-1-1		Sites	Potential	Notos			
Status			Yield	Notes			
Suitable	✓ ✓	400	44.000	Delivership and Developehia			
Available Achievable	▼ ✓	182	11,988	Deliverable and Developable			
Achievable	•						
Suitable	×			These sites are constrained by designations or landscape. All would require significant mitigation. Some			
Available	✓	74	13,797	have constraints that could not be overcome. <u>Note</u> : this yield is inclusive of 6,390 units on strategic sites that			
Achievable	✓			have already been rejected within the SA/Strategic Site Selection Paper.			
Suitable	\checkmark			Not currently available. Many have viable existing uses			
Available	×	27	1,313	(i.e. business, schools, community buildings) or			
Achievable	✓		-,	landowner isn't willing to develop – unlikely to be developed in the plan period.			
Suitable	~		134				
Available	\checkmark	2		In these cases, cost of developing the site would not be viable – unlikely to be developed in the plan period.			
Achievable	*						
Suitable	\checkmark			These are both not viable for development and the site is			
Available	*	9	1,157	not available - there is no likely prospect of delivery			
Achievable	*			within the plan period.			
Suitable	*			These sites have been promoted but are constrained			
Available	\checkmark	19	6,407	and development is not achievable - no likely prospect			
Achievable	*			of development within the plan period.			
Suitable	*			Whilst potentially viable, these sites are constrained and			
Available	×	22	1,796	are not being promoted/not available for development-			
Achievable	\checkmark			no likely prospect of development within the plan period.			
Suitable				It is unlikely these sites would be developed as they do			
Available	×	9	5,620	It is unlikely these sites would be developed as they do not meet any criteria within the SHLAA.			
Achievable 🗴							

SHLAA - Current Findings (updated as at December 2016)

22. The above is inclusive of both strategic sites and smaller sites. It is also inclusive of current commitments (including previous Local Plan and Small Scale Housing DPD allocations, Neighbourhood Plan allocations, and sites with extant planning permission).

23. As at end December 2016 there had been 1,498 completions in the first two years of the plan period. With a plan provision of 800dpa, this equates to a requirement of 13,600 over the plan period 2014-2031. Accounting for completions, the residual to be found is 12,102 – both from strategic and smaller sites. Note that some of these are already commitments, or proposed for allocation within the District Plan (i.e. Pease Pottage, Kings Way and the Northern Arc).

Table 3

Provision – Per Annum	800
Provision – Plan Period	13,600
Completions	1,498
(2014/15 and 2015/16)	
Residual (2016-2031)	12,102

- 24. In order to meet a plan provision of 800dpa, every site currently assessed as Suitable, Available and Achievable within the SHLAA is likely to be required. However, there are caveats:
 - This assumes that the status of these sites remains unchanged i.e. they remain available/achievable throughout the plan period.
 - This assumes that yields from these sites remains unchanged. Some may reduce in size due to mitigation required, others may increase due to density changes or viability reasons.
 - This is based on a desktop and site assessment, further information regarding site layout/design/mitigation may render some sites unsuitable/unviable at some point in the plan period.
 - Further sites may be identified as Suitable/Available/Achievable, including those already assessed in the SHLAA, should further information be provided.
- 25. It is therefore not possible to definitively allocate these sites now, although they will likely form the pool of sites when preparing the forthcoming Site Allocations DPD.
- 26. In order to achieve a housing provision <u>above</u> 800dpa, sites that are currently assessed as undeliverable and/or undevelopable in the SHLAA would be required. These are likely to be the "2 tick" sites, i.e. they are ruled out on only one of the three criteria, probably those ruled out on the basis of suitability. For the purposes of this exercise, "1 tick" sites are not ruled out, however there is much greater uncertainty regarding their deliverability within the plan period and the Council does not consider it to be prudent to base plan-making decisions on those sites in the absence of substantially more evidence than has been produced by any interested party in the past, or which would be proportionate to the plan-making process.
- 27. Based on available sites the Council believe that it does not have sufficient existing potential sites to meet a provision higher than 800dpa in the first five years. Although inevitably issues some constraints on some sites may overcome or be mitigated in the short term it judges that the scale of these is more likely to improve the robustness of the 5 year land supply at 800dpa rather than allowing any real expansion of housing capacity.

Methodology

28. This exercise assessed the likely impact of the need to deliver sites currently assessed as undeliverable and or undevelopable, to varying extents based on the housing provision number chosen. The methodology uses a 3 stage approach.

Stage 1:

- 29. A revised housing provision of 850dpa would require (for example) an additional 850 dwellings to be delivered over the plan period. However, there are a number of permutations as to how/where this could be delivered (i.e. spatial distribution, size of site, etc), with varying impacts. A range of development distribution scenarios were identified in order to best predict where additional dwellings are likely to arise, therefore a robust assessment of impacts on constraints can be carried out.
- 30. Development Distribution scenarios assessed included:

- Distribution based on settlement hierarchy established within the Settlement Sustainability Review (EP52) (therefore a more sustainable and strategic approach to housing provision) and an equal distribution amongst settlements in each category. Category 1 settlements would receive proportionately more growth than Category 2, which would receive more than Category 3, etc. based on sensible distribution ratios.
- As above, but weighted to account for current 'knowns' i.e. Northern Arc, Neighbourhood Plan allocations, potential supply, etc, i.e. re-allocating the distributions to re-address the balance of development.
- Proportional distribution based on current proportion of households (note this may be similar to 2 above).

Stage 2:

- 31. This comprised an assessment of "2 tick" and "1 tick" sites. This was based on information within the SHLAA regarding constraints and assessment against the 3 SHLAA criteria. This ordered sites from least constrained to most constrained, using judgement to give appropriate weight to the various constraints (e.g. within AONB would carry more weight than distance from services) and potential for mitigation.
- 32. It was assumed that sites assessed as being least constrained are preferred ahead of those more constrained and would be the first to be developed in order to meet higher provision numbers than 800dpa.
- 33. Impact on individual parishes and the District as a whole was then carried out. This allowed a picture of each parish/settlement to be built up, in order to better understand the individual sustainability of each settlement, any current constraints to development that may not be capable/viable to mitigate, or any in-combination effects that could arise should multiple SHLAA sites be delivered in the area.
- 34. This not only assessed potential future impact on the settlement, but the amount of development currently proposed (through existing allocations, Neighbourhood Plans and other commitments). It has been possible that some settlements are 'at capacity' before any increase in housing provision above 800dpa.

Stage 3:

35. Findings were used to provide the evidence to justify the scoring ('++' to '- -') against the various Sustainability Appraisal objectives, particularly the likely impact on infrastructure and environment, based on logical assumptions as to which sites will be needed to meet higher housing provision levels, and where.

STAGE 1: Development Distribution

- 36. In order to best assess the likely impact on the District of varying housing provision numbers, the likely location and quantity of development at each location has been estimated.
- 37. The District Plan Sustainability Appraisal assessed the most sustainable strategy for development distribution. This concluded:

"Focus development towards areas where housing and economic need is arising, including need arising from outside Mid Sussex. This will predominantly be within or adjacent to the three towns (Burgess Hill, East Grinstead, Haywards Heath), but encourages villages to take growth to support the provision of additional services and meet local needs. It will also focus development at strategic locations that could best assist in meeting the District housing need and the unmet needs of neighbouring authorities."

- 38. This approach also accords with the Settlement Hierarchy set out in the Settlement Sustainability Review (EP52).
- 39. To meet 800dpa (as proposed in the Submission District Plan), over three quarters of commitments are at category 1 settlements. This is, however, heavily influenced by the allocation of 3,500 units at Burgess Hill. However, taking this into account, current commitments largely follows the settlement hierarchy.
- 40. For provision above 800dpa, it is logical and sustainable to follow the settlement hierarchy as set out in the Settlement Sustainability Review:

Category 1	Burgess Hill East Grinstead	Benefit from a comprehensive range of employment, retail, health, education and leisure services and facilities and are most			
	Haywards Heath	sustainable.			
Category 2	Cuckfield	Is a local service centre, has a peak hour			
	Hassocks	public transport service to significant			
	Hurstpierpoint and Sayers Common	employment opportunities and an off peak			
	Lindfield	public transport service to nearest town/local			
	Worth	service centre.			
Category 3	Albourne				
	Ardingly				
	Ashurst Wood				
	Balcombe				
	Bolney	Is a local or limited local service centre, has an off peak public transport service to the			
	Horsted Keynes	nearest town/local service centre.			
	Lindfield Rural				
	Slaugham				
	Turners Hill				
	West Hoathly				
Category 4	Ansty and Staplefield	Have few services and facilities, often only			
	Twineham	serving the settlement itself.			

Table 4

41. The additional provision above 800dpa would, on this basis, be focussed towards category 1 settlements first, then category 2, then 3 and 4. However, the amount and location of additional housing over 800dpa is unknown. There are many permutations for distributing additional provision, and therefore a number of development 'scenarios' have been

established so that the impact of development on settlement character, infrastructure and constraints can be predicted. These are as follows:

<u>Scenario 1:</u> Equal Distribution of additional provision amongst settlements in the same hierarchy Category

42. This scenario has been based on the settlement hierarchy, and distributing most growth to the settlements at the top of the hierarchy. This is then evenly distributed amongst all the settlements in each category. For example, it is proposed that 55% of additional development is distributed to Category 1 settlements, of which there are 3. Therefore, each settlement will receive 18.3% of additional growth above 800dpa. The proposed split for each settlement category is based upon the amount of development focused on each category to meet 800dpa (i.e. those currently committed "Current Commitments Split"), with an attempt to re-address the balance to best fit the plan's distribution strategy. Within the submitted District Plan, nearly 2/3rds of development is focussed towards Category 1 settlements, and more development is committed (through Neighbourhood Plans) to Category 3 settlements than Category 2. It is therefore proposed that any further supply above 800dpa will be focussed more towards Category 2 settlements and a slightly reduced percentage (compared to current commitments) towards Category 1 due to the amount of growth there already.

	Current Commitments Split ¹	Proposed Split for additional units	Settlements in this category	% Split per Settlement
Category 1	64.4%	55%	3	18.3
Category 2	15.2%	30%	5	6
Category 3	19.8%	14%	10	1.4
Category 4	0.6%	1%	2	0.5

 Table 5 - Current Commitments distribution (based on 800dpa)

¹ Excluding the Northern Arc

SCENARIO 1

				Additional Dwellings Required				
	Category		Settlement	850	900	950	1000+	
	% Split	Settlement	% Split	dpa	dpa	dpa	dpa	
		Burgess Hill						
Cat 1	Cat 1 55	East Grinstead	18.3	156	312	468	623	
		Haywards Heath						
		Cuckfield						
		Hassocks						
Cat 2	30	Hurstpierpoint and	6	51	102	153	204	
	50	Sayers Common	0				204	
		Lindfield						
		Worth						
		Albourne			24		48	
		Ardingly						
		Ashurst Wood						
		Balcombe						
Cat 3	14	Bolney	1.4	12		36		
Cal J	14	Horsted Keynes	1.4	12		30		
		Lindfield Rural						
		Slaugham						
		Turners Hill						
		West Hoathly						
Cat 4	1	Ansty and Staplefield	0.5	1	9	13	17	
Gal 4		Twineham	0.5	4			17	

Table 6

<u>Scenario 2:</u> Weighted Distribution of additional provision amongst settlements in the same Hierarchy Category, based on capacity and 'knowns'

43. Scenario 1 presents an equal split to all settlements within each category. However, this may not be a fair distribution based on the amount of development some settlements are taking already (e.g. Northern Arc at Burgess Hill, 'made' neighbourhood plan promoting substantial development above previous levels) or settlements that may have exhausted the supply of sites within that settlement (e.g. there are no further '2 tick' sites within Turners Hill).

	Settlement	% of '2 tick' sites	% of Commitments + '2 tick' Sites
	Burgess Hill	8.9	25.7
Cat1	East Grinstead	15.6	10.6
	Haywards Heath	2.6	7.6
	Cuckfield	3.8	2.5
	Hassocks	0.6	1.2
Cat2	Hurstpierpoint and		
Catz	Sayers Common	5.5	4.3
	Lindfield	7.9	5.0
	Worth	18.6	14.3
	Albourne	0.2	0.1
	Ardingly	1.7	1.2
	Ashurst Wood	0.7	0.7
	Balcombe	0.1	0.2
Cat 3	Bolney	1.7	1.3
Cal 3	Horsted Keynes	0.3	0.2
	Lindfield Rural	13.6	9.3
	Slaugham	16.3	13.7
	Turners Hill	0.0	0.4
	West Hoathly	0.1	0.3
Cat /	Ansty and Staplefield	1.8	1.3
Cal 4	Twineham	0.0	0.0
Cat 4	West Hoathly Ansty and Staplefield Twineham	0.1 1.8	0.3 1.3

Location of '2 tick' sites

Table 7

44. Therefore, accounting for sites currently committed and potential future supply from '2 tick' sites, the following distribution is proposed to "re-address the balance" so that all settlements receive similar levels of growth (excluding Northern Arc) across the plan period.

SCENA			Additional Dwellings Rec				quired
	Category % Split	Settlement	Settlement % Split	850 dpa	900 dpa	950 dpa	1000+ dpa
		Burgess Hill	10	85	170	255	340
Cat1	at1 55	East Grinstead	25	213	425	638	850
		Haywards Heath	20	170	340	510	680
		Cuckfield	7	60	119	179	238
		Hassocks	5	43	85	128	170
Cat2	30	Hurstpierpoint and					
Galz	30	Sayers Common	5	43	85	128	170
		Lindfield	10	85	170	255	340
		Worth	3	26	51	77	102
	14	Albourne	0.5	4	9	13	17
		Ardingly	1	9	17	26	34
		Ashurst Wood	0.5	4	9	13	17
		Balcombe	2	17	34	51	68
Cat 3		Bolney	4	34	68	102	136
Cal J	14	Horsted Keynes	1	9	17	26	34
		Lindfield Rural	4	34	68	102	136
		Slaugham	0.5	4	9	13	17
		Turners Hill	0	0	0	0	0
		West Hoathly	0.5	4	9	13	17
Cat 4	1	Ansty and Staplefield	0.5	4	9	13	17
Table 8		Twineham	0.5	4	9	13	17

SCENARIO 2

Table 8

Scenario 3: Proportional Split of additional provision based on size of settlement

45. This distribution is based on current settlement size as a proportion of the District's total households, as at Census 2011. This disregards the settlement hierarchy and will mean larger settlements receive a greater proportion of additional growth by virtue of their size.

SCENARIO 3

			Additional Dwellings Required				
		Settlement	850	900	950	1000+	
	Settlement	% Split	dpa	dpa	dpa	dpa	
Cat1	Burgess Hill	22.38	190	380	571	761	
	East Grinstead	19.09	162	325	487	649	
	Haywards Heath	18.96	161	322	483	645	
	Cuckfield	2.59	22	44	66	88	
	Hassocks	5.91	50	100	151	201	
Cat2	Hurstpierpoint and						
Galz	Sayers Common	4.91	42	83	125	167	
	Lindfield	4.64	39	79	118	158	
	Worth	7.21	61	123	184	245	
	Albourne	0.45	4	8	11	15	
	Ardingly	1.21	10	21	31	41	
	Ashurst Wood	1.29	11	22	33	44	
	Balcombe	1.33	11	23	34	45	
Cat 3	Bolney	0.88	7	15	22	30	
Cal S	Horsted Keynes	1.16	10	20	30	39	
	Lindfield Rural	1.88	16	32	48	64	
	Slaugham	1.84	16	31	47	63	
	Turners Hill	1.39	12	24	35	47	
	West Hoathly	1.57	13	27	40	53	
Cat 4	Ansty and Staplefield	1.12	10	19	29	38	
	Twineham	0.19	2	3	5	6	

Table 9

SUMMARY OF DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS

		4	Additional Dwe	llings Require	d
		850	900	950	1000+
	Settlement	dpa	dpa	dpa	dpa
Cat1	Burgess Hill	85-190	170-380	255-571	340-761
	East Grinstead	156-213	312-340	468-510	623-680
	Haywards Heath	156-170	312-340	468-510	623-680
	Cuckfield	22-60	44-119	66-179	88-238
	Hassocks	43-51	85-102	128-153	170-204
Cat2	Hurstpierpoint and Sayers Common	42-51	83-102	125-153	167-204
	Lindfield	39-85	79-170	188-255	158-340
	Worth	26-61	51-123	77-184	102-245
	Albourne	4-12	8-24	11-36	15-48
	Ardingly	9-10	17-24	26-36	34-48
	Ashurst Wood	4-12	9-34	13-36	17-48
	Balcombe	11-17	23-34	34-51	45-68
Cat 3	Bolney	7-34	15-68	22-102	30-136
Cal S	Horsted Keynes	9-12	17-24	26-36	34-48
	Lindfield Rural	12-34	24-68	36-102	48-136
	Slaugham	4-16	9-31	13-47	17-63
	Turners Hill	12	24	35-36	47-48
	West Hoathly	4-13	9-27	13-40	17-53
Cat 4	Ansty and Staplefield	4-10	9-19	13-29	17-38
	Twineham	2-4	3-9	5-13	6-17

Table 10

STAGE 2: Site Assessments and Implications

Site Assessments

- 46. Sites within the SHLAA that are assessed as Suitable/Available/Achievable will all be required in order to meet a plan provision of 800dpa.
- 47. In order to achieve higher plan provision levels, and in the absence of a deliverable/developable strategic site at the current time, smaller sites will be required (i.e. sites of between 6-499 units). At present, the pool of sites that could reach higher provision levels are assessed as undeliverable and undevelopable (i.e. they are '2 tick' or '1 tick' sites). They have all been ruled out for a reason, based on planning judgement. All of these sites are likely to have a significant impact on environmental constraints or infrastructure, or are unavailable or unviable at the current time. These sites are therefore not 'oven ready', and unlikely to deliver in the first 5 years. Whilst some of these sites could mitigate against negative impacts, many may not.

Parish Profiles

48. Parish Profiles (Appendix 1) identify the key constraints in each parish, the current commitments (that are contributing towards 800dpa), and the additional sites within the SHLAA that would be needed in order to reach the 'additional dwellings required' numbers

identified above. This is based on the least constrained sites within the SHLAA being developed first, bearing in mind that the '3 tick' sites are already required to meet 800dpa. In most settlements, this represents development in excess of previous levels – this has been supported by Neighbourhood Plans which have allocated development to meet parish needs for housing, infrastructure, and the need to protect the environment.

49. This exercise re-presents information already published within the Parish chapters of the SHLAA, the District Plan Sustainability Appraisal, or evidence submitted as part of Neighbourhood Plan examinations (including their individual Sustainability Appraisal reports).

Overall Impact on Key Constraints – Including Cumulative Impacts

- 50. Based on the likely numbers likely to arise at each settlement, and the likely sites that would be delivered in order to meet higher provision levels (based on least constrained being developed first), the following quantity of sites and dwellings is expected which would impact on the following key constraints.
- 51. This is an estimated number based on the exercise undertaken, and therefore represents an 'up to' figure.

	Additional Sites Required						
Total Sites (Up to)	850dpa	900dpa	950dpa	1000dpa			
Total Additional Sites	38	66	85	102			
AONB	8	12	19	26			
Ashdown Forest 7km Zone ²	8	24	32	37			
Low Landscape Capacity	10	14	16	20			
Ancient Woodland	4	7	15	19			
Transport Constraint	10	17	27	35			
Air Quality Management Area	1	3	4	5			

Table 11

Table 12

	Additional Dwellings Required				
Total Dwellings (Up to)	850dpa	900dpa	950dpa	1000dpa	
Total Additional Dwellings	850	1,700	2,550	3,350	
AONB	201	329	812	1,266	
Ashdown Forest 7km Zone	434	746	950	1,352	
Low Landscape Capacity	274	398	673	797	
Ancient Woodland	122	168	366	474	
Transport Constraint	596	700	1,360	1,643	
Air Quality Management Area	60	130	182	193	

Environmental Impact

52. The number of sites required to meet higher development levels is significant. Whilst it is inevitable that some sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable may be appropriate within the plan period, or may be deliverable/developable once more information on mitigation is known, this is highly unlikely to be the case on over 60 sites in order to achieve development levels of over 900dpa. Many of these sites have significant constraints including

² The Ashdown Forest 7km Zone is proposed in policy DP15. Residential development within this zone will have to contribute towards mitigation through providing a Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG) and contributions towards the Strategic Access Management and Monitoring (SAMM) strategy to mitigate impacts on the European designated site.

cumulative impacts on national designations, high quality countryside, and infrastructure (for example, a further site constrained by transport may be acceptable, but not multiple sites in a particular location).

- 53. It should be noted that a number of undeliverable/undevelopable sites subject to this exercise are constrained by more than just one factor e.g. sites that are in the AONB and 7km zone which suffer from transport constraints.
- 54. It should also be noted that realisation of any scenario relies on the above sites coming forward. In practice this is an unrealistic assumption based on past experience. It would probably therefore be necessary to over-allocate in order to ensure delivery. This means that to achieve any of the above numbers would require many of the sites in the next scale category. So, for example, to achieve 850dpa may require more than 8 sites in the AONB, the final mix depending on the relative significance of the individual constraints. In general, sites within the AONB and those of low landscape capacity are by their nature subject to less tangible constraints beyond the designation itself although would be among the most controversial. Based on the Council's analysis substantial release of AONB sites would be a necessary approach to deliver sites within the first five years as the more tangible constraints take longer to overcome.
- 55. In relation to Habitats constraints, it should be noted that an additional Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG) may be required for any development level above 800dpa.

Infrastructure Impact

56. Education capacity is a valid infrastructure constraint. The Parish Profiles suggest the following:

Capacity	Nearing Capacity	At Capacity		
 Burgess Hill (250 spaces) Cuckfield (87 spaces) East Grinstead (195 spaces) Haywards Heath (235 spaces) Horsted Keynes (28 spaces) Hurstpierpoint (90 spaces) Lindfield (91 spaces) West Hoathly (25 spaces) 	 Albourne (14 spaces) Ansty and Staplefield (8 spaces) Ardingly (17 spaces) Ashurst Wood (13 spaces) Bolney (12 spaces) Hassocks (14 spaces) Lindfield Rural (10 spaces) Twineham (7 spaces) 	 Balcombe (over capacity) Slaugham (over capacity) Turners Hill (at capacity) Worth (at capacity) 		

Table 13

- 57. Whilst there appears to be adequate primary school capacity at the three towns (as at October 2015) and some of the larger Category 2 settlements, this is likely to be reduced as it is these settlements that will experience the most growth over the plan period. Whilst financial contributions will be made towards new education facilities and improvements to existing, some schools are at the physical capacity of the site (for instance, within the smaller villages and at Hassocks) and a new school site may need to be identified in order to achieve higher growth levels. There are also likely to be delays while sufficient funding is secured to finance the required new capacity.
- 58. For most small settlements, the school place capacity will be inadequate to cope with the levels of growth identified (for example scenarios anticipate growth of 30+ dwellings at

Bolney and Hassocks at 850dpa yet may be nearer to 100 at 950dpa, yet there are only 12 and 14 school spaces respectively).

- 59. In terms of transport, there are a number of site specific issues. These range from access constraints (including no feasible access), contribution to existing congestion (including severe congestion, particularly at East Grinstead) which would likely require a comprehensive solution to improve/mitigate, in-combination effects with nearby allocated/strategic sites, and incombination effects with other nearby sites. This includes the likely acquisition of 'third party' land necessary for highway improvements. Sites in Hassocks are in proximity to the District's only Air Quality Management Area at Stonepound Crossroads, and the impacts upon this would need to be assessed, with land and property acquisition required to secure the delivery of mitigation. The number of sites with severe transport constraints that would need to be delivered increases markedly at housing provision levels above 900dpa. Whilst some of these sites could be deliverable with mitigation, this comes at a cost and timescale. This does not mean that sites of this nature are ruled out indefinitely, nor that issues could not be overcome, however it does mean that delivery of these sites is very uncertain - particularly as between 10-17 sites would be required to meet 850-900dpa. It may also be that a combination of these sites in any one location may exacerbate existing transport problems, and some sites may also be affected by other non-transport related constraints.
- 60. At a detailed site level there are also constraints in terms of wastewater facilities, particularly in the southern part of the District (i.e. those sites that would drain to Goddards Green Wastewater Treatment Works). The constraints of this treatment works relate to both the works themselves and the effect on water quality of the discharge. These issues are longstanding and have been a consistent capacity constraint which was debated heavily as part of the South East Plan (as referred to in the Capacity of Mid Sussex to Accommodate Development Study).
- 61. A number of sites, notably those within Haywards Heath, also require the relocation of existing economic uses or car parking as well as the postal sorting office, etc. The feasibility of these moves has not been demonstrated and they are unlikely to be achieved quickly.

Overall Parish Impacts - Conclusion

62. This section summarises the findings by Parish. Many of the findings of the Council are supported by Examiners conclusions into their respective neighbourhood plans, where these have been examined.

<u>Albourne</u>

63. Albourne is a category 3 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan. Part of the Parish is within the South Downs National Park, school places are nearing capacity and there is limited public transport. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 11 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 4-48 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, there is only 1 additional site. This has been ruled out due to its detachment from the village – it is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Ansty and Staplefield

64. Ansty and Staplefield is a category 4 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan allocating 26 units. Part of the Parish is within the AONB, school places are nearing capacity and there are concerns regarding traffic due to the proximity to the A23. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 83 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 4-38 units. In terms of sites

currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as they are poorly related to services and public transport, low landscape capacity and poor access – it is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Ardingly

65. Ardingly is a category 3 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates units that have received planning permission. The entire Parish is within the AONB, the majority is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA, school places are nearing capacity and there are concerns regarding speed and volume of traffic with roads poorly suited to accommodate it. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 38 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 9-48 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as unsuitable for development due to their location within the AONB, low/medium landscape capacity and recent history of planning refusals at appeal. A number of sites have not been actively progressed or promoted. It is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Ashurst Wood

66. Ashurst Wood is a category 3 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 87 units. The entire Parish is within the AONB and the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA, school places are nearing capacity and there are concerns regarding speed and volume of traffic with roads, particularly vehicles accessing the A22 and rat-running. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 88 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 4-48 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as they are within the AONB, low landscape capacity, and detached from the Built Up Area. It is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Balcombe

67. Balcombe is a category 3 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 42 units. The entire Parish is within the AONB and a small part is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA, school places are over capacity and traffic congestion is an issue due to traffic flow increases in recent years (particularly accessing junction 10a of the A23). Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 44 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 11-68 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as they are within the AONB, low landscape capacity, no recent site promotion. Higher numbers would require sites partially/completely covered by ancient woodland, or with access issues. Due to the limited number of 2 and 1 tick sites in this Parish, there would be no capacity beyond 57 dwellings even if all sites were developed. It is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

<u>Bolney</u>

68. Bolney is a category 3 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 42 units. Part of the Parish is within the AONB, school places are near capacity and the local road network is not suitable for carrying large amounts of traffic. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 51 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 7-136 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as there is poor site access, disconnected from services/facilities, within the AONB and with significant tree coverage. It is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Burgess Hill

69. Burgess Hill is a category 1 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates units which have already received planning permission. There is localised school capacity (although some schools are nearing/at capacity), transport improvements will be required to support the proposed development of the Northern Arc (up to 3,500 dwellings), although developers have recently reduced their delivery trajectory. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 5,662 units, inclusive of the Northern Arc. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 85-761 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as there are likely transport implications above those planned to be mitigated through the Northern Arc development (they are in the southern area of the town), have not been promoted/progressed, are unavailable or unachievable. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Burgess Hill can be considered deliverable at the current time, with many site proposals also unsustainable. Burgess Hill is already receiving high levels of growth with a District provision of 800dpa. Burgess Hill may be able to accommodate further growth over the longer term which will be considered through the site allocations DPD.

Cuckfield

70. Cuckfield is a category 2 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 29 units. Part of the Parish is within the AONB, there is capacity in terms of school places, however the local road network suffers from peak hour congestion particularly in term time. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 79 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 22-238 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as there are a number of sites with significant access issues, impact on the AONB and areas of low/medium landscape capacity, poorly related to public transport, and for higher numbers no recent promotion or intention to bring the sites forward for development. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Cuckfield can be considered deliverable at the current time, with many site proposals also unsustainable. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

East Grinstead

71. East Grinstead is a category 1 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 411 units. Part of the Parish is within the AONB and the entire Parish is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA. There is localised school capacity (although some schools are nearing/at capacity) and significant transport constraints with extended periods of congestion in peak hours. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 1,214 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 156-850 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as sites have been classified as unavailable with no prospect of delivery or no prospect of existing uses wanting to move/alternative sites found, some have not been promoted for a significant period of time. The majority of sites are unachievable, costs of delivery are prohibitive. Higher numbers would be constrained by ancient woodland, AONB or have significant on-site transport constraints which would be difficult to mitigate. Work is ongoing to assess whether transport issues can be addressed to allow the allocation of Imberhorne Farm. It is unlikely that further planned growth

at East Grinstead can be considered deliverable at the current time, with many site proposals also unsustainable. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Hassocks

72. Hassocks is a category 2 settlement with an emerging neighbourhood plan which seeks to allocate 290 units. Part of the Parish is adjacent to the South Downs National Park, school places are near capacity with a shortage predicted and there is an AQMA at Stonepound Crossroads. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 545 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 43-204 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as they would be in proximity to the AQMA, would have poor access, have not been recently progressed/promoted or are deemed unavailable for development as the landowner has expressed no intention to develop. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Hassocks can be considered deliverable at the current time, with many site proposals also unsustainable.

Haywards Heath

73. Haywards Heath is a category 1 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 637 units. There is localised school capacity (although some schools are nearing/at capacity). Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 1,982 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 156-680 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as sites have been classified as unavailable with no prospect of delivery or no prospect of existing uses wanting to move/alternative sites found, some have not been promoted for a significant period of time. The majority of sites are unachievable, costs of delivery are prohibitive. Higher numbers would be constrained by significant on-site transport constraints which would be difficult to mitigate, or have impacts on the adjacent AONB. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Haywards Heath can be considered deliverable at the current time, with many site proposals also unsustainable. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Horsted Keynes

74. Horsted Keynes is a category 3 settlement in the early stages of preparing a Neighbourhood Plan. The Parish is within the AONB, entirely within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA there is capacity in terms of school places. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 13 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 9-48 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as sites are covered by TPOs, low capacity in landscape terms, have transport constraints, and are within the AONB. It is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time.

Hurstpierpoint and Sayers Common

75. Hurstpierpoint and Sayers Common is a category 2 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 30-40 units. The southern part of the Parish is within the South Downs National Park, there is capacity in terms of school places but there is significant transport congestion in Hurstpierpoint High Street, and potential impact on the Stonepound Crossroads AQMA in Hassocks. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 255 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 42-204 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as sites have low landscape capacity,

poorly related to existing services/facilities (particularly public transport) and have impact on ancient woodland. It is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Lindfield Rural

76. Lindfield Rural is a category 3 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan. Part of the Parish is within the AONB, school places are near capacity, the eastern half is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 203 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 12-136 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, there are only 2 site options. These have been rejected as both suffer from significant transport constraints, remote from public transport, poor access to local services, low/medium capacity in landscape terms, and have no recent history of promotion to the SHLAA. One site has been deemed unavailable and unachievable, prospect of delivery is slim. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Lindfield Rural can be considered deliverable at the current time, with both site proposals also unsustainable. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

Lindfield

77. Lindfield Rural is a category 2 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan. Part of the Parish is within the AONB, there is capacity in terms of school places. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 88 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 39-340 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable these have been rejected as sites are affected by ancient woodland, have known transport constraints which would impact on the highways network, have low capacity in landscape terms or have no recent history of site promotion/progression. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Lindfield can be considered deliverable at the current time, with site proposals also unsustainable. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

<u>Slaugham</u>

78. Slaugham is a category 3 settlement. The entire Parish is within the AONB, school places are over capacity and capacity at M23 junctions within the parish is at/nearing capacity. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 867 units, inclusive of a site of 600 units proposed in the District Plan which has received planning consent. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 4-63 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as the sites are within the AONB, have poor access to public transport, low capacity in landscape terms, partly/considerably covered by ancient woodland and have no recent history of promotion/progression to the SHLAA. Sites required for higher numbers are considered unavailable for development. It is therefore considered unsustainable to accommodate further growth in the Parish at the current time.

Turners Hill

79. Turners Hill is a category 3 settlement. The entire Parish is within the AONB and the eastern half of the Parish is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA, school places are over capacity. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 94 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 12-48 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, there is only 1 further site option. This is unavailable for development, has low landscape capacity, within the AONB and has no recent history of being promoted/progressed to the SHLAA. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at

Turners Hill can be considered deliverable at the current time, with the site proposal also unsustainable. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

<u>Twineham</u>

80. Twineham is a category 4 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 20 units. School places are near capacity. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 20 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 2-17 units. There are no further site options within this Parish, according to the SHLAA. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Turners Hill can be considered deliverable at the current time. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

West Hoathly

81. West Hoatlhy is a category 3 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan which allocates 55 units. The entire Parish is within the AONB and the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA, there is capacity in terms of school places. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 59 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 4-53 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable, these have been rejected as they are within the AONB, would impact on the conservation area and listed buildings, low landscape capacity, limited access to public transport and are unachievable in viability terms. For higher numbers, sites have no recent history of promotion/progression to the SHLAA. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at West Hoathly can be considered deliverable at the current time, with the site proposals also unsustainable. Further development would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan.

<u>Worth</u>

82. Worth is a category 2 settlement with a 'made' neighbourhood plan at Crawley Down, and Copthorne at an early stage. Part of the Parish is within the AONB and the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA, school places are over capacity, and there is risk of increasing congestion on the M23. Current commitments and delivery in the first 2 years of the plan period totals 722 units. Based on additional growth over 800dpa, the Parish would be expected to deliver an additional 26-245 units. In terms of sites currently assessed within the SHLAA as undeliverable/undevelopable these have been rejected as sites are affected by ancient woodland, remote from public transport, have no recent history of promotion/progression to the SHLAA. Sites required for higher numbers are not available for development (some in active use) and/or have significant transport constraints. It is therefore unlikely that further planned growth at Worth can be considered deliverable at the current time, with site proposals also unsustainable. Further development in Crawley Down would be in conflict with the made Neighbourhood Plan for Crawley Down.

Overall District Impact - Conclusion

- 83. This exercise demonstrates the constraints that would need to be overcome in order to reach housing provision over 800dpa and the impact of higher housing provision on protected landscapes, environmental and heritage assets and infrastructure.
- 84. At the current time, all deliverable/developable sites in the SHLAA would be required in order to deliver 800dpa. Therefore, housing provision above 800dpa would require a range of sites that are currently ruled out for suitability, availability or achievability reasons (often a combination of more than one).

- 85. These sites have been assessed through the SHLAA process and been ruled out individually for justifiable reasons. However, it is recognised that weight has to be given to the over-riding need for housing within Mid Sussex and in neighbouring authority areas, and in some cases this need for housing is greater than the negative impacts that may arise from development. This is the planning balance exercise described in the NPPF (para 14).
- 86. However, a number of sites have been ruled out for more than one reason, including the fact that development could not be mitigated, would have demonstrable and/or detrimental impact in environmental terms, or is unavailable/not being promoted/not being progressed which means deliverability cannot be guaranteed at this time.

Table 14		
Housing	Additional Dwellings (plan period, above	
Requirement	800dpa)	Summary of Impact (above impact generated by 800dpa)
850dpa	850	 Sites predominantly ruled out on suitability grounds Would require around 200 additional units at each Category 1 settlements, over 50 units to each Category 2 settlement, and around 20 units to each Category 3 settlement. Would have impact on the AONB Would represent levels of development within the 7km zone of influence above current capacity of the existing SANG. An additional SANG(s) would be required.
900dpa	1,700	 Sites predominantly ruled out on suitability grounds Would require around 300-400 additional units at each Category 1 settlements, over 100 units to each Category 2 settlement, and around 30 units to each Category 3 settlement. Would have major impact on the AONB Education capacity likely to be stretched, particularly in the south of the District Individual settlements at/near capacity in terms of additional sites needed to meet additional housing provision (particularly category 3)
950dpa	2,550	 Sites predominantly ruled out on suitability and availability grounds (i.e. they are not being promoted for development or have no prospect of development over the plan period) Would require around 400-500 additional units at each Category 1 settlements, over 150 units to each Category 2 settlement, and around 40 units to each Category 3 settlement. Would have major impact on the AONB Would have major transport impacts – sites to meet this level of development have on-site transport constraints Would require significant amounts of land with low capacity for development in landscape terms Education need very likely to be over-capacity, particularly in the south of the District Individual settlements at/near capacity in terms of additional sites needed to meet additional housing provision (particularly category 2 and 3)
1000dpa	3,350	 Sites predominantly ruled out on suitability and availability grounds (i.e. they are not being promoted for development or have no prospect of development over the plan period) Would require around 600 additional units at each Category 1 settlements, over 200 units to each Category 2 settlement, and

Table 14

around 50 units to each Category 3 settlement.
 Would have significant impact on the AONB
 Would represent levels of development within the 7km zone of
influence above current capacity of the SANG. An additional
SANG(s) would be required.
• Would have significant transport impacts – sites to meet this level of
development have on-site transport constraints
Would require significant amounts of land with low capacity for
development in landscape terms
• Education need will be over-capacity, particularly in the south of the
District
Individual settlements at capacity in terms of additional sites needed
to meet additional housing provision

Conclusion

- 87. Overall there is a clear distinction between the impact of delivering 800dpa as opposed to higher numbers. At higher numbers, impacts become more negative. Housing levels above 800dpa would require a large number of sites potentially over 38 sites currently assessed as unsuitable/unavailable for development. Whilst it is likely that some of these sites could be mitigated, and therefore suitability achieved, this is highly unlikely to be achieved for all the required sites.
- 88. In terms of the short-term 5 year supply, this can be achieved at 800dpa. In order to meet housing provision over 800dpa, this would require either a deliverable/developable strategic site (over 500 units) or a number of smaller sites. As further strategic site options have been rejected, these are not considered capable of contributing towards the 5 year supply any mitigation required to make these sites deliverable would mean these could not be developed in the short-term. If a number of smaller sites are required, these too have been ruled out within the SHLAA and therefore unlikely to be capable of contributing towards the 5-year supply. Whilst some may be developable over the plan period, the quantity of sites required to meet provision levels of 850+ places great uncertainty on deliverability, particularly in the short-term.
- 89. Submissions from the Developers Forum in the Statement of Common ground indicate that, based on their assumptions there is an overall shortfall (i.e. not yet identified in the planning process) for the Plan period based on 800dpa totalling 2,052 units. It is the District Council's position that this figure is 793 units this is the total number of sites still to be identified, for example through revisions to Neighbourhood Plans or the programmed Site Allocations DPD.
- 90. Therefore, if the Forum is correct, furthers sites currently assessed as being '1 or 2 ticks' will be required even to meet 800dpa, before sites to meet a higher number are required. The impact of this is that even more sites that are considered unsuitable for development and subject to an increasing number of constraints will be required to meet a housing requirement over 800dpa. Based on this, it is likely that the conclusions reached for 950dpa within this paper would apply just to meet 800dpa, should the Forum be correct in their position.
- 91. The Forum state that the Council has been over-optimistic regarding the delivery of sites within its 5 year supply, as well as supply over the whole plan period, but at the same time state that the Council has been too cautious in its assessment of sites within the SHLAA (particularly those not assessed as developable). It appears that the Forum is changing its argument against the Council to suit the position it is arguing at the time. This undermines the Forum argument both against the 5 year supply and the SHLAA.

APPENDIX 1 – Parish Profiles

Albourne

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	×	
South Downs National Park	?	The southern part of Albourne Parish falls within the South Downs National Park
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	A small part of the mid-section of Albourne Parish falls within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	Albourne Primary School is currently near capacity (93% - 14 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	?	Limited public transport
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Allocates 1 site delivering 2 new units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	11
Commitments - Large	0
Commitments - Small	7
Neighbourhood Plan	2
Total	20

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	0
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	20

	Additional Provision			
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	4	9	13	17
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	4	8	11	15

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 4-12 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean developing 1 additional site currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. The site is currently assessed as being unsuitable for development given its detachment from the village.
900	 An additional 8-24 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean developing 1 additional site currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. The site is currently assessed as being unsuitable for development given its detachment from the village.
950	 An additional 11-36 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean developing 1 additional site currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. However, there would not be any capacity beyond 30 dwellings (there are no further 2 or 1 tick sites within the Parish).

1000	 An additional 15-48 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean developing 1 additional site currently assessed as
	undeliverable/ undevelopable. However, there would not be any capacity beyond 30 dwellings.

Total Plan Period Housing Provision (Commitments + Additional Provision)

	Total Plan Period Housing Provision				
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		32	44	56	68
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution		24	29	33	37
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		24	28	31	35

Sites: Key

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Albourne

Commitments

There are no large site (6+ units) commitments within the Parish.

Neighbourhood Plan Sites

ID	Address	Units	Comments
Small			
site	L/A Village Allotments, Hay Lane	2	
	TOTAL	2	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

There are no '3 tick' deliverable/developable sites in the SHLAA

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Hazeldens Nursery,																					
58	Albourne	30	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	

Ansty and Staplefield

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	4	
AONB	~	The central and northern part of Ansty & Staplefield Parish fall within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	A small part of the southern section of Ansty & Staplefield Parish falls within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	St Mark's Primary School in Staplefield is currently near capacity (92% - 8 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	×	Parish affected by volume/speed of traffic accessing the A23. Potential for this to worsen once Northern Arc is developed (although mitigation is planned)
Neighbourhood Plan Status	\checkmark	Allocates 3 sites, delivering 26 Units (Referendum Jan '17)

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	42
Commitments - Large	15
Commitments - Small	6
Neighbourhood Plan	26
Total	89

Note: sites adjacent to Haywards Heath within Ansty and Staplefield parish have been re-assigned to Haywards Heath for the purposes of this exercise

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	8
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	97

	Additional Provision				
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000	
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	4	9	13	17	
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	4	9	13	17	
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	10	19	29	38	

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 4-10 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	 These sites are poorly located to local services and public transport.
	 These sites have low capacity for development in landscape terms with one suffering from poor access.
900	 An additional 9-19 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Same implications as 850 dwellings.
950	 An additional 13-29 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Same implications as 850/900 dwellings.
1000	 An additional 17-38 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 3 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	• As 850/900/950, additionally:

Total Plan Period Housing Provision (Commitments + Additional Provision)

	Total Plan Period Housin						
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000		
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		101	106	110	114		
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	97	101	106	110	114		
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		107	116	126	135		

Sites: Key

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Ansty and Staplefield

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
627	Holly Bank	7	Commitment before allocated in NP
668			
	Hook Place, Cuckfield Road, Burgess Hill	8	
	TOTAL	15	

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
626	Land south of Barn Cottage, Cuckfield Road, Ansty	8	
629	Land at Bolney Road, Ansty	18	
	TOTAL	26	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
631	Challoners, Cuckfield Road, Ansty	8
	TOTAL	8

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

MSDC 5a

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7 km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land at Ansty Farm,																					
576	Cuckfield Road, Ansty.	90	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Tanyards Field, Tanyard																					
596	Lane, Staplefield	6	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	
	Land at Little Orchard,																					
630	Cuckfield Road, Ansty	30	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Ansty Cross Garage,																					
644	Cuckfield Road, Ansty	7	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	
	Broad location North and																					
736	East of Ansty	3000	Ν	Υ	Ν	N	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Ν	

Ardingly

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Entirely within AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	~	The majority of Ardingly Parish is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA
Flood Zones	?	Part of Ardingly Parish falls within Flood Zone 3 (the area linked to Ardingly Reservoir)
Education	?	St Peter's Primary School in Ardingly is currently near capacity (88% - 17 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	?	Ardingly is adversely affected by the volume, nature and speed of traffic with roads poorly suited to accommodate it.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Allocates 1 site delivering 0 new units (counted as commitment before Plan was made)

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	2
Commitments - Large	36
Commitments - Small	6
Neighbourhood Plan	0
Total	44

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	0
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	44

	A	Additional Provision									
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000							
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48							
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	9	17	26	34							
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	10	21	31	41							

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 9-12 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 1 additional site currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable.
	 This site is within the Ashdown Forest 7km zone of influence and would therefore need to provide/contribute towards a SANG and make contributions towards SAMM in accordance with DP15.
	 This site is currently assessed as being unsuitable for development. It is within the AONB with low/ medium landscape capacity. It has recent planning history of a refusal for planning permission on such grounds upheld at appeal.
900	 An additional 17-24 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Same implications as 850 dwellings.
950	 An additional 26-36 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	 As 850, additionally:

	 Site has not had any recent history of promotion
1000	An additional 34-48 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	Same implications as 950 dwellings.

Total Plan Period Housing Provision (Commitments + Additional Provision)

	Total F	Total Plan Period Housing Provision									
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000						
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		56	68	80	92						
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	44	53	61	70	78						
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		54	65	75	85						

Sites: Key

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Ardingly

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
187	Land between Lodgeland and Standgrove Place, College Lane, Ardingly	36	Commitment before allocated in NP
	TOTAL	36	

Neighbourhood Plan

There are no Neighbourhood Plan sites (Plan allocates 1 site, however is now a commitment).

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

There are no '3 tick' deliverable/developable sites in the SHLAA

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	ТРО	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land at Lindfield Road,																					
261	Ardingly	160	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Butchers Field, south of																					
495	Street Lane, Ardingly	35	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Middle Lodge and land to																					
	south, Lindfield Road,																					
568	Ardingly	57	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Land east of High Street,																					
691	Ardingly	80	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	

Ashurst Wood

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Entirely within AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	✓	Within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA
Flood Zones	?	Very small section of the northern part of Ashurst Wood Parish falls within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	Ashurst Wood Primary School is currently near capacity (91% - 13 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	?	Parts of the village are adversely affected by the volume, and nature of traffic, particularly the movement of heavy goods vehicles using the A22. Other parts are impacted by rat-running between A22 and A264 through the village centre.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	\checkmark	Allocates 5 sites delivering 87 new units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	13
Commitments - Large	0
Commitments - Small	7
Neighbourhood Plan	87
Total	107

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	20
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	127

	Additional Provision			
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	4	9	13	17
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	11	22	33	44

Implications				
850	 An additional 4-12 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed 			
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 1 additional site currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. 			
	 This site is within the Ashdown Forest 7km zone of influence and would therefore need to provide/contribute towards a SANG and make contributions towards SAMM in accordance with DP15. 			
	 This site is currently assessed as being unsuitable for development. It is within the AONB with low landscape capacity, distinct from the built up area of the settlement. 			
900	 An additional 9-24 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed 			
	Same implications as 850 dwellings.			
950	 An additional 13-36 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed 			
	 Same implications as 850/900 dwellings. 			
1000	 An additional 17-48 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed 			
	 Same implications as 850/900/950 dwellings. 			

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		139	151	163	175
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	127	131	136	140	144
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		138	149	160	171

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Ashurst Wood

Commitments

There are no commitments in this Parish

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
Small			
site	Mount Pleasant Nursery, Cansiron Lane	3	
139	Land between 98 and 104 Maypole Road	5	
Small			
site	Willow Trees and Spinney Hill, Lewes Road	4	
470	Wealden House, Lewes Road (EDF)	50	
757	Wealden House, Lewes Road (LIC)	25	
	TOTAL	87	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
138	Land south of Hammerwood Road, Ashurst Wood	20
	TOTAL	20

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

	Addross	nits	uitable	vailable	chievable	QMA	nc. Wood	PO	looding	pen Space	ransport	ervices	ns	rain	DNP	andscape	ONB	km	eritage	NCI	romoted	Commonts
ID	Address	5	Su	¥	Ψ	Ă	Ā	Ē	Ē	ō	L L	Se	B	μ	SD	La	Ă	Ň	Ĭ	S	Pr	Comments

	Land east of Beeches																					
186	Lane, Ashurst Wood	45	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Site access very difficult
	Land adjacent to playing																					
	fields, Maypole Road,																					Site owner has expressed
464	Ashurst Wood	9	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	not available
	Ashurst Wood Recreation																					
465	Ground, Ashurst Wood	48	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Well used recreation ground
	Land adjacent to 2 Dirty																					
467	Lane, Ashurst Wood	39	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Land northeast of Woods																					Site access extremely
468	Hill Lane, Ashurst Wood	60	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	difficult
	Springhill, Beeches Lane,																					Site owner has expressed
469	Ashurst Wood	27	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	not available
	Land west of Dirty Lane,																					Site access extremely
634	Ashurst Wood	8	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	difficult

Balcombe

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Entirely within AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	?	Very small part of Balcombe Parish is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA
Flood Zones	?	A small part of Balcombe Parish falls within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	Balcombe Primary School is currently over capacity (104% as at Oct 2015)
Transport	?	Village has seen significant traffic flow increases in recent years following opening of Junction 10A on the M23 and becomes congested at peak times.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Allocates 3 sites delivering 42 new units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	2
Commitments – Large	0
Commitments – Small	7
Neighbourhood Plan	42
Total	51

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	0
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	51

	Additional Provision						
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000			
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48			
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	17	34	51	68			
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	11	23	34	45			

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 11-17 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 1-2 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. The sites are currently assessed as being unsuitable for development. Both are within the AONB with low landscape capacity, one with no recent history of promotion.
900	 An additional 23-24 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Same implications as 850 dwellings.
950	 An additional 34-51 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 3-4 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. As 850, additionally: This would require the development of sites with partial of complete coverage of ancient woodland with access issues and no recent history of promotion,
1000	 An additional 45-68 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 4 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.

 As 950, additionally:
• There would be no capacity beyond 57 dwellings,

	Total F	Total Plan Period Housing Provision								
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000					
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		63	75	87	99					
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	51	68	85	102	119					
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		62	74	85	96					

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Balcombe

Commitments

There are no commitments in this Parish.

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
150	Balcombe House, Haywards Heath Road, Balcombe	14	
191	Barn Field, Haywards Heath Road, Balcombe	14	
188	North of Station House, London Road, Balcombe	14	
	TOTAL	42	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

There are no '3 tick' deliverable/developable sites in the SHLAA

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Vintens Nursery, Oldlands																					
23	Avenue, Balcombe	15	N	Υ	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	
	Glebe Farm, Haywards																					
26	Heath Road, Balcombe	12	N	Υ	Y	N	Υ	N	N	Ν	Υ	N	Υ	N	Ν	Y	Y	N	Υ	Ν	Υ	
	Land south of Oldlands																					
165	Avenue, Balcombe	15	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	

	Land south of Barn																					
418	Meadow, Balcombe	15	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	N	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	

Bolney

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Parish is roughly 1/3 AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	A very small part of Bolney Parish falls within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	Bolney Primary School is currently near capacity (89% - 12 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	?	The local roads serving Bolney village are narrow rural roads inappropriate for carrying significant volumes of traffic.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	\checkmark	Allocates 3 sites delivering 45 new units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	1
Commitments – Large	10
Commitments – Small	19
Neighbourhood Plan	45
Total	75

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	68
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	143

	A	Additional Provision							
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000					
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48					
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	34	68	102	136					
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	7	15	22	30					

Implica	tions
850	• An additional 7-34 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 1 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable.
	 The site is currently assessed as being unsuitable for development. It has poor access gained via a single track. In addition, it is not well located to local services and public transport and has low to medium landscape capacity.
900	An additional 15-68 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Same implications as 850 dwellings.
950	 An additional 22-102 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	Same implications as 850 dwellings.
1000	 An additional 30-136 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 As 850, additionally:
	• Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 2 additional sites currently assessed
	as undeliverable/ undevelopable.
	• Would require the development of a site mostly within the AONB with significant tree coverage.

Total Plan Period Housing Provision (Commitments + Additional Provision)

	Total F	Total Plan Period Housing Provision								
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000					
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		155	167	179	191					
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	143	177	211	245	279					
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		150	158	165	173					

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Bolney

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
707	Land west of London Road, Bolney	10	
	TOTAL	10	

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
543	Former Queens Head Pub	30	
82	G+W Motors	10	Note: Inclusive of 6 units allocated within the Local Plan
711			
Small			
site	Bolney House	3-5	
	TOTAL	45	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
156	Pine Lodge and Pine Cottage, London Road, Bolney	6
264	Land south of Ryecroft Road, Bolney	6
526	Land east of Paynesfield, Bolney	20
527	Land north of Ryecroft Road, Bolney	6
617	Land at Foxhole Farm, Bolney	30
	TOTAL	68

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	ТРО	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land Adjacent to																					
541	Packway House, Bolney	30	N	Y	Υ	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Significant tree coverage
	Hangerwood Farm,																					
665	Foxhole Lane, Bolney	100	N	Y	Υ	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Not related to BUA
	Land to west of London																					Complete woodland
741	Road, Bolney	24	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	coverage
	Gleblands Field, Lodge																					
749	Lane, Bolney	110	Ν	Y	Υ	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Poor access (single track)

Burgess Hill

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	1	
AONB	×	
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	A small area to the west of Burgess Hill falls within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	Continued growth expected given Northern Arc development until new provision is created to serve the Northern Arc housing development. Primary schools Birchwood Grove Primary School - south east of town – capacity (87% - 55 spaces) Gattons Infant School – west of town – near capacity (96% - 11 spaces) London Meed Primary School - south of town – over capacity (103%) Manor Field Primary School – north east of town - capacity (81% - 124 spaces) Sheddingdean Primary School – north of town – capacity (85% - 32 spaces) Southway Junior School – west of town – near capacity (92% - 28 spaces) St Wilfred's Catholic School – centre of town – (at capacity 100%) <u>Secondary schools</u> Oakmeeds – capacity – (63% - 470 spaces) St Paul's Catholic College – over capacity (106%) All as at October 2015
Transport	?	Improvements will need to the transport network in Burgess Hill to accommodate the Northern Arc Housing development including promoting alternatives to the private car and significant investment in public transport.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Allocates 5 sites, delivering 180 new units (rest already included as commitments)

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	189
District Plan - Allocation	3,500
Commitments – Large	1,755
Commitments – Small	63
Neighbourhood Plan	180
Total	5,687

Note: includes Northern Arc (3,500) which is within Ansty and Staplefield Parish, for the purposes of this exercise.

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	136
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	5,823

	Additional Provision				
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000	

Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	156	312	468	623
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	85	170	255	340
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	190	380	571	761

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 85-190 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. These sites are currently assessed as being unsuitable for development given impact on landscape relation to the South Downs National Park and likely conflict with unneighbourly land uses; and potential severe transport issues on local roads given location to the south of the town in relative close proximity to each other.
900	 An additional 170-380 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 3 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 850 additionally:
	 Constrained due to potential severe impact on local roads particularly given sites to south of town in relatively close proximity to those considered at 850. Development would also impact upon features of landscape value.
950	 An additional 255-571 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 3, possibly 4 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 900, additionally (for 4 sites): Constrained due to potential impact on local roads particularly given sites to south of town in relatively close proximity to those considered at 900 and 850 Does not have any recent history of promotion or indication of developer interest
1000	 An additional 623-761 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 6 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 950, additionally: Sites would require relocation of comprehensive areas of open space but with no evidence this is achievable Other options are unavailable as landowner does not wish to develop Other options would require relocation of existing uses but with no evidence this is achievable.

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		5,979	6,135	6,291	6,446
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	5,823	5,908	5,993	6,078	6,163
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		6,013	6,203	6,394	6,584

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Burgess Hill

<u>Commitments</u>

ID	Address	Units	Comments
83	Station yard/car park Burgess Hill	150	
73	Covers Timber Yard 107 Fairfield Road Burgess Hill	15	
84	The Oaks Centre Junction Road Burgess Hill	12	
88	North of Faulkners Way Burgess Hill	20	
45	Former Sewage Treatment Works, Burgess Hill	325	
739	Land at 152 Leylands Road, Burgess Hill	6	
91	Keymer Tile Works Nye Road Burgess Hill	475	
233	Land East of Kingsway Burgess Hill	480	
92	Open air market Burgess Hill	0	
419	Osborne House Station Road Burgess Hill	14	
535	70 Station Road, Burgess Hill	13	
693	71 Victoria Road, Burgess Hill	14	
730	69 Victoria Road, Burgess Hill	14	
501	67 Victoria Road, Burgess Hill	12	
528	Burgess Hill Town Centre, Civic Way, Burgress Hill	142	Part of Neighbourhood Plan allocation. Site split
46	Land off Kings Way, East of Gerald Close, Burgess Hill	63	
	Total	1,755	
	Northern Arc	3,500	
	Total	5,435	

Burgess Hill – Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
242	The Brow Quarter	100	The Martlets permission 142 units
544	Victoria Road Industrial Estate	80	

TOTAL	180

Burgess Hill – '3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)

ID	Address	Units
159	30-32 Station Road, BH	14
206	Land to the rear of 60a-78 Folders Lane, BH	10
343	Land rear of 1- 49 Valebridge Road and 2 - 44 Leylands Road	9
365	6-10 Junction Road, BH	6
408	Oaklands, Keymer Road, BH	6
446	48-50 Junction Road, BH	6
536	112 Station Road, Burgess Hill	60
588	Burnetts Printing Works, 34 Cyprus Road, BH	7
589	273 London Road, Burgess Hill	9
646	The Garage, 1 Janes Lane, Burgess Hill	9
	TOTAL	136

Burgess Hill - '1 tick' and '2 tick' sites

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
4	Wintons Farm, Folders Lane, Burgess Hill	120	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	
92	Open air market, Cyprus Road, Burgess Hill	16	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Allocated site but not available
160	Land in Valebridge Road, Burgess Hill	10	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	
169	Land east of Coopers	14	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	

	Close, Burgess Hill																					
	Scout Centre, Station																					
172	Road, Burgess Hill	24	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	Ν	Y	N	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	Y	Not available
	Land to the north/east of																					
231	Burgess Hill	150	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Victoria Business Park																					
245	(larger site), Burgess Hill	1000	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Not available
	R/O Applewalk and Sussex																					
	Lodge, Upper St Johns																					
342	Road, Burgess Hill	28	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Ν	Υ	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Not available; no access
	St. Wilfrids Catholic																					
	Primary School, School																					
345	Close, Burgess Hill	75	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Not available
	255-269 London Road,																					
463	Burgess Hill	80	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Not available
	Telephone Exchange,																					
505	Cyprus Road, Burgess Hill	20	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Not available
	Land at Poveys																					
	Close/Southway																					
	Recreation Ground																					
	(Burgess Hill Rugby Club),																					
511	Burgess Hill	95	N	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	N	Y	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land rear of 88 Folders																					
534	Lane, Burgess Hill	74	N	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Pollards Farm, Ditchling																					
555	Common, Burgess Hill	60	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	
	Land south of Folders																					
	Lane and east of Keymer																					
	Road, Burgess Hill																					
557	(excluding site 738)	300	N	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	N	N	N	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land south of Janes Lane,																					
560	Burgess Hill	210	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land South of Southway,																					
594	Burgess Hill	50	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
623	Southway Junior School,	44	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Not available

	Burgess Hill																					
624	Gattons Infant School, Royal George Road, Burgess Hill	25	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Not available
738	Land east of Greenacres, Keymer Road and south of Folders Lane (formerly part of site 557)	80	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
740	Broad location to the West of Burgess Hill	2500	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	

Cuckfield

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	2	
AONB	✓	Western edge of Cuckfield Parish is within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	×	
Education	?	Holy Trinity Primary School in Cuckfield has capacity (79% - 87 spaces) Warden Park Academy (secondary education) is near capacity (99% - 17 spaces) All as at Oct 2015
Transport	?	Traffic flow is generally reasonable but peak hour congestion occurs and congestion also occurs close to the schools during term time.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	\checkmark	Allocates 4 sites delivering 29 new units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	59
Commitments – Large	19
Commitments – Small	15
Neighbourhood Plan	29
Total	122

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	55
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	177

	A	Additional Provision									
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000							
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	51	102	153	204							
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	60	119	179	238							
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	22	44	66	88							

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 22-60 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 2 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable.
	 Would have an impact on area with low/medium landscape capacity
	 Would require development of sites with significant site access issues
	 Potential for impact upon SNCI
	 Potential coalescence issues with Haywards Heath
900	 An additional 44-119 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	• Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 4 additional sites currently assessed
	as undeliverable/ undevelopable.
	 As 850, additionally:
	 Would require development within AONB
	 Would require development of sites with significant site access issues
	 Would require development of sites poorly located to public transport

	 Would require development of sites with no recent history of promotion 							
950	• An additional 66-179 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed.							
	• Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 6 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable.							
	As 900, additionally:							
	 Would require the development of open space within the AONB with significant 							
	access issues.							
	 No landowner intention to bring forward for development 							
1000	• An additional 88-238 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed							
	Same implications as 950 dwellings.							

Total Plan Period Housing Pr									
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000				
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		228	279	330	381				
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	177	237	296	356	415				
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		199	221	243	265				

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Cuckfield

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
37	Land Parcel East of Ardingly Road, Cuckfield, West Sussex,	9	
695	Yew Tree Court, London Lane, Cuckfield	10	
	TOTAL	19	

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
649			
Small			
site	Horsgate House	5	
545			
Small			
site	11 Manor Drive	3	
177	The Manor House, 14 Manor Drive, Cuckfield	11	(net)
	Courtmeadow School, Hanlye Lane, Cuckfield		
480		10	
	TOTAL	29	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
89	Land at Whitemans Green, Cuckfield	15
479	Land at Hanlye Lane to the east of Ardingly Road, Cuckfield	40

TOTAL 55

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

		ts	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	. Wood	0	Flooding	en Space	Transport	Services		'n	٩P	Landscape	NB	c	Heritage		Promoted	
ID	Address	Units	Suit	Ava	Ach	AQ	Anc.	ТРО	Floc	Open 3	Tra	Ser	Bus	Train	SDNP	Lan	AONB	7km	Her	SNCI	Pro	Comments
	Land at Wheatsheaf Lane,																					
11	Cuckfield	30	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land north of Riseholme,																					
63	Broad Street, Cuckfield	45	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Land south of Cuckfield																					
65	Village, Cuckfield	700	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land off Polestub Lane,																					
176	Cuckfield	39	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Ν	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Ν	N	N	Ν	Y	
	Land east of Crouchlands																					
179	Farm, Cuckfield	18	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land to the north of																					
227	Glebe Road, Cuckfield	70	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land north of Cuckfield																					
240	by-pass, Cuckfield	500	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land north of																					Open space for SHLAA ID:
420	Brainsmead, Cuckfield	90	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	54 (developed)
	Land east of Whitemans																					
550	Green, Cuckfield	36	Ν	Y	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land to East of Polestub																					
567	Lane, Cuckfield	120	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	N	N	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	Ν	Y	No intention to develop

East Grinstead

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	1	
AONB	✓	South and east areas of the Parish are AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	✓	Parish is entirely within the 7km zone of influence.
Flood Zones	?	Small sections to the south and east of the Parish are within flood zone 2/3.
Education	?	There are currently sufficient school places in East Grinstead but growth particularly in the secondary school education sector is being monitored. There are cross-border factors with East Sussex, Surrey and Kent children attending East Grinstead schools which play a part in the rising demand. <u>Primary Schools</u> Baldwins Hill Primary School (north west of town) – over capacity (104%) Blackwell Primary School (north of town) – capacity (71% - 123 places) Escots Primary School (east of town) – at capacity (100%) Halsford Park Primary School (west of town) - near capacity (99% - 4 places) Meads Primary School (south of town) – capacity (81% - 60 spaces) St Peter's Catholic Primary School – near capacity (96% - 8 spaces) <u>Secondary Schools</u> Imberhorne School – capacity (91% - 161 spaces) Sackville School – capacity (93% - 118 spaces)
		(all as at October 2015)
Transport	~	Significant town-wide transport issues with extended periods of congestions throughout the day particularly during peak periods.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Allocates 12 sites, (3 already committed, 1 completed) delivering a remaining 447 Units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	365
Commitments – Large	438
Commitments – Small	64
Neighbourhood Plan	447
Total	1,314

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	89
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	1,403

	A	dditional	Provisio	n
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	156	312	468	623
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	213	425	638	850

Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	162	325	487	649
---	-----	-----	-----	-----

Implica	tions
850	• An additional 156-213 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	These sites are within the Ashdown Forest 7km zone of influence
	• These sites are currently assessed as being unavailable for development – there is no prospect that existing uses are willing to move (and if so, further land would be required to do so)
900	• An additional 312-425 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 8-14 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	These sites are within the Ashdown Forest 7km zone of influence
	• All sites are currently assessed as being unavailable for development - there is no prospect that
	existing uses are willing to move (and if so, further land would be required to do so)
	• The majority of sites are assessed as being unachievable. Costs of delivering the site are
	prohibitive.
	 The majority of sites have only fair-poor access to public transport
	Nearly all additional sites required to meet this level of provision have not been
	promoted/progressed since the SHLAA was originally produced in 2008
950	An additional 468-638 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	• Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 17-19 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	As 900, additionally:
	 Sites would be constrained by ancient woodland
	 Sites have poor access to public transport
	 Sites are likely to have an impact on the AONB
1000	An additional 623-850 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 20+ sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	As 950, additionally:
	 Sites would be severely constrained by ancient woodland
	 Sites have specific transport/access constraints, mitigation could make them
	unviable
	 Sites are likely to have a severe impact on the AONB

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		1,559	1,715	1,871	2,026
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	1,403	1,616	1,828	2,041	2,253
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		1,565	1,728	1,890	2,052

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

East Grinstead

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
52	adj Ashplats House, Holtye Rd, East Grinstead	8	
96	Stonequarry Woods East Grinstead South of The Old Convent & St Margarets Convent, Adj to Moatfield Road,	40	
97	East Grinstead	56	
101	Tennis & Squash Club Ship Street East Grinstead	0	
259	218 London Road, East Grinstead	14	
313	Farringdon House, Wood Street, East Grinstead	41	
409	Sussex House, London Road, East Grinstead	8	
412	1 Christopher Road, East Grinstead	16	
412	1 Christopher Road, East Grinstead	10	
439	St Lukes House Vicarage, Holtye Road, East Grinstead	14	
444	The Vinesong Trust, Warrenside, College Lane, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 3LR,	14	
449	4-6 Swan Court, London Road, East Grinstead	3	
513	Land at Blackwell Farm Road, East Grinstead	10	
524	Former Caffyns Garage, King Street, East Grinstead	12	
548	17 Copthorne Road, Felbridge, East Grinstead	25	
577	St James House, 150 London Road, East Grinstead	31	
577	St James House, 150 London Road, East Grinstead	4	
577	St James House, 150 London Road, East Grinstead	6	
639	Parish Hall, De La Warr Road, East Grinstead	8	
696	1 - 25 Bell Hammer, East Grinstead	3	
697	Garland Court, Garland Road, East Grinstead	49	
729	Land adj to Greenstede House, Wood Street, East Grinstead	11	
737	Mead House, Cantelupe Road, East Grinstead	5	
746	South of Phoenix House, Cantelupe House, East Grinstead	12	

758	151 London Road, East Grinstead	4	
758	151 London Road, East Grinstead	12	
759	Tower Car Sales, Tower Close, East Grinstead	7	
766	Home, 3 Cantelupe Mews, East Grinstead	8	
773	Superdrug, 78 London Road, East Grinstead	7	
	TOTAL	438	

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
324	Medway Garage	9	
510	Imberhorne Lane Car Park	18	
441	67 – 69 Railway Approach	7	
559	Post Office, 76 London Road, East Grinstead	12	
81	Imberhorne Lower School, Windmill Lane	200	
723	Ashplatts House	45	
768	Queens Walk	121	Now pending s106
102	Land at the junction of Windmill Lane and London Road	35	
	TOTAL	447	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
21	Land south of Copthorne Road, Felbridge	32
224	Land at Brooklands Park, west of Orchard Way, EG	10
520	75-77 Railway Approach, East Grinstead	9
547	Land rear of 'Mulberry Gate', Copthorne Road, Felbridge	11

696	1 - 25 Bell Hammer, East Grinstead	3
763	Carpet Right, 220 - 228 London Road, East Grinstead	24
	TOTAL	89

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land adjoining Acacia Cottage, 151 Crawley																					
5	Down Road, Felbridge	6	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	
5	Land adj. Great Harwood Farm House off Harwoods Lane, East																					
17	Grinstead	600	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	N	Υ	Ν	N	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	
22	Land to rear of Dunnings Mill Sports Club Dunnings Rd, East Grinstead	13	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	Marked as open space but inconclusive
51	East Grinstead Football Club and Rifle Club, East Court, East Grinstead	80	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	
101	Tennis and Squash Club, Ship Street, East Grinstead	40	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N		Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	2 tick but MSLP allocated site
145	Land east of Fairlight Lane, Holtye Road, East Grinstead	12	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Access issues re transport
145	Land at Worsted Farm, East Grinstead	120	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Access issues re transport
196	Land south of Crawley	87	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Access issues re transport

	Down Road, Felbridge																					
	Land rear of 17-47																					
	Crawley Down Road,																					
197	Felbridge	78	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Charlwoods Industrial																					
222	Estate, East Grinstead	200	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	NP supported
	Land adjacent to Shelley																					
254	Road, East Grinstead	15	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Premier House, Garland																					
323	Road, East Grinstead	14	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Pine Lodge, Blair House,																					
	Avondene and Varenna,																					
	Ship Street, East																					
339	Grinstead	12	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	N	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	
	53-59 Lingfield Road, East																					
369	Grinstead	9	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Pending decision
	Garages at Buckhurst																					
370	Close, East Grinstead	10	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	
	1, 3 & 5 Halsford Park																					
378	Road, East Grinstead	11	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land at 2 Sackville Lane																					
	and rear gardens of 4																					
	Sackville Lane, 10																					
	Felbridge Close and																					
	Waikiki and Stone House,																					
	London Road, East																					
397	Grinstead	9	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Turley Cottage, Ship																					
422	Street, East Grinstead	10	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Beckford and The Little																					
	House, Lewes Road, East																					
433	Grinstead	7	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	
																						Deemed unachievable -
	2-4 Crescent Road, East																					perhaps should apply to
462	Grinstead	10	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	other similar sites in EG?

	Station Car Park,																					
	Grosvenor Road, East																					
486	Grinstead	60	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land to the west of East																					
	Grinstead (land at																					See #770 - was assessed as
561	Imberhorne Farm)		Y	Ν	Y																	delivering 190 units
	Land at Hill Place Farm to																					
	the south west of East																					
	Grinstead, west and east																					
	of the Bluebell Railway																					
562	Line	200	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	
	Land north of Hill Place																					
	Farm and south of Worth																					
580	Way, East Grinstead	90	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	
	147 - 149 London Road,																					
593	East Grinstead	17	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Ν	Y	Achievable?
																						Was 90 but given appeal
																						refused for 24 potential
	Land south of Edinburgh																					capacity should be reduced
598	Way, East Grinstead	24	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	to 24 or lower?
																						Assessed as #17 - was
	Land east of Stuart Way,																					assessed at delivering 120
615	East Grinstead		Ν	Y	Y																	units
	Land between 43 and 59																					
	Hurst Farm Road, East																					
733	Grinstead	45	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Queens Road Car Park,																					
769	East Grinstead	10	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land south and west of																					
	Imberhorne Upper																					
	School, Imberhorne																					
770	Lane, East Grinstead	550	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	Y	Ν	Υ	Y	N	N	

Hassocks

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	2	
AONB	×	
South Downs National Park	~	SDNP boundary runs close to southern boundary of Hassocks and immediately abuts eastern boundary.
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	~	Areas of Flood Zone 3 within and adjacent to settlement and within open countryside in Parish.
Education	~	Shortage of primary places and it is proposed that a new primary school is built in Hassocks. Work underway to identify a new site for a primary school. Further expansion at secondary school may be required in the future. An extra form of entry was provided from September 2016. Hassocks Infant School – near capacity (95% - 14 spaces) Windmills Junior School – capacity (88% - 45 spaces) Downlands Community School (secondary education) – near capacity (97% - 31 spaces) All as at October 2015
Transport	✓	Stonepound Cross Roads AQMA
Neighbourhood Plan Status	?	Emerging Neighbourhood Plan seeks to allocate 3 sites delivering 290 new units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	41
Commitments – Large	84
Commitments – Small	22
Neighbourhood Plan	290
(emerging)	
Total	437

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	240
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	677

	Additional Provision						
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000			
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	51	102	153	204			
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	43	85	128	170			
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	50	100	151	201			

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 43-51 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 1 additional site currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. Would potentially require site in close proximity to Stone Pound Crossroad Air Quality Management Area
	 Would potentially require land abutting SDNP Would potentially require site with poor access issues
900	An additional 85-102 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed

	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 3 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. As 850, additionally: Would require land within Flood Zone 3 Would require land with no recent history of promotion Would require land in close proximity to Stone Pound Crossroad Air Quality Management Area
950	 An additional 128-153 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed. Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 4 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. Same implications as 900 dwellings.
1000	 An additional 170-204 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean the development of 7 additional sites currently assessed as undeliverable/ undevelopable. As 900, additionally: Would require sites not available for development

	Total Plan Period Housing Provision									
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000					
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		728	779	830	881					
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	677	720	762	805	847					
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		727	777	828	878					

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Hassocks

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
472	Stafford House 91 Keymer Road Hassocks	14	
106	Station Goods Yard Hassocks	70	
	TOTAL	84	

Neighbourhood Plan (note: emerging, not 'made')

ID	Address	Units	Comments
690	Hassocks Golf Club	130	Resolution to grant PP
753	Land north of Clayton Mills	140	
375	National Tyre Centre	20	
	TOTAL	290	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
221	Land to the north of Shepherds Walk, Hassocks	140
286	Land at the Ham, Hassocks	100
	TOTAL	240

<u>'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites</u>

		ts	Suitable	Available	Achievable	ЧA	. Wood		Flooding	en Space	Transport	Services		c	IP	Landscape	NB	_	Heritage		Promoted	
ID	Address	Units	Suit	Ava	Ach	AQMA	Anc.	ТРО	Floc	Open	Traı	Serv	Bus	Train	SDNP	Lan	AONB	7km	Her	SNCI	Pro	Comments
	Land opposite Stanford							-			-											
	Avenue, London Road,																					
210	Hassocks	59	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Telephone exchange,																					
	Windmill Avenue,																					
374	Hassocks	9	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Not available
	Hassocks Delivery Office,																					
	36 Keymer Road,																					
554	Hassocks	8	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Not available
	Russell Nursery, Hurst																					
742	Road, Hassocks	60	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Land north of Friars Oak,																					
752	London Road, Hassocks	52	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Land west of Birch Way,																					
754	Hassocks	11	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	27 and rear of 25/25a																					
755	Hurst Road, Hassocks	11	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	

Haywards Heath

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	1	
AONB	x	
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	Small areas to the north and east of Haywards Heath fall within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	Primary school places have been in high demand but expansion plans are underway subject to funding confirmation. Secondary school places are sufficient in the short-term but are being monitored. <u>Primary Schools</u> Bolnore Village Primary School – south west of town - capacity (81% - 80 spaces) Harlands Primary School – north west of town – at capacity – (100%) Northlands Wood Primary School – east of town – near capacity - (98% - 7 spaces) St Joseph's Catholic School – centre of town – near capacity – (97% - 14 spaces) St Wilfred's School- near centre of town – near capacity (98% - 9 spaces) Warden Park Primary Academy – centre of town – capacity (70% 125 spaces) <u>Secondary Schools</u> Oathall – capacity – (66% - 510 spaces) All as at October 2015
Transport	?	
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Allocates 7 sites delivering 637 new units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	432
Commitments – Large	1,004
Commitments – Small	80
Neighbourhood Plan	637
Total	2,153

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	174
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	2,327

	Additional Provision			
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	156	312	468	623
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	170	340	510	680
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	161	322	483	645

Implica	tions						
850	 An additional 156-170 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 12 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. Most of the sites are unavailable for development due to a lack of evidence of options for relocation as in viable commercial use / use without evidence of intent to develop. Most sites have no recent history of promotion for development. 						
900	 An additional 312-340 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 16 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 850, additionally: Most of the sites are unavailable for development due to a lack of evidence of options for relocation (including open space and a community building) or are in viable commercial use / use without evidence of intent to develop. 						
950	 An additional 468-510 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 19 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 900, additionally: Would require land with poor access. Would require land with low/medium capacity for development. Would require land with no recent history of promotion. Would include land with potential to cause harm to setting of Grade II* Listed Building. 						
1000	 An additional 623-680 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 21 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 950, additionally: Would require land with poor and/or no immediate means to gain access Will require land adjacent to AONB 						

	Total Plan Period Housing Provision				
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		2,483	2,639	2,795	2,950
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	2,327	2,497	2,667	2,837	3,007
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		2,488	2,649	2,810	2,972

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Haywards Heath

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
57	Land at Gamblemead, Fox Hill, Haywards Heath	99	
94	North of Rookery Farm Rocky Lane Haywards Heath	55	
109	East of hospital playing field (Parcel Y), Haywards Heath	17	
110	Bolnore Village Phases 4b & 5, south west of Haywards Heath	136	
110	Bolnore Village Phase 4a	6	
199	Land to rear of 151 Western Road, Haywards Heath	14	
239	Land South of Rocky Lane, Haywards Heath (Phase 1)	64	
247	Penland Farm, Balcombe Road, Hayward Heath	210	
289	Grosvenor Hall, Bolnore Road, Haywards Heath	10	
329	Beacon Heights, 4 Church Road	24	
334	L/A Larchwood, Anscombe Woods Cresent, Haywards Heath	10	
334	Land between The Willows and Bennetts Rise, Southdowns Park, Haywards Heath	13	
448	Land to the West of Beech Hurst, Butlers Green Road, Haywards Heath	10	
454	The Oaks, 36 Paddockhall Road, Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH16 1HL,	9	
485	Land South of Rocky Lane, Haywards Heath (Phase 2)	101	
531	North of 99 Reed Pond Walk Franklands Village Haywards Heath	18	
700	Oldfield, 55 Lewes Road, Haywards Heath	10	
701	1 - 3 Church Road, Haywards Heath	43	
702	6 Heath Square, Boltro Road, Haywards Heath	9	
706	Mid Sussex Magistrates Court, Bolnore Road, Haywards Hath	36	
708	Burns House, Harlands Road, Haywards Heath	8	
726	Milton House, Milton Road, Haywards Heath	28	
745	Land to north of Rocky Lane, Haywards Heath	30	

760	Norris House, Burrell Road, Haywards Heath	30	
767	11 Boltro Road, Haywards Heath	7	
771	L/A Oldfield, 55 Lewes Road, Haywards Heath	7	
	TOTAL	1,004	

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
246	Land at Hurst Farm	350	
496	Land south of Rocky Lane and Weald Rise	190	
507	Caru Hall	12	
597	Devon Villas	10	
619	Beech Hurst Depot	15	
744	Harlands Road Car Park	40	
750	Downlands Park	20	
	TOTAL	637	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
296	52 Sussex Road, HH	9
304	Maplehurst, 53 Oathall Road, HH	8
330	Land to the north of 1 & 3 Church Road, HH	15
351	Private car park to the south of Trevelyan Place, Church Road, HH	30
379	10-14 Sydney Road, HH	20
407	Woodlands, Paddockhall Road, HH	10
445	5 Ashenground Road, HH	6
551	38-42 South Road 1st and 2nd floor, Haywards Heath	6
590	Barclay Court, 1-3 Market Place, Haywards Heath	12

592	26-28 Sussex Road, Haywards Heath	10
732	The Priory, Syresham Gardens, Haywards Heath	41
767	11 Boltro Road, Haywards Heath	7
	TOTAL	174

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	TPO	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land south of Sunte																					
	House, Birchen Lane,	•		~	~														~			
32	Haywards Heath	8	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Granted PP 16-09-2016
	Land North of Wickham																					Assessment / site area
22	Way and East of Birchen	20		V	v	NI	V	NI	N	N	v	v	V	N	N	v	NI	N	NI	N	N	needs to reflect situation
33	Lane, Haywards Heath	38	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	N	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	post Birchen Lane
200	Muster Green Car Park,	10	V	N	NI	NI	NI	NI	N	N	NI	NI	NI	N	N	NI	NI	N	v	N	v	Netevoilable
299	Haywards Heath Mid Sussex Timber	10	Υ	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Not available
326	Company, College Road, Haywards Heath	11	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Not available
520	Car parks at Hazelgrove		+ '		'													1				
	Road, Haywards Road																					
	and to the rear of the																					
	Orchards, Haywards																					
327	Heath	56	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	Y	Not available
	45-47 Perrymount Road,																					
328	Haywards Heath	11	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	N	15/3256 - demolition
	, Garage area, Newton		1						1													
	Court, Perrymount Road,																					
352	Haywards Heath	9	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Υ	Not available

MSDC 5a

			1	T	1	1	r	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	T1
	Concord House,																					
	Balcombe Road,																					
353	Haywards Heath	8	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	N	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Not available
	38-42 Perrymount Road,																					
354	Haywards Heath	18	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Not available
	Telephone Exchange,																					
	Paddockhall Road,																					
356	Haywards Heath	17	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Not available
	59 Perrymount Road,																					
357	Haywards Heath	9	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Not available
	Land r/o Priory Court,																					
	Triangle Road, Haywards																					
361	Heath	6	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N		Ν	Ν		Ν	N	Y			N	Y		Ν		
	11-17 Oathall Road,																					
382	Haywards Heath	12	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	N	Υ	Ν	Υ	Review availability
	Fir Trees, Hazelgrove																					
436	Road, Haywards Heath	9	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Nothing since 2007
	Rockwood House,																					
	Perrymount Road,																					
443	Haywards Heath	50	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	Y	2007 preapp
	Drill Hall, 85 Eastern																					Logged as open space
461	Road, Haywards Heath	18	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	N	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Υ	(community facility)
	Hanbury Stadium, Allen																					
490	Road, Haywards Heath	80	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	No location for move
	Iona Way / Syresham																					
497	Gardens, Haywards Heath	9	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Garage area
	MSDC Offices, Oaklands																					
	Road Campus, Haywards																					
509	Heath	80	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Not available
	Land east of Borde Hill																					
556	Lane, Haywards Heath	255	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Royal Mail Delivery																					
	Office, Mill Green Road,																					
587	Haywards Heath	20	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	No evidence of availability?
667	Playing Field at Central	90	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Transport issues around
	, ,		1																			<u> </u>

	Sussex College, Penland																					access
	Road, Haywards Heath																					
	Land north of Butlers																					
	Green Road, Haywards																					
673	Heath	12	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	

Horsted Keynes

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Parish is within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	✓	Parish is entirely within the 7km zone of influence.
Flood Zones	?	Small sections to the south, west and central area of the Parish are within flood zone 2/3.
Education	?	St Giles CE Primary School – capacity (81% - 28 spaces)
Transport	×	
Neighbourhood Plan Status	×	No draft Neighbourhood Plan published. Regulation 14 proposes 3 sites for 16 new units.

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	1
Commitments – Large	12
Commitments – Small	2
Neighbourhood Plan	0
Total	15

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	118
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	133

	A	dditional	Provisio	n
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	9	17	26	34
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	10	20	30	39

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 9-12 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1 site currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. This site is within the AONB This site is partly covered by TPOs although these could be mitigated Site has good-fair access to local services Site has low capacity in landscape terms Site is within the 7km zone of influence
900 950	 An additional 17-24 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1-2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As per 850, additionally: Site has transport constraints, no evidence these can be mitigated Site would impact on heritage assets An additional 26-36 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1-2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 900

1000	• An additional 34-48 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	• As 950

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		145	157	169	181
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	133	142	150	159	167
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		143	153	163	172

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Horsted Keynes

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
728	Ravenswood Hotel, Horsted Lane, Sharpthorne	12	
	TOTAL	12	

Neighbourhood Plan

There is no 'made' Neighbourhood Plan in this Parish.

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
68	Farm Buildings, Jefferys Farm, Horsted Keynes	6
183	Constance Wood Recreation Ground, Hamsland, Horsted Keynes	28
184	Land south of St. Stephens Church, Hamsland, Horsted Keynes	34
216	Land at Birch Grove Road/Danehill Lane, Horsted Keynes	10
748	The Old Rectory, Church Lane, Horsted Keynes	40
		118

ID	Addross	nits	uitable	vailable	chievable	QMA	nc. Wood	PO	looding	pen Space	ransport	ervices	sn	rain	DNP	andscape	ONB	km	eritage	NCI	romoted	Commonts
טו	Address		S	A	4	4	A	L	FI	0	Ē	S	B	Ē	SI	Ľ	۷	2	I	S	P	Comments

MSDC 5a

	Ludwell Field adj Keysford																					DM/16/3974 pending 42
69	and Sugar Lane	28	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	dwellings
	Front field (Village field),																					
	Jeffreys Farm, Horsted																					DM/16/3974 pending 42
70	Keynes	20	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	dwellings

Hurstpierpoint & Sayers Common

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	2	
AONB	×	
South Downs National Park	~	Southern part of the Parish is within the South Downs National Park
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	Four small areas of flood zone 3
Education	?	St Lawrence CofE Primary School – capacity (86% - 90 spaces)
Transport	?	Hurstpierpoint High Street suffers from congestion. Development at Hurstpierpoint can impact on the Stonepound Crossroads AQMA.
Neighbourhood Plan Status	~	Allocates 5 sites, delivering a remaining 40 Units (other units already counted as commitments)

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	66
Commitments – Large	224
Commitments – Small	34
Neighbourhood Plan	40*
Total	364

*Note: 40 units allocated towards the Sayers Common area, but no sites specified

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	216
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	580

	Additional Provision				
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000	
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	51	102	153	204	
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	43	85	128	170	
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	42	83	125	167	

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 42-51 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 3 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. Sites have fair-poor access to existing services Sites have low capacity in landscape terms
900	 An additional 83-102 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 4 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 850
950	 An additional 125-153 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 4-5 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 900, additionally: Site would impact on ancient woodland

1000	• An additional 167-204 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 5-6 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	• As 950

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		631	682	733	784
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	580	623	665	708	750
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		622	663	705	747

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Hurstpierpoint & Sayers Common

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
	Land north of Fairfield Recreation Ground, Chalkers lane, Hurstpierpoint, West		
284	Sussex	61	
377	Sussex House 23 Cuckfield Road, Hurstpeirpoint	6	
238	Land to north of Little Park Farm, Hurstpierpoint	140	
2	Land north of Highfield Drive, Hurstpierpoint	17	
	TOTAL	224	

Hurstpierpoint & Sayers Common – Neighbourhood Plan

There are no Neighbourhood Plan sites (Plan allocates 5 site, however these are now a commitment or not site-specific).

Hurstpierpoint & Sayers Common - '3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)

ID	Address	Units
3	Land at Trinity Road, Hurstpierpoint	6
13	Land west of Kemps, Hurstpierpoint	84
164	Land to the rear of 78 Wickham Hill, Hurstpierpoint	6
220	Land north of Kingsland Laines, Sayers Common	40
452	Pickett White Ltd, Albourne Road, Hurstpierpoint	12
613	Land at Whitehorse Lodge, Furzeland Way, Sayers Common	8
669	Kings Business Centre, Reeds Lane, Sayers Common	60
	TOTAL	216

Hurstpierpoint & Sayers Common - '1 tick' and '2 tick' sites

			a	ole	able		Mood		ß	pace	ort	S				ape			e Se		ted	
ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. W	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land east of College Lane,																					
19	Hurstpierpoint	81	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Land north of Oaklands,	_																				
166	Sayers Common	6	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land north of 149 College																					
173	Lane, Hurstpierpoint	14	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Land at Hurst Wickham,	24																			v	
283	Hurstpierpoint	24	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Allotment gardens,	20		V	v					v		V	V	V		V					V	
442	Sayers Common Land south of Furzeland	20	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
401		10	NI	Y	N	N	NI	NI	N	N	NI	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	NI	N	NI	N	
491	Way, Sayers Common Car Park at Brown Twins	10	Ν	Y	IN	N	N	Ν	IN	IN	Ν	Y	Y	Y	IN	IN	IN	Ν	IN	Ν	IN	
514	Road, Hurstpierpoint	6	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	
514	South of Hurst Wickham	0	I	IN	1	IN	IN	IN	IN	IN	IN	IN	1	I	IN	IN	IN	IN	IN	IN	I	
	Barn, College Lane,																					
582	Hurstpierpoint	10	N	Y	Y	N	Ν	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Ν	N	
502	Land at Coombe Farm,	10		· ·	•								•	•		•						
	London Road, Sayers																					
601	Common	400	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	
	Land East of Tilley's																					
	Copse, Chalkers Lane,																					
687	Hurstpierpoint	75	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	
	Land north of the Kings																					
	Business Centre, Reeds																					
751	Lane, Sayers Common	210	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	

Lindfield Rural

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	North east section of the Parish is within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	✓	Eastern half of the Parish is within the 7km zone of influence
Flood Zones	✓	Flood zone 3 runs across the parish
Education	?	St Augustine's CofE Primary nearing capacity (90% - 10 spaces)
Transport	×	
Neighbourhood Plan Status	\checkmark	Made: No allocations

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	31
Commitments – Large	183
Commitments – Small	13
Neighbourhood Plan	0
Total	227

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	215
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	442

	A	dditional	Provisio	n
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	34	68	102	136
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	16	32	48	64

Implica	itions
850	 An additional 12-34 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. There are only 2 sites within the SHLAA currently assessed as being undeliverable/undevelopable within Lindfield Rural. One site is not available or achievable, and therefore the prospect of delivery is small. Both sites have significant transport constraints Both sites are remote from public transport Both sites have fair-poor access to local services Both sites have low/medium capacity in landscape terms Neither site has been promoted/progressed since the SHLAA was originally produced in 2008.
900	 An additional 24-68 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 850
950	 An additional 36-102 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2+ sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 900

1000	• An additional 48-136 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	• Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2+ sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	• As 950

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	vision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		454	466	478	490
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	442	476	510	544	578
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		458	474	490	506

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Lindfield Rural

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
494	Land to the east of Gravelye Lane and south of Scamps Hill, Lindfield	158	
586	Buxshalls, Ardingly Road, Lindfield, West Sussex,	19	
761	Springfield Farm, Lewes Road, Scaynes Hill	6	
	TOTAL	183	

Neighbourhood Plan

There are no Neighbourhood Plan sites (plan is 'made' but does not allocate sites).

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
6	Land at Gravelye Lane and Scamps Hill, Lindfield	135
151	Land east of Portsmouth Wood Close, Lindfield	50
725	Land adjacent to Barn Cottage, Lewes Road, Scaynes Hill	30
	TOTAL	215

MSDC 5a

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	ТРО	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land south of																					
484	Woodcutters, Scaynes Hill	15	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Y	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Eastlands, Lewes Road,																					
515	Scaynes Hill	630	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	

Lindfield

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	2	
AONB	✓	North-eastern boundary of the Parish is within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	Small part of the central section of the Parish is within flood zone 2/3.
Education	?	Lindfield Primary School – capacity (85% - 91 spaces as at Oct 2015) Blackthorns Community Primary School at capacity (99% - 1 space as at Oct 2015)
Transport	×	
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Made, 0 allocations

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	88
Commitments – Large	0
Commitments – Small	3
Neighbourhood Plan	0
Total	91

Potential Additional Housing Provision

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	0
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	91*

*note that sites on the edge of Lindfield are within Lindfield Rural parish

	A	Additional Provision										
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000								
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	51	102	153	204								
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	85	170	255	340								
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	39	79	118	158								

Implica	tions												
850	An additional 39-85 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed												
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1 site currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. 												
	This site has medium capacity in landscape terms												
900	An additional 79-170 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed												
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1 site currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. 												
	As 850												
950	An additional 118-255 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed												
	Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as												
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.												
	As 900, additionally:												
	 Sites are affected by ancient woodland 												
	 Sites have known transport problems, would have severe impact on the highway 												

	 network, or have known access issues Sites have low capacity in landscape terms Sites have not been promoted/progressed since first inclusion in the SHLAA 5 years ago.
1000	 An additional 158-340 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 950

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		142	193	244	295
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	91	176	261	346	431
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		130	170	209	249

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Lindfield

Commitments

There are no commitments in this Parish (note that sites on the edge of Lindfield are within Lindfield Rural parish).

Neighbourhood Plan

There are no Neighbourhood Plan sites (plan is 'made' but does not allocate sites).

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

There are no '3 tick' deliverable/developable sites in the SHLAA

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land off Snowdrop Lane, Lindfield, Haywards																					Previous application for
29	Heath	105	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	COU to open space
	East of High Beech Lane,																					
76	Haywards Heath	280	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	Ν	N	Y	
77	Spring Lane, Lindfield	360	N	Υ	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	N	Υ	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	
	Land to the north of																					
237	Scamps Hill, Lindfield	300	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	
	Land South of Scamps																					
483	Hill, Lindfield	200	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	
	Land north east of																					
498	Lindfield	1200	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Υ	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	

	Haywards Heath Golf																					
	Course, High Beech Lane,																					l l
503	Haywards Heath	500	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	

Slaugham

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Parish is within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	?	Small sections to the south of the Parish are within flood zone 2/3.
Education	?	Warninglid Primary School near capacity (91% - 6 spaces as at Oct 2015) Handcross Primary School over capacity (109%)
Transport	?	Capacity at M23 junctions (Pease Pottage) nearing/at capacity
Neighbourhood Plan Status	×	No draft Neighbourhood Plan published

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	55
Commitments – Large	812
Commitments – Small	13
Neighbourhood Plan	0
Total	880

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	102
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	982

	Additional Provision									
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000						
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48						
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	4	9	13	17						
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	16	31	47	63						

Implica	tions										
850	 An additional 4-16 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed 										
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1 site currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. 										
	 This site is within the AONB 										
	 This site has poor access by public transport 										
	 This site has low capacity in landscape terms 										
	 This site is assessed as being unachievable. Costs of delivering the site are prohibitive. 										
900	 An additional 9-31 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed 										
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as 										
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.										
	 As per 850, additionally: 										
	\circ Site has not been actively promoted/progressed within the SHLAA within the last										
	5 years. Intention to develop is not clear.										
	 Site is partly covered by ancient woodland 										
950	 An additional 13-47 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed 										
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2-3 sites currently assessed as 										
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.										

	As 900, additionally:									
	 Site considerable covered by ancient woodland 									
	 Site is unavailable for development 									
1000	An additional 17-63 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed									
	• Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 3-4 sites currently assessed as									
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.									
	• As 950									

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		994	1006	1018	1030
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	982	986	991	995	999
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		998	1013	1029	1045

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Slaugham

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
704	Land at Caburn and St Georges House, Brighton Road, Handcross	7	
600	Golf Course Driving Range, Horsham Road, Pease Pottage	95	
321	Seaspace House, Brighton Road, Handcross	7	
517+ 647	Land at Hyde Estate, Handcross	90	
762	Sherwood Works, Brighton Road, Handcross	7	
709	Allotment Gardens, High Street, Handcross	6	
666	Hardriding Farm, Pease Pottage	600	DP allocation (with Planning Permission)
	TOTAL	812	

Neighbourhood Plan

There is no 'made' Neighbourhood Plan in this Parish.

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
127	Land at St. Martin Close and Coos Lane, Handcross	50
218	Golf House, Horsham Road, Pease Pottage	25
648	Old Brighton Road South, Pease Pottage	21
670	Land at Coos Lane, horsham Road, Handcross	6
	TOTAL	102

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land south of Pease																					
153	Pottage	69	N	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land west of Truggers,																					
181	Handcross	76	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Ν	Ν	
	Land at Lower Tilgate,																					
243	East of Pease Pottage	1750	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land south of Pease																					
	Pottage, west of Old																					
603	Brighton Road	660	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Y	Υ	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Land south of Freefold,																					
	Horsham Road,																					
632	Handcross	10	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	
	Land north of Pease																					
	Pottage, West of Old																					
	Brighton Road, Pease																					
674	Pottage	260	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	
	Land north of the Old																					
	Club House (Allotment																					
	Gardens), High Street,																					Handcross; DM/15/0359 6
709	Handcross	6	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Υ	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	units
	Land to west of 63																					
	Horsham Road, Pease																					Pease Pottage; all ancient
731	Pottage	45	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	woodland

Turners Hill

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Parish is within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	 ✓ 	Eastern half of the parish is within the 7km zone of influence for the Ashdown Forest SPA
Flood Zones	?	Small part of the western boundary is within flood zone 2/3
Education	?	Turners Hill CofE Primary School at capacity (99% - 2 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	×	
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Made: Allocates 1 site, delivering 44 Units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	3
Commitments – Large	47
Commitments – Small	2
Neighbourhood Plan	44
Total	96

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	0
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	96

	Additional Provision					
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000		
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48		
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	12	24	36	48		
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	12	24	35	47		

Implica	tions
850	An additional 12 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There is only 1 site option within the SHLAA at Turners Hill. This is for 51 units.
	 This site is unavailable for development
	 This site has low landscape capacity
	This site is within the AONB
	• This site has not been promoted/progressed since it was first submitted to the SHLAA in 2008.
900	 An additional 24 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There is only 1 site option within the SHLAA at Turners Hill. This is for 51 units.
	• As per 850.
950	 An additional 35-36 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There is only 1 site option within the SHLAA at Turners Hill. This is for 51 units.
	• As per 950.
1000	 An additional 47-48 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There is only 1 site option within the SHLAA at Turners Hill. This is for 51 units.
	• As per 1,000.

	Total Plan Period Housing Provision						
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000		
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		108	120	132	144		
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	96	96	96	96	96		
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		108	120	131	143		

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Turners Hill

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
116	Clock Field, North Street, Turners Hill	47	
	TOTAL	47	

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
492	Old Vicarage Field, Church Road, Turners Hill	44	
	TOTAL	44	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

There are no '3 tick' deliverable/developable sites in the SHLAA

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Land rear of Withypitts, Selsfield Road, Turners																					Not available for
569	Hill	51	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	development

Twineham

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	4	
AONB	×	
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	×	
Flood Zones	✓	River Adur crosses the central section of the parish, and falls within Flood Zone 3
Education	?	Twineham CofE Primary School nearing capacity (93% - 7 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	×	
Neighbourhood Plan Status	✓	Made: delivers 20 Units but not site specific

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	0
Commitments – Large	0
Commitments – Small	5
Neighbourhood Plan	20*
Total	25

*Note: 20 units allocated, but no sites specified

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	0
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	25

	A	dditional	Provisio	n
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	4	9	13	17
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	4	9	13	17
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	2	3	5	6

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 2-4 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There are no further site options at Twineham.
900	 An additional 3-9 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There are no further site options at Twineham.
950	 An additional 5-13 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There are no further site options at Twineham.
1000	 An additional 6-17 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 There are no further site options at Twineham.

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	vision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		29	34	38	42
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	25	29	34	38	42
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		27	28	30	31

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

<u>Twineham</u>

Commitments

There are no commitments in this Parish

Neighbourhood Plan

There are no Neighbourhood Plan sites (Plan allocates 20 units but is not site specific).

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

There are no '3 tick' deliverable/developable sites in the SHLAA

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	ТРО	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Broad location West of																					
678	A23	5000	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	

West Hoathly

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	3	
AONB	✓	Entire parish is within the AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	✓	Parish is entirely within the 7km zone of influence.
Flood Zones	?	Small sections to the southern part of the Parish are within flood zone 2/3.
Education	?	West Hoathly CofE school has capacity (82% - 25 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	×	
Neighbourhood Plan Status	\checkmark	Made: Allocates 3 sites, delivering 55 Units

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	4
Commitments – Large	0
Commitments – Small	18
Neighbourhood Plan	55
Total	77

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	27
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	104

	A	dditional	Provisio	n
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	12	24	36	48
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	4	9	13	17
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	13	27	40	53

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 4-13 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1 site currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	Site is within the AONB
	 Site would have a negative impact on the adjacent conservation area/listed buildings
	 This site is within the Ashdown Forest 7km zone of influence
	 This site is assessed as being unachievable. Costs of delivering the site are prohibitive.
	 Site has limited access to public transport
900	 An additional 9-27 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 2 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	 As 850, additionally:
	 Site has low capacity in landscape terms
950	 An additional 13-40 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 3 sites currently assessed as
	undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	 As 950, additionally:
	 Site is covered by ancient woodland

	 Site has not been promoted/progressed to the SHLAA since its original submission in 2008
1000	 An additional 17-53 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	• Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 4 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	As 950, additionally:
	 Sites are '0 ticks' i.e they are not suitable, available or achievable and therefore
	have little prospect of delivery.
	 Sites are in active use, or not available for development

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	ovision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		116	128	140	152
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	104	108	113	117	121
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		117	131	144	157

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

West Hoathly

Commitments

There are no commitments in this Parish

Neighbourhood Plan

ID	Address	Units	Comments
148	Land north of Top Road, Sharpthorne	24	
477	Land adjacent to Cookhams, south of Top Road, Sharpthorne	16	
645	Bluebell Woodland, Sharpthorne	15	
	TOTAL	55	

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
406	West Hoathly Garage, Selsfield Road, West Hoathly	12
656	Hangdown Mead Business Park, Top Road, Sharpthorne	15
	TOTAL	27

ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	West Hoathly Station																					
147	Goods Yard, Station Road,	15	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	

MSDC 5a

	Sharpthorne																					
	Ibstock Brickworks,																					
386	Sharpthorne	93	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Υ	Active use as brickworks
	Land to the rear of 1-33																					Not available for
476	Broadfield, West Hoathly	20	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Ν	Y	development
	Webbs Mead, Land West																					
	of Broadfield, West																					
653	Hoathly, RH19 4QR	20	N	Υ	Ν	N	N	N	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Ν	N	
	Rear of 9 Bayhams Field,																					
657	Sharpthorne	6	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Y	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Steep gradient

Worth

Key Constraints

Settlement Category	2	
AONB	✓	South-western part of the Parish is AONB
South Downs National Park	×	
Ashdown Forest 7km	~	Eastern area around Crawley Down is within the 7km zone
Flood Zones	?	Small sections to the south and east of the Parish are within flood zone 2/3.
Education	?	Crawley Down Village CofE in Crawley Down is currently over capacity (100% - 0 spaces as at Oct 2015) Copthorne CofE in Copthorne is currently over capacity (100% - 0 spaces as at Oct 2015) Fairway Infant School in Copthorne is currently nearing capacity (97% - 6 spaces as at Oct 2015)
Transport	?	Junctions with the M23 at risk of congestion
Neighbourhood Plan Status	?	Crawley Down: Made. No sites allocated. Copthorne: No draft plan published

Commitments and Past Delivery

Completions 2014/16	111
Commitments – Large	639
Commitments – Small	35
Neighbourhood Plan	0
Total	785

Not In Planning Process ('3 tick' sites) to meet 800dpa	100
Total (to meet 800dpa) Commitments + '3 ticks'	885

	ļ	Additional Provision								
Distribution Scenario	850	900	950	1000						
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution	51	102	153	204						
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	26	51	77	102						
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size	61	123	184	245						

Implica	tions
850	 An additional 26-51 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 1 site currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. This site is within the Ashdown Forest 7km zone of influence This site is affected by ancient woodland This site is remote from public transport This site is assessed as having medium landscape capacity
900	 An additional 51-123 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 3-4 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed. As 850, additionally:

	 Sites above 850 have not been promoted or progressed since their original submission to the SHLAA in 2008
950	An additional 77-184 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
350	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 5 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	As 900, additionally:
	 Sites significantly constrained by Ancient Woodland
	 Sites are not available for development – they are in active use (including residential)
	 Potential impact on the transport network, with no suggested mitigation
1000	An additional 102-245 dwellings would be required in excess of those already committed
	 Based on the SHLAA, this would mean an additional 6 sites currently assessed as undeliverable/undevelopable being developed.
	 As 950, additionally:
	 Site would have severe impact on the highway network/known access issues.

	Total F	Plan Per	iod Hou	sing Pro	vision
Distribution Scenario	800	850	900	950	1000
Scenario 1: Settlement Hierarchy Even Distribution		936	987	1,038	1,089
Scenario 2: Settlement Hierarchy Logical Distribution	885	911	936	962	987
Scenario 3: Proportion Split based on Settlement Size		946	1,008	1,069	1,130

Υ	Site is affected by this constraint
Ν	Site is unaffected by this constraint
Services / Bus / Train	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if only Fair or Poor access to these facilities
Promoted	Site is judged as affected ('Y') if not promoted/progressed within the last 5 years
Site Name	Site is 'strategic' (i.e. over 500 units) and has therefore also been assessed in the
	Strategic Site Selection Paper and Sustainability Appraisal.

Worth

Commitments

ID	Address	Units	Comments
7	Pasture Wood, Hophurst Lane, Crawley Down	9	
518	Land east of Woodlands Close, Crawley Down (phase 1)	3	
672	Land off Woodlands Close, Crawley down (Phase 2)	51	
268	Land at Holly Farm, Copthorne Way, Copthorne	45	
38	Land west of Copthorne, Copthorne Way	500	
272	Land at Wychwood, Turners Hill Road, Crawley Down	23	
488	Palmers Autocentre Turners Hill Road Crawley Down	8	
	TOTAL	639	

Neighbourhood Plan

There are no Neighbourhood Plan sites (Crawley Down plan is 'made' but does not allocate sites).

<u>'3 tick' Deliverable/Developable Sites (Required to meet 800dpa)</u>

ID	Address	Units
686	Land to the rear of The Martins (south of Hophurst Lane), Crawley Down	100
	TOTAL	100

'1 tick' and '2 tick' sites

										a												
ID	Address	Units	Suitable	Available	Achievable	AQMA	Anc. Wood	тро	Flooding	Open Space	Transport	Services	Bus	Train	SDNP	Landscape	AONB	7km	Heritage	SNCI	Promoted	Comments
	Crabbet Park, Old Hollow,																					
18	Near Crawley	2300	Ν	Y	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	N	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Ν	Υ	Not actively promoted
	Land to the north of																					
	Copthorne Road,																					
61	Copthorne	240	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	N	Ν	Ν	
	Land at Winch Well,																					
213	Crawley Down	45	Ν	Ν	Υ	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Residential - still occupied
	Land to the west of The																					
	Pheasantry, Turners Hill																					
	Road, Crawley Down																					
	(part of site previously																					
271	assessed as of site 688)	30	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	DM/15/3614 - call in
	Land adjacent to the																					
	Haven Centre, Hophurst																					
275	Lane, Crawley Down	150	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Y	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Land south of Hazel																					
281	Close, Crawley Down	60	Ν	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	N	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	DM/15/4094 - call in
	Inglenook Cottage, Laurel																					
	Bank & Little Acorns,																					
	Brookhill Road,																					Residential still occupied -
437	Copthorne	6	Y	Ν	Υ	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	2007 pre-app
	Land north of Burleigh																					
519	Lane, Crawley Down	35	Ν	Y	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	
	Land south of Burleigh		1																			
677	Lane, Crawley Down	30	Ν	Y	Y	N	N	N	Ν	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	
	Land to west of Turners		1												1							
688	Hill Road, Crawley Down	300	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Ν	
	Land north of Redcourt		1																			
713	South, Cuttinglye Lane,	24	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Ν	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Site virtually blanket TPO

MSDC 5a

	Crawley Down																					
	Land at Redcourt Barn,																					
	Cuttinglye Lane, Crawley																					
717	Down	30	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν	Site virtually blanket TPO

MSDC 5a